

# **EXHIBIT A**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTE ORDER**

**VAUGHN R. WALKER**  
United States District Chief Judge

**DATE:** August 19, 2009

**COURTROOM DEPUTY:** Cora Klein

**Court Reporter:** Belle Ball

**CASE NO.** C 09-2292 VRW

**CASE TITLE:** KRISTIN PERRY et al v. ARNOLD SCHWARNEGGER et al

**COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS:**

David Boies, Theodore B Olson  
Theodore Boutros, Christopher Dusseault  
Theane Kapur, Enrique Monagas  
Jeremy Goldman, Theodore Uno  
Matthew D McGill

**PLAINTIFF INTERVENORS:**

**Our Family Coalition:**

Shannon P Minter, Christopher Stoll,  
James Esseks, Elizabeth Gill,  
Matthew Coles, Jennifer Pizer

**PLAINTIFF INTERVENOR:**

**City and County of San Francisco:**

Therese Stewart, Christine Van Aken  
Erin Bernstein, Dennis Herrera

**DEFENDANTS:**

**Arnold Schwarzenegger, Mark Horton, Linette Scott:**

Kenneth C Mennemeier

**Edmund G Brown- Attorney General of California:**

Gordon Burns, Tamar Pachter

**Patrick O'Connell - Clerk Recorder for County of Alameda:**

Claude Kolm, Lindsey Stern

**Dean C Logan - Registrar Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles:**

Judy Whitehurst

**INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS:**

**Prop 8 Official Proponents and protectmarriage.com:**

Charles J Cooper  
David H Thompson

**Campaign For California Families:**

Rena Lindevaldsen

**PROCEEDINGS and RESULTS:**

The Court heard argument from counsels and ruled as follows:

1. Motion to intervene as party plaintiffs filed by the Our Family coalition, Doc #79 - denied.
2. Motion for intervention as intervenor-defendant filed by Campaign for California Families, Doc # 91 - denied.
3. Motion to intervene filed by City and County of San Francisco, Doc #109 - granted in part to allow San Francisco to present issue of alleged effect on governmental interests.
4. Trial setting and scheduling as follows:
  - a. Designation of witnesses presenting evidence under FRE 702, 703 or 705 and production of written reports pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(2)(B): October 2, 2009;
  - b. Dispositive motions to be served and filed so as to be heard on October 14, 2009 at 10 AM;
  - c. Completion of all discovery, except for evidence intended solely to contradict or rebut evidence on the same subject matter identified by another party under FRCP 26(a)(2)(B): November 30, 2009;
  - d. Completion of discovery on the same subject matter identified by another party under FRCP 26(a)(2)(B): December 31, 2009; see FRCP 26(a)(2)(C)(ii);
  - e. Pretrial conference: December 16, 2009 at 10 AM;
  - f. Trial: January 11, 2010 at 8:30 AM.
5. With respect to any disputes regarding discovery, counsel are directed to comply with Civ LR 37-1(b) and the court's standing order 1.5.
6. In the absence of the assigned judge, counsel are directed to bring any discovery disputes before Magistrate Judge Joseph C Spero.