

1 DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669  
 City Attorney  
 2 THERESE M. STEWART, State Bar #104930  
 Chief Deputy City Attorney  
 3 DANNY CHOU, State Bar #180240  
 Chief of Complex and Special Litigation  
 4 VINCE CHHABRIA, State Bar #208557  
 ERIN BERNSTEIN, State Bar #231539  
 5 CHRISTINE VAN AKEN, State Bar #241755  
 MOLLIE M. LEE, State Bar #251404  
 6 Deputy City Attorneys  
 City Hall, Room 234  
 7 One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
 San Francisco, California 94102-4682  
 8 Telephone: (415) 554-4708  
 Facsimile: (415) 554-4699

9 Attorneys for Proposed Intervenors  
 10 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

11  
 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER,  
 15 PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J.  
 ZARRILLO,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 vs.

18 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his  
 official capacity as Governor of California;  
 19 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., in his official  
 capacity as Attorney General of California;  
 20 MARK B. HORTON, in his official capacity  
 as Director of the California Department of  
 21 Public Health and State Registrar of Vital  
 Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her official  
 22 capacity as Deputy Director of Health  
 Information & Strategic Planning for the  
 23 California Department of Public Health;  
 PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his official  
 24 capacity as Clerk-Recorder for the County of  
 Alameda; and DEAN C. LOGAN, in his  
 25 official capacity as Registrar-Recorder/County  
 Clerk for the County of Los Angeles,

26 Defendants.  
 27  
 28

Case No. 09-CV-2292 VRW

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION  
 TO INTERVENE**

Hearing Date: August 19, 2009  
 Time: 10:00 a.m.  
 Place: Courtroom 6, 17th Fl.,  
 450 Golden Gate Ave.

Trial Date: Not set

1 and

2 PROPOSITION 8 OFFICIAL PROPONENTS  
3 DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J.  
4 KNIGHT, MARTIN F. GUTIERREZ, HAK-  
5 SHING WILLIAM TAM, and MARK A.  
6 JANSSON; and PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM –  
7 YES ON 8, A PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA  
8 RENEWAL,

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
Defendant-Intervenors.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

1  
2 City & County of San Francisco moved to intervene in this action as a party plaintiff. For good  
3 cause appearing, the Motion to Intervene is hereby GRANTED.

4 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that City & County of San Francisco is permitted to intervene  
5 as a party plaintiff in this action, and its Proposed Complaint in Intervention shall be filed.

6  
7  
8 Dated: August \_\_\_\_, 2009

\_\_\_\_\_  
9 Hon. Vaughn R. Walker  
10 United States Chief District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28