

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

VAUGHN R. WALKER
United States District Chief Judge

DATE: August 19, 2009

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Cora Klein

Court Reporter: Belle Ball

CASE NO. C 09-2292 VRW

CASE TITLE: KRISTIN PERRY et al v. ARNOLD SCHWARNEGGER et al

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS:

David Boies, Theodore B Olson
Theodore Boutros, Christopher Dusseault
Theane Kapur, Enrique Monagas
Jeremy Goldman, Theodore Uno
Matthew D McGill

PLAINTIFF INTERVENORS:

Our Family Coalition:

Shannon P Minter, Christopher Stoll,
James Esseks, Elizabeth Gill,
Matthew Coles, Jennifer Pizer

PLAINTIFF INTERVENOR:

City and County of San Francisco:

Therese Stewart, Christine Van Aken
Erin Bernstein, Dennis Herrera

DEFENDANTS:

Arnold Schwarzenegger, Mark Horton, Linette Scott:

Kenneth C Mennemeier

Edmund G Brown- Attorney General of California:

Gordon Burns, Tamar Pachter

Patrick O'Connell - Clerk Recorder for County of Alameda:

Claude Kolm, Lindsey Stern

Dean C Logan - Registrar Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles:

Judy Whitehurst

INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS:

Prop 8 Official Proponents and protectmarriage.com:

Charles J Cooper
David H Thompson

Campaign For California Families:

Rena Lindevaldsen

PROCEEDINGS and RESULTS:

The Court heard argument from counsels and ruled as follows:

1. Motion to intervene as party plaintiffs filed by the Our Family coalition, Doc #79 - denied.
2. Motion for intervention as intervenor-defendant filed by Campaign for California Families, Doc # 91 - denied.
3. Motion to intervene filed by City and County of San Francisco, Doc #109 - granted in part to allow San Francisco to present issue of alleged effect on governmental interests.
4. Trial setting and scheduling as follows:
 - a. Designation of witnesses presenting evidence under FRE 702, 703 or 705 and production of written reports pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(2)(B): October 2, 2009;
 - b. Dispositive motions to be served and filed so as to be heard on October 14, 2009 at 10 AM;
 - c. Completion of all discovery, except for evidence intended solely to contradict or rebut evidence on the same subject matter identified by another party under FRCP 26(a)(2)(B): November 30, 2009;
 - d. Completion of discovery on the same subject matter identified by another party under FRCP 26(a)(2)(B): December 31, 2009; see FRCP 26(a)(2)(C)(ii);
 - e. Pretrial conference: December 16, 2009 at 10 AM;
 - f. Trial: January 11, 2010 at 8:30 AM.
5. With respect to any disputes regarding discovery, counsel are directed to comply with Civ LR 37-1(b) and the court's standing order 1.5.
6. In the absence of the assigned judge, counsel are directed to bring any discovery disputes before Magistrate Judge Joseph C Spero.