

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KRISTIN M PERRY, SANDRA B STIER,
PAUL T KATAMI and JEFFREY J
ZARRILLO,

No C 09-2292 VRW
ORDER

Plaintiffs,

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

v

ARNORLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his
official capacity as governor of
California; EDMUND G BROWN JR, in
his official capacity as attorney
general of California; MARK B
HORTON, in his official capacity
as director of the California
Department of Public Health and
state registrar of vital
statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her
official capacity as deputy
director of health information &
strategic planning for the
California Department of Public
Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his
official capacity as clerk-
recorder of the County of
Alameda; and DEAN C LOGAN, in his
official capacity as registrar-
recorder/county clerk for the
County of Los Angeles,

Defendants,

DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J
KNIGHT, MARTIN F GUTIERREZ,
HAKSHING WILLIAM TAM and MARK A
JANSSON, as official proponents
of Proposition 8,

Defendant-Intervenors.

_____ /

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1 On September 25, 2009, defendant-intervenors
2 ("proponents") moved for administrative relief to exceed the page
3 limitations for their reply in support of their summary judgment
4 motion. Doc #208. Proponents argue that additional pages are
5 necessary to address the issues raised in plaintiffs' response. Id
6 at 3. Because the extension appears reasonable, proponents' motion
7 is GRANTED. Proponents' reply shall not exceed twenty-five pages.

8
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 

11 _____
12 VAUGHN R WALKER
13 United States District Chief Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28