

1 MARY E. MCALISTER
California Bar No. 148570
2 RENA M. LINDEVALDSEN*
LIBERTY COUNSEL
3 P.O. Box 11108
Lynchburg, VA 24506
4 (434) 592-7000 Telephone
(434) 592-7700 Facsimile
5 court@lc.org Email
Attorneys for Prospective Intervenor
6 *Admitted pro hac vice

FILED

09 AUG 26 PM 2:22

RICHARD W. WICKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7
8
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER,
12 PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J.
13 ZARRILLO,

Case No.09-CV 02292 VRW

14 Plaintiffs

NOTICE OF APPEAL

15 v.

16 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official
17 capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G.
18 BROWN, JR., in his official capacity as Attorney
19 General of California, MARK B. HORTON, in his
20 official capacity as Director of the California
21 Department of Public Health and State Registrar
22 of Vital Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her
23 official capacity as Deputy Director of Health
24 Information & Strategic Planning for the
25 California Department of Public Health;
26 PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his official capacity
27 as Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda; and
28 DEAN C. LOGAN, in his official capacity as
Registrar-Recorder/ County Clerk for the County
of Los Angeles,

Defendants.


and

PROPOSITION 8 OFFICIAL PROPONENTS
DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J.
KNIGHT, MARTIN F. GUTIERREZ, HAK-
SHING WILLIAM TAM, and MARK A.
JANSSON; and PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM-
YES ON 8, A PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA
RENEWAL,

Intervenor-Defendants.

1 Notice is hereby given under Fed. R. App. P. 3 and Ninth Circuit Rule 3-3 that Campaign for
2 California Families, proposed Defendant-Intervenor in the above named case, hereby appeals to the
3 United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit from the order of the District Court denying its
4 motion to intervene entered in this action on August 19, 2009.

5 Dated: August 24, 2009.

6 

7 MARY E. McALISTER
8 California Bar No. 148570
9 RENA M. LINDEVALDSEN*
10 LIBERTY COUNSEL
11 P.O. Box 11108
12 Lynchburg, VA 24506
13 (434) 592-7000 Telephone
14 (434) 592-7700 Facsimile
15 court@lc.org Email
16 Attorneys for Prospective Intervenor
17 *Admitted pro hac vice
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

VAUGHN R. WALKER
United States District Chief Judge

DATE: August 19, 2009

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Cora Klein

Court Reporter: Belle Ball

CASE NO. C 09-2292 VRW

CASE TITLE: KRISTIN PERRY et al v. ARNOLD SCHWARNEGGER et al

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS:

David Boies, Theodore B Olson
Theodore Boutros, Christopher Dusseault
Theane Kapur, Enrique Monagas
Jeremy Goldman, Theodore Uno
Matthew D McGill

PLAINTIFF INTERVENORS:

Our Family Coalition:
Shannon P Minter, Christopher Stoll,
James Esseks, Elizabeth Gill,
Matthew Coles, Jennifer Pizer

PLAINTIFF INTERVENOR:

City and County of San Francisco:

Therese Stewart, Christine Van Aken
Erin Bernstein, Dennis Herrera

DEFENDANTS:

Arnold Schwarzenegger, Mark Horton, Linette Scott:

Kenneth C Mennemeier

Edmund G Brown- Attorney General of California:

Gordon Burns, Tamar Pachter

Patrick O'Connell - Clerk Recorder for County of Alameda:

Claude Kolm, Lindsey Stern

Dean C Logan - Registrar Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles:

Judy Whitehurst

INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS:

Prop 8 Official Proponents and protectmarriage.com:

Charles J Cooper
David H Thompson

Campaign For California Families:

Rena Lindevaldsen

PROCEEDINGS and RESULTS:

The Court heard argument from counsels and ruled as follows:

1. Motion to intervene as party plaintiffs filed by the Our Family coalition, Doc #79 - denied.
2. Motion for intervention as intervenor-defendant filed by Campaign for California Families, Doc # 91 - denied.
3. Motion to intervene filed by City and County of San Francisco, Doc #109 - granted in part to allow San Francisco to present issue of alleged effect on governmental interests.
4. Trial setting and scheduling as follows:
 - a. Designation of witnesses presenting evidence under FRE 702, 703 or 705 and production of written reports pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(2)(B): October 2, 2009;
 - b. Dispositive motions to be served and filed so as to be heard on October 14, 2009 at 10 AM;
 - c. Completion of all discovery, except for evidence intended solely to contradict or rebut evidence on the same subject matter identified by another party under FRCP 26(a)(2)(B): November 30, 2009;
 - d. Completion of discovery on the same subject matter identified by another party under FRCP 26(a)(2)(B): December 31, 2009; see FRCP 26(a)(2)(C)(ii);
 - e. Pretrial conference: December 16, 2009 at 10 AM;
 - f. Trial: January 11, 2010 at 8:30 AM.
5. With respect to any disputes regarding discovery, counsel are directed to comply with Civ LR 37-1(b) and the court's standing order 1.5.
6. In the absence of the assigned judge, counsel are directed to bring any discovery disputes before Magistrate Judge Joseph C Spero.