	Volume 7
	Pages 1480 - 1741
UNITED STATES DIST	RICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF	' CALIFORNIA
BEFORE THE HONORABLE VA	UGHN R. WALKER
KRISTIN M. PERRY, ) SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, ) and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO, )	
, Plaintiffs, )	
) VS.	NO. C 09-2292-VRW
ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his ) official capacity as Governor of ) California; EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., ) in his official capacity as ) Attorney General of California; ) MARK B. HORTON, in his official ) capacity as Director of the ) California Department of Public ) Health and State Registrar of ) Vital Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, ) in her official capacity as Deputy ) Director of Health Information & ) Strategic Planning for the ) California Department of Public ) Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his ) official capacity as ) Clerk-Recorder for the County of ) Alameda; and DEAN C. LOGAN, in his ) official capacity as ) Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk ) for the County of Los Angeles, )	San Francisco, California
Defendants. )	Wednesday January 20, 2010
TRANSCRIPT OF PRO	NCFFDTNG2
Reported By: Katherine Powell Sullivan, CRR, CSR 5812 Debra L. Pas, CRR, CSR 11916 Official Reporters - U.S. District Court	

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintif		GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-5306 THEODORE B. OLSON, ESQUIRE MATTHEW D. MCGILL, ESQUIRE AMIR CAMERON TAYRANI, ESQUIRE
	BY:	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-3197 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQUIRE CHRISTOPHER D. DUSSEAULT, ESQUIRE SCOTT MALZAHN, ESQUIRE THEANE KAPUR, ESQUIRE
	BY:	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, California 94105-2933 ETHAN D. DETTMER, JR., ESQUIRE ENRIQUE A. MONAGAS, ESQUIRE
	BY:	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, New York 10504 <b>DAVID BOIES, ESQUIRE</b>
	BY:	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 575 Lexington Avenue, 7th Floor New York, New York 10022 JOSHUA I. SCHILLER, ESQUIRE
	BY:	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900 Oakland, California 94612 JEREMY MICHAEL GOLDMAN, ESQUIRE STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN, ESQUIRE
(7	<b>APPEARANCES</b>	CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

For Plaintiff- Intervenor: BY:	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY One Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4682 DENNIS J. HERRERA, CITY ATTORNEY THERESE STEWART, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY RONALD P. FLYNN DANNY CHOU CHRISTINE VAN AKEN MOLLIE M. LEE DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEYS
Gov. Schwarzenegger:	MENNEMEIER, GLASSMAN & STROUD 980 9th Street, Suite 1700 Sacramento, California 95814-2736 ANDREW WALTER STROUD, ESQUIRE
For Defendant Edmund G. Brown Jr.: BY:	STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, California 94102-7004 <b>TAMAR PACHTER, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL</b>
BY:	STATE OF CALIFORNIA Department of Justice Office of the Attorney General 1300 I Street, 17th Floor Sacramento, California 95814 <b>GORDON BURNS, DEPUTY SOLICITOR GENERAL</b>
For Defendant- Intervenors: BY:	COOPER & KIRK 1523 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 CHARLES J. COOPER, ESQUIRE DAVID H. THOMPSON, ESQUIRE HOWARD C. NIELSON, JR., ESQUIRE NICOLE MOSS, ESQUIRE PETER PATTERSON, ESQUIRE
(APPEARANCES	CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

For Defendant- Intervenors:		ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND 15100 North 90th Street Scottsdale, Arizona 85260 BRIAN W. RAUM, SENIOR COUNSEL JAMES A. CAMPBELL, ESQUIRE JORDAN LORENCE, ESQUIRE DALE SCHOWENGERDT, ESQUIRE
	BY:	ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND 101 Parkshore Drive, Suite 100 Folsom, California 95630 <b>TIMOTHY D. CHANDLER, ESQUIRE</b>
	BY:	ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND 801 G Street NW, Suite 509 Washington, D.C. 90001 AUSTIN R. NIMOCKS, SENIOR LEGAL COUNSEL
For Defendant Dean C. Logan:	BY:	OFFICE OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNSEL 500 West Temple Street, Room 652 Los Angeles, California 90012 JUDY WHITEHURST, DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL
For Mr. Garlow, Mr. McPherson:		AMERICAN CENTER FOR LAW & JUSTICE 11 West Chestnut Hill Road Litchfield, Connecticut 06759 VINCENT P. MCCARTHY, ESQUIRE
For Dennis Hollingsworth:		<b>ANDREW PERRY PUGNO, ESQUIRE</b> 101 Parkshore Dr #100 Folsom, California 95630-4726
For Defendant- Intervenor Tam:		<b>TERRY L. THOMPSON, ESQUIRE</b> P.O. Box 1346 Alamo, California 94507
For Proposed Intervenor Imperia County, et al.:	L BY:	ADVOCATES FOR FAITH AND FREEDOM 24910 Las Brisas Road, Suite 110 Murrieta, California 92562 JENNIFER L. MONK, ESQUIRE

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JANUARY 20, 2010 8:38 A.M.
3	
4	THE COURT: Very well. Good morning, counsel.
5	(Counsel greet the Court.)
6	THE COURT: We have a few items to take up. And
7	let's take up the scheduling item, first.
8	I just learned that a message from the clerk to
9	Mr. Boutrous, apparently, was not delivered or not received,
10	that we have cleared the calendar and will be able to continue
11	the trial tomorrow.
12	The clerk put a call in to Mr. Boutrous to that
13	effect, and to Mr. Thompson, and requested each to notify
14	everybody else. Apparently, Mr. Thompson did not do so. And
15	Mr. Boutrous apparently didn't receive the message.
16	But, be that as it may, we're ready to continue the
17	trial tomorrow, and we'll proceed as expeditiously as possible.
18	MR. THOMPSON: And, your Honor, I would like to
19	apologize. I had assumed that it was informed counsel on our
20	side. I had not realized that I was supposed to coordinate.
21	And I picked up the message on Tuesday morning. But I do
22	apologize to the Court.
23	THE COURT: Very well. I accept that. I understand.
24	Now, we have some discovery and other matters to deal
25	with.

T	
1	The first is proponents' objection to the
2	magistrate's discovery order. And we just filed a written
3	order on that objection a few moments ago. It's very brief.
4	The bottom line is that the magistrate's order, I do
5	not believe, is clearly erroneous, which is the standard. In
6	fact, I think it's quite correct. And so the discovery order
7	by Magistrate Judge Spero will remain undisturbed.
8	The second is proponents' motion to amend the
9	January 8 discovery order to add four names to the core group
10	designation.
11	I tried to communicate with Magistrate Judge Spero
12	this morning, to see if he is available to hear that. I was
13	unable to reach him.
14	Inasmuch as that was a matter before him, it might
15	make sense for him to hear that in the first instance. But I
16	don't want that reference to delay matters. And so if he's
17	unavailable to hear that matter and to give a decision before,
18	say, midday today, I'd prefer to rule on that based upon the
19	submissions here.
20	But what I'll have the clerk do is try to reach him
21	and see what his availability is, and then at least one lawyer
22	from each side can go and discuss the matter with him and take
23	up the issue.
24	As I understand it, the proponents wish to add four
25	names to the core group designation. A Mr. Criswell, a
-	

1485

Mr. Wirthlin, a John Doe. And I have forgotten the fourth you 1 2 are seeking. MR. PUGNO: Mr. Rob Wirthlin. 3 4 THE COURT: Mr. Wirthlin, yes. 5 MS. STEWART: Peterson. 6 MR. PUGNO: I'm sorry. Andrew Pugno for the 7 defendant-intervenors. Mr. Rob Wirthlin is the fourth. 8 9 MS. STEWART: Peterson. THE COURT: I mentioned Wirthlin. 10 MR. PUGNO: Oh, I'm sorry, Your Honor. It's Richard 11 12 Peterson. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Then we have the plaintiffs' motion to reopen the deposition of Mr. Prentice. We haven't 14 15 had a response on that, at least I haven't seen one. But I wonder, Mr. Boutrous, whether we really need to have a further 16 17 deposition of Mr. Prentice. As I understand the situation, you believe that 18 you've discovered documents which call into question the 19 deposition testimony that Mr. Prentice gave. 20 21 Why can you not simply take that up in your 22 examination of him? When is he going to be called as a witness? 23 24 MR. BOUTROUS: We have listed him, Your Honor, for, I think, tomorrow or Friday. And -- and we thought it would, 25

1	number one, streamline things if we were able to just walk
2	through these documents with him.
3	It is a fairly voluminous group of documents, which
4	might either make it unnecessary for us to call him live, if we
5	are talking about authenticating documents, or at least would
6	spare the Court some lengthy walking through documents and
7	asking him what they are, and that sort of thing.
8	And so I don't think it needs to be a really long
9	deposition. But we thought for everyone it would be better to
10	just do a deposition, walk through the documents, and then
11	streamline things in the court. And we thought that would be a
12	preferable way to approach it.
13	THE COURT: Do proponents have a view?
14	Ms. Moss.
15	MS. MOSS: Good morning, Your Honor.
16	And I apologize for not having a copy with me, but we
17	did just file our opposition this morning, and I'm trying to
18	get one printed now.
19	Our position is, we are opposed to reopening the
20	deposition of Mr. Prentice. He was deposed for 14 hours, both
21	as the 30(b)(6) and in his individual capacity.
22	And we believe that their papers suggested they had
23	25 documents that they wanted to go over with him. And we
24	believe that to the extent they think that there is
25	inconsistencies with his testimony, they can explore that on

	-
1	the stand; and that it would be highly prejudicial to us to
2	have to both sit through his deposition at the same time that
3	it's the day before they're saying they are going to put him on
4	the stand. We wouldn't have the ability to really prepare him
5	for his testimony.
6	So we would ask that it not be reopened, or, at a
7	minimum, that it be not the seven hours they have requested
8	but, at most, an hour.
9	MR. BOUTROUS: We would take two hours, Your Honor.
10	And if I could just respond to the suggestion that
11	it's the proponents who are sort of getting the short end of
12	the stick on the fairness equation.
13	The proponents withheld these documents, refused to
14	let Mr. Prentice answer questions on things that were clearly
15	within discovery.
16	And Magistrate Spero, when he heard the arguments and
17	ruled, found that that the relevance arguments were entirely
18	frivolous, and I think he said outrageous, at one point,
19	because they were clearly documents and things that were within
20	the realm of discovery and this Court's order and the Ninth
21	Circuit's order.
22	So we have been proceeding with extreme diligence.
23	We had teams reviewing these documents for the last week.
24	These documents should have been produced well before
25	Mr. Prentice's deposition.

1488

1So we think that we're making a modest request for a2short deposition, which will benefit everyone, including3helping streamline the proceedings.4THE COURT: I'm inclined to agree with Ms. Moss. I5have not forgotten what it's like to try cases and take6depositions at the same time. That's difficult under any7circumstances.8And seems to me you will be able to cross-examine or9to examine Mr. Prentice. And if there are, in fact,10inconsistencies between his deposition testimony and the11evidence that's now been produced, you'll be able to explore12that, and can be done just as effectively here at trial as with13a further deposition. It may take a little more time with the14authentication of documents, but we're in trial. So I'll let15you proceed.16And, then, I believe the only other matter is the17proponents' objection to the next witness.18MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to19that, there's something in the nature of loose ends.12THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.13MR. COOPER: I think14Eu yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection15to a couple of the documents, as you may recall, that Mr. Boites	-	
<ul> <li>helping streamline the proceedings.</li> <li>THE COURT: I'm inclined to agree with Ms. Moss. I</li> <li>have not forgotten what it's like to try cases and take</li> <li>depositions at the same time. That's difficult under any</li> <li>circumstances.</li> <li>And seems to me you will be able to cross-examine or</li> <li>to examine Mr. Prentice. And if there are, in fact,</li> <li>inconsistencies between his deposition testimony and the</li> <li>evidence that's now been produced, you'll be able to explore</li> <li>that, and can be done just as effectively here at trial as with</li> <li>a further deposition. It may take a little more time with the</li> <li>authentication of documents, but we're in trial. So I'll let</li> <li>you proceed.</li> <li>And, then, I believe the only other matter is the</li> <li>proponents' objection to the next witness.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to</li> <li>that, there's something in the nature of loose ends.</li> <li>THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: I think</li> <li>THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	1	So we think that we're making a modest request for a
<ul> <li>THE COURT: I'm inclined to agree with Ms. Moss. I</li> <li>have not forgotten what it's like to try cases and take</li> <li>depositions at the same time. That's difficult under any</li> <li>circumstances.</li> <li>And seems to me you will be able to cross-examine or</li> <li>to examine Mr. Prentice. And if there are, in fact,</li> <li>inconsistencies between his deposition testimony and the</li> <li>evidence that's now been produced, you'll be able to explore</li> <li>that, and can be done just as effectively here at trial as with</li> <li>a further deposition. It may take a little more time with the</li> <li>authentication of documents, but we're in trial. So I'll let</li> <li>you proceed.</li> <li>And, then, I believe the only other matter is the</li> <li>proponents' objection to the next witness.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to</li> <li>that, there's something in the nature of loose ends.</li> <li>THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	2	short deposition, which will benefit everyone, including
<ul> <li>have not forgotten what it's like to try cases and take</li> <li>depositions at the same time. That's difficult under any</li> <li>circumstances.</li> <li>And seems to me you will be able to cross-examine or</li> <li>to examine Mr. Prentice. And if there are, in fact,</li> <li>inconsistencies between his deposition testimony and the</li> <li>evidence that's now been produced, you'll be able to explore</li> <li>that, and can be done just as effectively here at trial as with</li> <li>a further deposition. It may take a little more time with the</li> <li>authentication of documents, but we're in trial. So I'll let</li> <li>you proceed.</li> <li>And, then, I believe the only other matter is the</li> <li>proponents' objection to the next witness.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to</li> <li>that, there's something in the nature of loose ends.</li> <li>THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: I think</li> <li>THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	3	helping streamline the proceedings.
<ul> <li>depositions at the same time. That's difficult under any circumstances.</li> <li>And seems to me you will be able to cross-examine or</li> <li>to examine Mr. Prentice. And if there are, in fact,</li> <li>inconsistencies between his deposition testimony and the</li> <li>evidence that's now been produced, you'll be able to explore</li> <li>that, and can be done just as effectively here at trial as with</li> <li>a further deposition. It may take a little more time with the</li> <li>authentication of documents, but we're in trial. So I'll let</li> <li>you proceed.</li> <li>And, then, I believe the only other matter is the</li> <li>proponents' objection to the next witness.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to</li> <li>that, there's something in the nature of loose ends.</li> <li>THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: I think</li> <li>THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	4	THE COURT: I'm inclined to agree with Ms. Moss. I
<ul> <li>circumstances.</li> <li>And seems to me you will be able to cross-examine or</li> <li>to examine Mr. Prentice. And if there are, in fact,</li> <li>inconsistencies between his deposition testimony and the</li> <li>evidence that's now been produced, you'll be able to explore</li> <li>that, and can be done just as effectively here at trial as with</li> <li>a further deposition. It may take a little more time with the</li> <li>authentication of documents, but we're in trial. So I'll let</li> <li>you proceed.</li> <li>And, then, I believe the only other matter is the</li> <li>proponents' objection to the next witness.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to</li> <li>that, there's something in the nature of loose ends.</li> <li>THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: I think</li> <li>THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	5	have not forgotten what it's like to try cases and take
8And seems to me you will be able to cross-examine or9to examine Mr. Prentice. And if there are, in fact,10inconsistencies between his deposition testimony and the11evidence that's now been produced, you'll be able to explore12that, and can be done just as effectively here at trial as with13a further deposition. It may take a little more time with the14authentication of documents, but we're in trial. So I'll let15you proceed.16And, then, I believe the only other matter is the17proponents' objection to the next witness.18MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to19that, there's something in the nature of loose ends.20THE COURT: All right.21MR. COOPER: I think22THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.23MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.24But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection	6	depositions at the same time. That's difficult under any
<ul> <li>to examine Mr. Prentice. And if there are, in fact,</li> <li>inconsistencies between his deposition testimony and the</li> <li>evidence that's now been produced, you'll be able to explore</li> <li>that, and can be done just as effectively here at trial as with</li> <li>a further deposition. It may take a little more time with the</li> <li>authentication of documents, but we're in trial. So I'll let</li> <li>you proceed.</li> <li>And, then, I believe the only other matter is the</li> <li>proponents' objection to the next witness.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to</li> <li>that, there's something in the nature of loose ends.</li> <li>THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: I think</li> <li>THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	7	circumstances.
<ul> <li>inconsistencies between his deposition testimony and the</li> <li>evidence that's now been produced, you'll be able to explore</li> <li>that, and can be done just as effectively here at trial as with</li> <li>a further deposition. It may take a little more time with the</li> <li>authentication of documents, but we're in trial. So I'll let</li> <li>you proceed.</li> <li>And, then, I believe the only other matter is the</li> <li>proponents' objection to the next witness.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to</li> <li>that, there's something in the nature of loose ends.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: I think</li> <li>THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	8	And seems to me you will be able to cross-examine or
<pre>11 evidence that's now been produced, you'll be able to explore 12 that, and can be done just as effectively here at trial as with 13 a further deposition. It may take a little more time with the 14 authentication of documents, but we're in trial. So I'll let 15 you proceed. 16 And, then, I believe the only other matter is the 17 proponents' objection to the next witness. 18 MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to 19 that, there's something in the nature of loose ends. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 MR. COOPER: I think 22 THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends. 23 MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment. 24 But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</pre>	9	to examine Mr. Prentice. And if there are, in fact,
12 that, and can be done just as effectively here at trial as with a further deposition. It may take a little more time with the authentication of documents, but we're in trial. So I'll let you proceed. 16 And, then, I believe the only other matter is the proponents' objection to the next witness. 18 MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to that, there's something in the nature of loose ends. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 MR. COOPER: I think 22 THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends. 23 MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment. 24 But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection	10	inconsistencies between his deposition testimony and the
<ul> <li>a further deposition. It may take a little more time with the</li> <li>authentication of documents, but we're in trial. So I'll let</li> <li>you proceed.</li> <li>And, then, I believe the only other matter is the</li> <li>proponents' objection to the next witness.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to</li> <li>that, there's something in the nature of loose ends.</li> <li>THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: I think</li> <li>THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	11	evidence that's now been produced, you'll be able to explore
14 authentication of documents, but we're in trial. So I'll let you proceed. 16 And, then, I believe the only other matter is the proponents' objection to the next witness. 18 MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to 19 that, there's something in the nature of loose ends. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 MR. COOPER: I think 22 THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends. 23 MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment. 24 But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection	12	that, and can be done just as effectively here at trial as with
<ul> <li>15 you proceed.</li> <li>16 And, then, I believe the only other matter is the</li> <li>17 proponents' objection to the next witness.</li> <li>18 MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to</li> <li>19 that, there's something in the nature of loose ends.</li> <li>20 THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>21 MR. COOPER: I think</li> <li>22 THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.</li> <li>23 MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>24 But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	13	a further deposition. It may take a little more time with the
<ul> <li>And, then, I believe the only other matter is the</li> <li>proponents' objection to the next witness.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to</li> <li>that, there's something in the nature of loose ends.</li> <li>THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: I think</li> <li>THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	14	authentication of documents, but we're in trial. So I'll let
17 proponents' objection to the next witness. 18 MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to 19 that, there's something in the nature of loose ends. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 MR. COOPER: I think 22 THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends. 23 MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment. 24 But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection	15	you proceed.
<ul> <li>MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to</li> <li>that, there's something in the nature of loose ends.</li> <li>THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: I think</li> <li>THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	16	And, then, I believe the only other matter is the
19 that, there's something in the nature of loose ends. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 MR. COOPER: I think 22 THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends. 23 MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment. 24 But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection	17	proponents' objection to the next witness.
<ul> <li>THE COURT: All right.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: I think</li> <li>THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	18	MR. COOPER: Actually, Your Honor, before you get to
<ul> <li>21 MR. COOPER: I think</li> <li>22 THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.</li> <li>23 MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>24 But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	19	that, there's something in the nature of loose ends.
<ul> <li>THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.</li> <li>MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	20	THE COURT: All right.
<ul> <li>23 MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.</li> <li>24 But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection</li> </ul>	21	MR. COOPER: I think
24 But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection	22	THE COURT: Let's tidy up those loose ends.
	23	MR. COOPER: This won't take but a moment.
25 to a couple of the documents, as you may recall, that Mr. Boies	24	But yesterday I did maintain a provisional objection
	25	to a couple of the documents, as you may recall, that Mr. Boies

1	introduced into evidence. It was PX0188 and PX0189.
2	Since the time of that provisional objection, my
3	friends for the plaintiffs have provided the confirmation that
4	those were indeed provided to us before the deposition of
5	Dr. Badgett.
6	THE COURT: Very well.
7	MR. COOPER: So we withdraw that objection.
8	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much,
9	Mr. Cooper.
10	Then I believe the only other remaining matter is the
11	issue of the Ryan Kendall testimony.
12	MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: And you are Mr
14	MR. CAMPBELL: James Campbell for the
15	defendant-intervenors.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Campbell. You took the deposition?
17	MR. CAMPBELL: That is correct, Your Honor.
18	Just as I understand, Your Honor is familiar with
19	the deposition
20	THE COURT: I read the deposition.
21	MR. CAMPBELL: As a brief background, Mr. Kendall is
22	a man from Colorado whose parents forced him, against his will,
23	to attend some type of sexual orientation conversion therapy.
24	And that is the nature of his testimony in this case.
25	We believe that there are at least four reasons why

1	his testimony should be excluded from this case.
2	First of all, Mr. Kendall's testimony is irrelevant.
3	He can only testify about his limited experience, which deals
4	with involuntary, forced conversion therapy. And it is our
5	position, Your Honor, that that is wholly irrelevant to this
6	Court's analysis.
7	Secondly, to the extent that this issue is at all
8	relevant, it is the proper subject of expert testimony, not lay
9	testimony.
10	Simply put, one man's anecdotal account of his
11	experience with a particular type of conversion therapy is
12	irrelevant to this Court's analysis. It's no more relevant
13	than if the defendant-intervenors found some individual and
14	asked them to elicit testimony about a positive experience they
15	had with this type of testimony.
16	So we would just urge the Court that this is the
17	proper subject of expert testimony, if it is at all relevant.
18	And, further to that point, Your Honor, the plaintiff
19	and plaintiff-intervenors have already identified an expert,
20	Dr. Herek, who in his expert report has already opined on the
21	issue of conversion therapy. So if it's relevant, he can
22	discuss it.
23	And, finally, Your Honor I think this, perhaps, is
24	one of the more important points plaintiff and
25	plaintiff-intervenors' own expert, Dr. Herek, has indicated

1	that self-reports of conversion therapy from many years ago,
2	which of course is the type of testimony that we'll be
3	receiving from Mr. Kendall, is unreliable, often inaccurate,
4	and unhelpful for serious analysis.
5	And what I'm referring to specifically, Your Honor,
6	is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2563, which I have some copies of.
7	This document, Your Honor, is Dr. Herek's commentary
8	on a study of conversion therapy conducted by a person named
9	Spitzer.
10	And if I could direct the Court's specifically,
11	Dr. Herek makes some comments here about self-reporting of
12	conversion therapy, and I think they are particularly
13	enlightening in this context.
14	What he says, on page 438 of this document, and it's
15	the last paragraph on that page, just the first couple of
16	sentences, I quote:
17	"Even if Spitzer respondents sincerely tried
18	to give true accounts of feelings and daily
19	behavior from on average 12 years prior to
20	the interview, their reports cannot be
21	assumed to be reliable. People often are
22	inaccurate when recalling earlier mental
23	states, especially when their emotions,
24	goals, or beliefs have changed in the
25	interim."

1	And he goes on further, Your Honor. So I guess our
2	position on that point is, their own expert recognizes that
3	this type of self-reporting isn't helpful for a serious
4	analysis.
5	THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Campbell, isn't this
6	an issue that the proponents themselves have raised and opened
7	in the case?
8	MR. CAMPBELL: I don't believe that we've we've
9	raised the issue of forced conversion therapy, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Well, I'm looking at your trial brief.
11	And you say:
12	"The evidence at trial will show that many
13	people freely choose their sexual
14	orientation."
15	Goes on:
16	"The evidence will further demonstrate that
17	however it is defined sexual orientation can
18	shift over time and does so for a significant
19	number of people."
20	And the proposed findings that the proponents have
21	submitted include such items as:
22	"No aspect of sexual orientation has been
23	shown to be immutable."
24	"An individual's sexual orientation can
25	change over the course of a lifetime."

1"Research shows that many individuals' sexual2orientation does change over the course of a3lifetime."4"Women's sexual orientation tends to be5particularly fluid, malleable, shaped by life6experiences, and capable of change over7time."8And:9"For many people, adopting a particular10sexual orientation is a conscious choice."11So these are findings that you yourself have put in.12MR. CAMPBELL: That's correct.13THE COURT: Or at least your colleagues.14And so it seems to me you have raised the very issue15to which this witness is going to testify.16MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your17Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or18structured therapy that would bring about these changes.19Our position in this case and our position in those20factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or21not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not22an issue that's relevant.23The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that24is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect25classification applies here.	T	
<ul> <li>lifetime."</li> <li>"Women's sexual orientation tends to be</li> <li>particularly fluid, malleable, shaped by life</li> <li>experiences, and capable of change over</li> <li>time."</li> <li>And:</li> <li>"For many people, adopting a particular</li> <li>sexual orientation is a conscious choice."</li> <li>So these are findings that you yourself have put in.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: That's correct.</li> <li>THE COURT: Or at least your colleagues.</li> <li>And so it seems to me you have raised the very issue</li> <li>to which this witness is going to testify.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your</li> <li>Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or</li> <li>structured therapy that would bring about these changes.</li> <li>Our position in this case and our position in those</li> <li>factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or</li> <li>not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not</li> <li>an issue that's relevant.</li> <li>The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that</li> <li>is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect</li> </ul>	1	"Research shows that many individuals' sexual
<ul> <li>Women's sexual orientation tends to be</li> <li>particularly fluid, malleable, shaped by life</li> <li>experiences, and capable of change over</li> <li>time."</li> <li>And:</li> <li>"For many people, adopting a particular</li> <li>sexual orientation is a conscious choice."</li> <li>So these are findings that you yourself have put in.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELI: That's correct.</li> <li>THE COURT: Or at least your colleagues.</li> <li>And so it seems to me you have raised the very issue</li> <li>to which this witness is going to testify.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELI: I think the critical distinction, Your</li> <li>Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or</li> <li>structured therapy that would bring about these changes.</li> <li>Our position in this case and our position in those</li> <li>factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or</li> <li>not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not</li> <li>an issue that's relevant.</li> <li>The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that</li> <li>is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect</li> </ul>	2	orientation does change over the course of a
<ul> <li>particularly fluid, malleable, shaped by life</li> <li>experiences, and capable of change over</li> <li>time."</li> <li>And:</li> <li>"For many people, adopting a particular</li> <li>sexual orientation is a conscious choice."</li> <li>So these are findings that you yourself have put in.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: That's correct.</li> <li>THE COURT: Or at least your colleagues.</li> <li>And so it seems to me you have raised the very issue</li> <li>to which this witness is going to testify.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your</li> <li>Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or</li> <li>structured therapy that would bring about these changes.</li> <li>Our position in this case and our position in those</li> <li>factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or</li> <li>not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not</li> <li>an issue that's relevant.</li> <li>The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that</li> <li>is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect</li> </ul>	3	lifetime."
<ul> <li>experiences, and capable of change over</li> <li>time."</li> <li>And:</li> <li>"For many people, adopting a particular</li> <li>sexual orientation is a conscious choice."</li> <li>So these are findings that you yourself have put in.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: That's correct.</li> <li>THE COURT: Or at least your colleagues.</li> <li>And so it seems to me you have raised the very issue</li> <li>to which this witness is going to testify.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your</li> <li>Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or</li> <li>structured therapy that would bring about these changes.</li> <li>Our position in this case and our position in those</li> <li>factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or</li> <li>not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not</li> <li>an issue that's relevant.</li> <li>The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that</li> <li>is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect</li> </ul>	4	"Women's sexual orientation tends to be
<ul> <li>time."</li> <li>And:</li> <li>"For many people, adopting a particular</li> <li>sexual orientation is a conscious choice."</li> <li>So these are findings that you yourself have put in.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: That's correct.</li> <li>THE COURT: Or at least your colleagues.</li> <li>And so it seems to me you have raised the very issue</li> <li>to which this witness is going to testify.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your</li> <li>Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or</li> <li>structured therapy that would bring about these changes.</li> <li>Our position in this case and our position in those</li> <li>factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or</li> <li>not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not</li> <li>an issue that's relevant.</li> <li>The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that</li> <li>is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect</li> </ul>	5	particularly fluid, malleable, shaped by life
<ul> <li>And:</li> <li>"For many people, adopting a particular</li> <li>sexual orientation is a conscious choice."</li> <li>So these are findings that you yourself have put in.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: That's correct.</li> <li>THE COURT: Or at least your colleagues.</li> <li>And so it seems to me you have raised the very issue</li> <li>to which this witness is going to testify.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your</li> <li>Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or</li> <li>structured therapy that would bring about these changes.</li> <li>Our position in this case and our position in those</li> <li>factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or</li> <li>not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not</li> <li>an issue that's relevant.</li> <li>The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that</li> <li>is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect</li> </ul>	6	experiences, and capable of change over
<ul> <li>"For many people, adopting a particular</li> <li>sexual orientation is a conscious choice."</li> <li>So these are findings that you yourself have put in.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: That's correct.</li> <li>THE COURT: Or at least your colleagues.</li> <li>And so it seems to me you have raised the very issue</li> <li>to which this witness is going to testify.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your</li> <li>Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or</li> <li>structured therapy that would bring about these changes.</li> <li>Our position in this case and our position in those</li> <li>factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or</li> <li>not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not</li> <li>an issue that's relevant.</li> <li>The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that</li> <li>is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect</li> </ul>	7	time."
<ul> <li>sexual orientation is a conscious choice."</li> <li>So these are findings that you yourself have put in.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: That's correct.</li> <li>THE COURT: Or at least your colleagues.</li> <li>And so it seems to me you have raised the very issue</li> <li>to which this witness is going to testify.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your</li> <li>Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or</li> <li>structured therapy that would bring about these changes.</li> <li>Our position in this case and our position in those</li> <li>factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or</li> <li>not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not</li> <li>an issue that's relevant.</li> <li>The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that</li> <li>is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect</li> </ul>	8	And:
<ul> <li>So these are findings that you yourself have put in.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: That's correct.</li> <li>THE COURT: Or at least your colleagues.</li> <li>And so it seems to me you have raised the very issue</li> <li>to which this witness is going to testify.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your</li> <li>Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or</li> <li>structured therapy that would bring about these changes.</li> <li>Our position in this case and our position in those</li> <li>factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or</li> <li>not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not</li> <li>an issue that's relevant.</li> <li>The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that</li> <li>is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect</li> </ul>	9	"For many people, adopting a particular
<ul> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: That's correct.</li> <li>THE COURT: Or at least your colleagues.</li> <li>And so it seems to me you have raised the very issue</li> <li>to which this witness is going to testify.</li> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your</li> <li>Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or</li> <li>structured therapy that would bring about these changes.</li> <li>Our position in this case and our position in those</li> <li>factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or</li> <li>not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not</li> <li>an issue that's relevant.</li> <li>The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that</li> <li>is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect</li> </ul>	10	sexual orientation is a conscious choice."
<ul> <li>13 THE COURT: Or at least your colleagues.</li> <li>14 And so it seems to me you have raised the very issue</li> <li>15 to which this witness is going to testify.</li> <li>16 MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your</li> <li>17 Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or</li> <li>18 structured therapy that would bring about these changes.</li> <li>19 Our position in this case and our position in those</li> <li>20 factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or</li> <li>21 not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not</li> <li>22 an issue that's relevant.</li> <li>23 The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that</li> <li>24 is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect</li> </ul>	11	So these are findings that you yourself have put in.
14And so it seems to me you have raised the very issue15to which this witness is going to testify.16MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your17Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or18structured therapy that would bring about these changes.19Our position in this case and our position in those20factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or21not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not22an issue that's relevant.23The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that24is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect	12	MR. CAMPBELL: That's correct.
15 to which this witness is going to testify. 16 MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your 17 Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or 18 structured therapy that would bring about these changes. 19 Our position in this case and our position in those 20 factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or 21 not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not 22 an issue that's relevant. 23 The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that 24 is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect	13	THE COURT: Or at least your colleagues.
<ul> <li>MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your</li> <li>Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or</li> <li>structured therapy that would bring about these changes.</li> <li>Our position in this case and our position in those</li> <li>factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or</li> <li>not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not</li> <li>an issue that's relevant.</li> <li>The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that</li> <li>is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect</li> </ul>	14	And so it seems to me you have raised the very issue
Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or structured therapy that would bring about these changes. Our position in this case and our position in those factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not an issue that's relevant. The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect	15	to which this witness is going to testify.
18 structured therapy that would bring about these changes. 19 Our position in this case and our position in those 20 factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or 21 not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not 22 an issue that's relevant. 23 The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that 24 is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect	16	MR. CAMPBELL: I think the critical distinction, Your
19Our position in this case and our position in those20factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or21not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not22an issue that's relevant.23The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that24is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect	17	Honor, is, we don't ever mention any type of forced or
20 factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or 21 not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not 22 an issue that's relevant. 23 The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that 24 is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect	18	structured therapy that would bring about these changes.
21 not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not 22 an issue that's relevant. 23 The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that 24 is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect	19	Our position in this case and our position in those
22 an issue that's relevant. 23 The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that 24 is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect	20	factual findings are that these changes do occur. Whether or
23The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that24is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect	21	not they occur through some type of structured therapy is not
24 is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect	22	an issue that's relevant.
	23	The bottom line is that the change occurs, and that
25 classification applies here.	24	is what's relevant to determining whether a suspect
	25	classification applies here.

1	THE COURT: What evidence are you going to present on
2	this?
3	MR. CAMPBELL: Well, extensive
4	THE COURT: Are you going to present evidence that
5	people have successfully changed their sexual orientation?
6	MR. CAMPBELL: We believe that through various
7	cross-examinations of some of the upcoming witnesses, as well
8	as potentially through some of our own, we will show that, Your
9	Honor, exactly what you just mentioned, that people's
10	THE COURT: Other than cross-examination, how do you
11	intend to show this?
12	MR. CAMPBELL: Well, you know, as I said, we may call
13	our own witnesses to show this, our own experts to show this.
14	But it is something that we primarily intend to show through
15	cross-examination of the plaintiffs' expert.
16	THE COURT: I see.
17	MR. CAMPBELL: As well as whatever is on this note.
18	(Laughter)
19	THE COURT: Always handy to receive a note from one
20	of your colleagues.
21	MR. CAMPBELL: As well as through studies, Your
22	Honor.
23	THE COURT: Through studies.
24	MR. CAMPBELL: Yes.
25	(Laughter)

1	
1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MR. CAMPBELL: Which we will introduce through on
3	cross and other various means.
4	Thank you, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Campbell.
6	By the way, I think you took a good deposition.
7	MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.
8	THE COURT: Well, it does seem to me that this is an
9	issue that the proponents themselves have raised in the case,
10	the fluidity of sexual orientation. It is true that this is an
11	issue which largely depends upon expert testimony.
12	But, as with so many aspects of testimony in a trial
13	and evidence in a trial, actual firsthand experience to
14	illustrate points that have been raised is very helpful, and
15	well, you're not the only one, Mr. Campbell, to receive
16	notes is very helpful.
17	And I think the testimony of Mr. Kendall on this
18	issue can be evaluated by the Court and weighed in relation to
19	the expert testimony and all the other evidence that's going to
20	be presented. And so I'm disinclined to exclude his testimony.
21	He has, after all, been deposed. Mr. Campbell has
22	had had a chance to explore this gentlemen's testimony and to
23	prepare himself. And so I think it's not unfair to the
24	proponents, having raised this issue, for Mr. Kendall to
25	testify. And, therefore, the motion to exclude him will be

denied. 1 2 Now, the note I have just been handed is that Magistrate Judge Spero can hear the core group issue right now. 3 4 So if you would designate one of your number to hightail it to 5 Magistrate Judge Spero, he can hear the matter and render a decision. 6 7 (Laughter) MR. COOPER: Very well, Your Honor. 8 9 Mr. Pugno is taking the lead for our side on these subject matters. 10 11 THE COURT: Good. Thank you. 12 MR. BOUTROUS: And I am sending Mr. McGill in for 13 that one. 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 Well, I believe, Mr. Boutrous, you're calling the 16 next witness; are you? 17 MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I'm going to have Mr. Boies explain, we're going to, first, play some video clips 18 19 of depositions, as a prelude to today's testimony from our witnesses. 20 21 Mr. Boies, would you like --22 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Boies. 23 MR. BOIES: Your Honor, we are going to play 24 deposition designations from Dr. Paul Nathanson, initially. 25 And then we are -- we are going to begin with deposition

vith m ar, ner
ar, ner
ar, ner
ar, ner
ler
ler
on.
on.
1
th
è.
nit
-
.1
se
-

т	
1	counter-designations, as soon as we are able to determine what
2	they're putting on and can identify counter-designations from
3	the deposition, if the Court please.
4	MR. BOIES: Your Honor, we gave them these
5	designations a week ago. In fact, we told them we might play
6	them last Thursday or Friday, depending on the timing. So they
7	have had the deposition designations.
8	These are clearly admissible under 32 it's
9	subsection 4 (a)(2)(4), I think. (a)(2)(4). 32(a)(2)(4), I
10	think it is, where a witness is more than a hundred miles away,
11	and we did not procure the witnesses' absence.
12	And I think they would also be admissible under
13	judicial notice. But I think they are admissible as evidence
14	under 32(a)(4)(b). 32(a)(4)(b).
15	THE COURT: Well, let's see. Where are these folks
16	located?
17	MR. BOIES: Montreal, Canada.
18	THE COURT: Both of them?
19	MR. BOIES: Both of them.
20	THE COURT: Well, that's more than a hundred miles
21	from San Francisco.
22	MR. BOIES: I took their depositions, so I know
23	exactly where they are and how cold it is there.
24	(Laughter)
25	THE COURT: All right. Well, there are probably
1	

1	multiple grounds under which the testimony is admissible.
2	Clearly, if there are counter-designations that the
3	proponents wish to have the Court consider, they may do so.
4	Although, if it's correct, Mr. Cooper, that you
5	received these designations a week ago, I would think you'd be
6	able to get in your counter-designations by now. But I'm not
7	going to foreclose you from making counter-designations once
8	you hear the testimony.
9	So, all right.
10	MR. BOIES: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	WHEREUPON:
12	PAUL NATHANSON,
13	called as a witness for the Plaintiffs herein, testified via
14	videotaped deposition played in open court.
15	(Time noted: 9:01 a.m.)
16	MR. BOIES: Your Honor, that completes
17	Dr. Nathanson's deposition excerpt. I would offer, at this
18	time, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2334, which is Dr. Nathanson's
19	resume.
20	<b>THE COURT:</b> 2354?
21	<b>MR. BOIES:</b> 2334.
22	THE COURT: '34. I beg your pardon. 2334.
23	MR. COOPER: No objection, Your Honor, to the resume
24	coming in.
25	THE COURT: Very well.

PRC	CEE	DINGS
-----	-----	-------

Г	
1	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2334 received in evidence.)
2	MR. BOIES: And, Your Honor, I would offer
3	Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2546 and 2547. 2546 is the disk, and 2547
4	is the transcript of the portions of Dr. Nathanson's testimony
5	that has just been played.
6	THE COURT: Very well. And 2546 is the CD of the
7	testimony that we just heard, correct?
8	MR. BOUTROUS: May I approach, Your Honor, and
9	provide a copy for the Court?
10	THE COURT: 2546 is the CD or the disk of the
11	testimony that we've just heard?
12	MR. BOUTROUS: That's correct, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I just want to reassert my
15	previously stated objection, and also note that that we will
16	offer the Court our counter-designations with appreciation to
17	the Court's permission, tomorrow, if that is okay.
18	THE COURT: That will be fine.
19	MR. COOPER: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Very well. Are we ready with another
21	MR. BOIES: We are, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: witness?
23	MR. BOIES: This is Professor Katherine Young's
24	deposition. And I would offer Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2335, which
25	is her resume. And I'd offer it at this time, so the Court has

it while she's testifying. 1 2 THE COURT: Very well. 3 MR. COOPER: And there's no objection to the resume 4 of Dr. Young. 5 THE COURT: Very well. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2335 received in evidence.) 6 7 MR. BOIES: And the disk of the resume is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2335, as well. We also have the disk of testimony, 8 9 which is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2544. 10 **THE COURT:** 2544? 11 MR. BOIES: 2544, which is the disk of the testimony. 12 THE COURT: Very well. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2544 received in evidence.) 13 14 MR. BOIES: And Exhibit 2545 is the transcript of the 15 testimony. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2545 received in evidence.) MR. COOPER: Your Honor, again, subject to my 18 19 previously stated points. 20 THE COURT: That will be fine, Mr. Cooper. 21 WHEREUPON: 22 KATHERINE YOUNG, called as a witness for the Plaintiffs herein, testified via 23 videotaped deposition played in open court. 24 25 (Time noted: 9:20 a.m.)

T	
1	MR. BOIES: That completes the deposition of
2	Professor Young.
3	Our next witness is Mr. Ryan Kendall, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Very well.
5	I don't believe I was handed the disk of
6	Professor Young's testimony, but the clerk has it?
7	THE CLERK: I do, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. Then, 2544. All right.
9	Why don't we take a very brief break, at this time,
10	while you bring Mr. Kendall forward, and then we'll resume in
11	ten minutes.
12	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	MR. FLYNN: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	(Recess taken from 9:39 to 9:49 a.m.)
15	THE COURT: Very well.
16	MR. FLYNN: Good morning. Ron Flynn, City and County
17	of City of San Francisco. Ron Flynn, City and County of San
18	Francisco.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Flynn.
20	MR. FLYNN: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Very well.
22	MR. FLYNN: I'm here to call the next witness,
23	Mr. Kendall.
24	THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.
25	

1	RYAN KENDALL,
2	called as a witness for the Plaintiffs herein, having been
3	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
4	THE WITNESS: I do, so help me God.
5	THE CLERK: Thank you. State your name, please.
6	THE WITNESS: Ryan Matthew Kendall.
7	THE CLERK: And spell your last name.
8	THE WITNESS: K-e-n-d-a-l-l.
9	THE CLERK: And your first name.
10	THE WITNESS: Ryan. R-y-a-n.
11	THE CLERK: Thank you, Mr. Kendall.
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. FLYNN:
14	Q. Good morning, Mr. Kendall. Where do you live?
15	A. I currently live in Denver, Colorado.
16	Q. And who do you work for?
17	A. I work for the Denver Police Department.
18	Q. What do you do for the Denver Police Department?
19	THE COURT: Keep your voice up, Mr. Flynn.
20	MR. FLYNN: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE WITNESS: I'm and NCIC agent.
22	BY MR. FLYNN:
23	Q. Can you briefly tell us what an NCIC agent is.
24	A. NCIC stands for the National Crime Information Center.
25	It's a database maintained by the FBI. And I have a clearance

Ī	
1	to work with secure criminal information that's on that
2	database.
3	Q. Mr. Kendall, I want to talk about when you were younger,
4	when you were a child.
5	Where did you grow up?
6	A. I grew up in Colorado Springs, Colorado.
7	Q. And when were you born?
8	A. In 1983.
9	Q. So you are 26 years old now?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. Where did you attend elementary school?
12	A. I went to a school called ECA, Evangelical Christian
13	Academy.
14	Q. While you were a child in Colorado Springs, did you learn
15	of the concept of gay people or homosexuality?
16	A. Yes. I remember during the discussion about Amendment 2,
17	during the Amendment 2 campaign, my parents would talk about
18	homosexuals seeking special rights, and how they were
19	essentially evil people; and how they felt threatened and how
20	our family was threatened by homosexuals.
21	Q. At that time, did you know what a homosexual was?
22	<b>A.</b> No, I didn't. I just knew it was a big, long, scary word.
23	And I found the whole concept very frightening.
24	Q. Mr. Kendall, what is your sexual orientation?
25	A. I'm a gay man.

<ol> <li>Q. When did you first realize that you were gay?</li> <li>A. When I was a little kid, I knew I liked other boys. But I</li> <li>didn't realize that that meant I was gay until I was, probably,</li> <li>11 or 12 years old.</li> <li>Q. How did you come to realize that it meant that that</li> <li>meant you were gay?</li> <li>A. I was a precocious kid. So one day I ended up looking up</li> <li>the word "homosexual" in the dictionary. And I remember</li> <li>reading the definition, something along the lines of a romantic</li> <li>attraction between members of the same sex. And it slowly</li> <li>dawned on me that that's what I was.</li> <li>Q. Given your prior testimony about homosexuals, how did you</li> <li>feel when you realized that you were gay?</li> <li>A. Well, once I connected this all together, I realized that</li> <li>what a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the</li> <li>fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I</li> <li>was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I</li> <li>kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I</li> <li>could.</li> <li>Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted</li> <li>about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> <li>A. I was called a fagot. I was called a homo, a queer, or</li> </ol>	Ī		
<ul> <li>didn't realize that that meant I was gay until I was, probably,</li> <li>11 or 12 years old.</li> <li>Q. How did you come to realize that it meant that that</li> <li>meant you were gay?</li> <li>A. I was a precocious kid. So one day I ended up looking up</li> <li>the word "homosexual" in the dictionary. And I remember</li> <li>reading the definition, something along the lines of a romantic</li> <li>attraction between members of the same sex. And it slowly</li> <li>dawned on me that that's what I was.</li> <li>Q. Given your prior testimony about homosexuals, how did you</li> <li>feel when you realized that you were gay?</li> <li>A. Well, once I connected this all together, I realized that</li> <li>what a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the</li> <li>fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I</li> <li>was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I</li> <li>kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I</li> <li>could.</li> <li>Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted</li> <li>about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	1	Q.	When did you first realize that you were gay?
<ul> <li>4 11 or 12 years old.</li> <li>9. How did you come to realize that it meant that that</li> <li>meant you were gay?</li> <li>7 A. I was a precocious kid. So one day I ended up looking up</li> <li>8 the word "homosexual" in the dictionary. And I remember</li> <li>9 reading the definition, something along the lines of a romantic</li> <li>10 attraction between members of the same sex. And it slowly</li> <li>11 dawned on me that that's what I was.</li> <li>12 9. Given your prior testimony about homosexuals, how did you</li> <li>13 feel when you realized that you were gay?</li> <li>14 A. Well, once I connected this all together, I realized that</li> <li>15 what a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the</li> <li>16 fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I</li> <li>17 was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I</li> <li>18 kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I</li> <li>19 could.</li> <li>20. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>11 A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted</li> <li>12 about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>13 would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>20 What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	2	А.	When I was a little kid, I knew I liked other boys. But I
<ul> <li>S Q. How did you come to realize that it meant that that meant you were gay?</li> <li>A. I was a precocious kid. So one day I ended up looking up the word "homosexual" in the dictionary. And I remember reading the definition, something along the lines of a romantic attraction between members of the same sex. And it slowly dawned on me that that's what I was.</li> <li>Q. Given your prior testimony about homosexuals, how did you feel when you realized that you were gay?</li> <li>A. Well, once I connected this all together, I realized that what a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I could.</li> <li>Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	3	didn	't realize that that meant I was gay until I was, probably,
<ul> <li>meant you were gay?</li> <li>A. I was a precocious kid. So one day I ended up looking up</li> <li>the word "homosexual" in the dictionary. And I remember</li> <li>reading the definition, something along the lines of a romantic</li> <li>attraction between members of the same sex. And it slowly</li> <li>dawned on me that that's what I was.</li> <li>Q. Given your prior testimony about homosexuals, how did you</li> <li>feel when you realized that you were gay?</li> <li>A. Well, once I connected this all together, I realized that</li> <li>what a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the</li> <li>fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I</li> <li>was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I</li> <li>kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I</li> <li>could.</li> <li>Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted</li> <li>about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	4	11 o:	r 12 years old.
<ul> <li>7 A. I was a precocious kid. So one day I ended up looking up</li> <li>8 the word "homosexual" in the dictionary. And I remember</li> <li>9 reading the definition, something along the lines of a romantic</li> <li>10 attraction between members of the same sex. And it slowly</li> <li>11 dawned on me that that's what I was.</li> <li>12 Q. Given your prior testimony about homosexuals, how did you</li> <li>13 feel when you realized that you were gay?</li> <li>14 A. Well, once I connected this all together, I realized that</li> <li>15 what a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the</li> <li>16 fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I</li> <li>17 was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I</li> <li>18 kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I</li> <li>19 could.</li> <li>10 Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>21 A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted</li> <li>22 about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>23 would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>24 Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	5	Q.	How did you come to realize that it meant that that
<ul> <li>8 the word "homosexual" in the dictionary. And I remember</li> <li>9 reading the definition, something along the lines of a romantic</li> <li>10 attraction between members of the same sex. And it slowly</li> <li>11 dawned on me that that's what I was.</li> <li>12 Q. Given your prior testimony about homosexuals, how did you</li> <li>13 feel when you realized that you were gay?</li> <li>14 A. Well, once I connected this all together, I realized that</li> <li>15 what a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the</li> <li>16 fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I</li> <li>17 was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I</li> <li>18 kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I</li> <li>19 could.</li> <li>20 Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>11 A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted</li> <li>21 about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>22 would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>24 Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	6	mean	t you were gay?
<ul> <li>9 reading the definition, something along the lines of a romantic</li> <li>attraction between members of the same sex. And it slowly</li> <li>dawned on me that that's what I was.</li> <li>Q. Given your prior testimony about homosexuals, how did you</li> <li>feel when you realized that you were gay?</li> <li>A. Well, once I connected this all together, I realized that</li> <li>what a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the</li> <li>fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I</li> <li>was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I</li> <li>kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I</li> <li>could.</li> <li>Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted</li> <li>about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	7	А.	I was a precocious kid. So one day I ended up looking up
<ul> <li>10 attraction between members of the same sex. And it slowly</li> <li>11 dawned on me that that's what I was.</li> <li>12 Q. Given your prior testimony about homosexuals, how did you</li> <li>13 feel when you realized that you were gay?</li> <li>14 A. Well, once I connected this all together, I realized that</li> <li>15 what a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the</li> <li>16 fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I</li> <li>17 was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I</li> <li>18 kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I</li> <li>19 could.</li> <li>20 Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>21 A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted</li> <li>22 about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>23 would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>24 Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	8	the v	word "homosexual" in the dictionary. And I remember
<ul> <li>dawned on me that that's what I was.</li> <li>Q. Given your prior testimony about homosexuals, how did you</li> <li>feel when you realized that you were gay?</li> <li>A. Well, once I connected this all together, I realized that</li> <li>what a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the</li> <li>fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I</li> <li>was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I</li> <li>kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I</li> <li>could.</li> <li>Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted</li> <li>about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	9	read	ing the definition, something along the lines of a romantic
<ul> <li>Q. Given your prior testimony about homosexuals, how did you</li> <li>feel when you realized that you were gay?</li> <li>A. Well, once I connected this all together, I realized that</li> <li>what a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the</li> <li>fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I</li> <li>was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I</li> <li>kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I</li> <li>could.</li> <li>Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted</li> <li>about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	10	attr	action between members of the same sex. And it slowly
13 feel when you realized that you were gay? 14 A. Well, once I connected this all together, I realized that 15 what a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the 16 fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I 17 was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I 18 kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I 19 could. 20 Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay? 21 A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted 22 about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class 23 would call me names, and things like that. 24 Q. What kind of names would they call you?	11	dawn	ed on me that that's what I was.
<ul> <li>A. Well, once I connected this all together, I realized that</li> <li>what a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the</li> <li>fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I</li> <li>was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I</li> <li>kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I</li> <li>could.</li> <li>Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted</li> <li>about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	12	Q.	Given your prior testimony about homosexuals, how did you
what a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I could. Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay? A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class would call me names, and things like that. Q. What kind of names would they call you?	13	feel	when you realized that you were gay?
16 fact that my family and community did not like this concept, I 17 was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I 18 kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I 19 could. 20 Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay? 21 A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted 22 about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class 33 would call me names, and things like that. 34 Q. What kind of names would they call you?	14	А.	Well, once I connected this all together, I realized that
<ul> <li>17 was scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I</li> <li>18 kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I</li> <li>19 could.</li> <li>20 Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>21 A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted</li> <li>22 about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>23 would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>24 Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	15	what	a homosexual was, the fact that I was a homosexual and the
<ul> <li>18 kept this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I</li> <li>19 could.</li> <li>20 Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>21 A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted</li> <li>22 about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>23 would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>24 Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	16	fact	that my family and community did not like this concept, I
<pre>19 could. 20 Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay? 21 A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted 22 about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class 23 would call me names, and things like that. 24 Q. What kind of names would they call you?</pre>	17	was	scared by that. I realized this was bad news for me. So I
<ul> <li>Q. Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?</li> <li>A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted</li> <li>about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	18	kept	this a secret, and I hid it as far away from everyone as I
21 A. When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted 22 about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class 23 would call me names, and things like that. 24 Q. What kind of names would they call you?	19	coul	d.
<ul> <li>22 about being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class</li> <li>23 would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>24 Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	20	Q.	Around this time, did anyone talk to you about being gay?
<ul> <li>23 would call me names, and things like that.</li> <li>24 Q. What kind of names would they call you?</li> </ul>	21	А.	When I was in seventh grade, I remember being taunted
24 Q. What kind of names would they call you?	22	abou	t being gay. Some of the older boys and boys in my class
	23	would	d call me names, and things like that.
25 A. I was called a fagot. I was called a homo, a queer, or	24	Q.	What kind of names would they call you?
	25	А.	I was called a fagot. I was called a homo, a queer, or

Ī	
1	even just gay.
2	Q. Other than name calling, did these boys do anything else
3	to you?
4	A. I remember one incident I have worn glasses since I was
5	like in the third grade. I need them to see. And a couple of
б	the boys took my glasses and played monkey in the middle, keep
7	away, and threw them over my head until, eventually, they broke
8	them.
9	Q. What was it like for you to be in that school? How did
10	you feel?
11	A. It was scary going into that building, realizing these
12	kids were taunting me with a word that was so close to the
13	truth. And it was very upsetting. I would go home and get in
14	the car when my parents would pick me up, crying and telling
15	them what had been going on.
16	Q. What did your parents do?
17	A. My parents were horrified that I was being treated so
18	poorly, so, eventually, they took me out of that school and
19	placed me in another one.
20	Q. At the time they did that, did your parents know that you
21	were gay?
22	A. No, they did not.
23	Q. Did your parents ever find out that you were gay?
24	A. Yes, when I was 13 years old, at one point my parents
25	discovered my journal. And for the first time in that journal,

1507

1	I had admitted to myself that I was gay. And I had actually
2	written those words. And they found that and read it.
3	Q. What happened when they found that journal?
4	A. My parents flipped out. They were very upset. They were
5	yelling. I don't remember a lot of what they said, but it was
6	pretty scary, the level of their reaction.
7	Q. Can you remember anything they said to you when they found
8	the journal?
9	A. Yes. I remember my mother looking at me and telling me
10	that I was going to burn in hell.
11	Q. Were you in a religious family?
12	A. Yes. I grew up in a very religious family. Church and
13	God were everyday parts of our life.
14	Q. So what what did you think when your mother told you
15	that?
16	A. It was shocking. I never heard anything like that from my
17	mother. I never thought that my parents would ever say
18	anything I mean, hell was the worst. You don't get much
19	worse than eternal damnation. And I was just totally stunned
20	that they had said that.
21	Q. Did your parents later tell you anything else about you
22	being gay?
23	A. After my parents found out, my home life changed a lot.
24	And my parents didn't take it very well. And I remember my
25	mother calling me names.

<ol> <li>Q. Did they make any efforts to to put you in any therapy</li> <li>or to change you in any way?</li> <li>A. Yes. Shortly after this incident, I was sent to a</li> <li>Christian therapist for reversal therapy.</li> <li>Q. Why do you say it was a Christian therapist?</li> <li>A. That's how he was identified to me.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us the goal of the Christian therapy?</li> <li>A. Yes. I was told that the goal was to make me a</li> <li>heterosexual.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you go to this therapy?</li> <li>A. I went two or three times.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember anything you did at that therapy?</li> <li>A. I remember a little bit. I remember the therapist telling</li> <li>me that homosexuality was inconsistent with Christian teaching,</li> <li>and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to</li> <li>change, and that homosexuals were bad people.</li> <li>Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the</li> <li>situation?</li> <li>A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and</li> <li>to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation</li> <li>where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I</li> <li>was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.</li> <li>And I remember feeling very, very alone.</li> <li>Q. Was the therapy successful? By that I mean, did it reach</li> </ol>			
<ul> <li>A. Yes. Shortly after this incident, I was sent to a</li> <li>Christian therapist for reversal therapy.</li> <li>Q. Why do you say it was a Christian therapist?</li> <li>A. That's how he was identified to me.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us the goal of the Christian therapy?</li> <li>A. Yes. I was told that the goal was to make me a</li> <li>heterosexual.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you go to this therapy?</li> <li>A. I went two or three times.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember anything you did at that therapy?</li> <li>A. I remember a little bit. I remember the therapist telling</li> <li>me that homosexuality was inconsistent with Christian teaching,</li> <li>and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to</li> <li>change, and that homosexuals were bad people.</li> <li>Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the</li> <li>situation?</li> <li>A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and</li> <li>to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation</li> <li>where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I</li> <li>was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.</li> </ul>	1	Q. Did they make any efforts to to put you in any therapy	
<ul> <li>4 Christian therapist for reversal therapy.</li> <li>5 Q. Why do you say it was a Christian therapist?</li> <li>6 A. That's how he was identified to me.</li> <li>7 Q. Can you tell us the goal of the Christian therapy?</li> <li>8 A. Yes. I was told that the goal was to make me a</li> <li>9 heterosexual.</li> <li>10 Q. How many times did you go to this therapy?</li> <li>11 A. I went two or three times.</li> <li>12 Q. Do you remember anything you did at that therapy?</li> <li>13 A. I remember a little bit. I remember the therapist telling</li> <li>14 me that homosexuality was inconsistent with Christian teaching,</li> <li>15 and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to</li> <li>16 change, and that homosexuals were bad people.</li> <li>17 Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the</li> <li>18 situation?</li> <li>19 A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and</li> <li>10 to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation</li> <li>11 where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I</li> <li>12 was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.</li> <li>13 An I remember feeling very, very alone.</li> </ul>	2	or to change you in any way?	
<ul> <li>5 Q. Why do you say it was a Christian therapist?</li> <li>6 A. That's how he was identified to me.</li> <li>7 Q. Can you tell us the goal of the Christian therapy?</li> <li>8 A. Yes. I was told that the goal was to make me a</li> <li>9 heterosexual.</li> <li>10 Q. How many times did you go to this therapy?</li> <li>11 A. I went two or three times.</li> <li>12 Q. Do you remember anything you did at that therapy?</li> <li>13 A. I remember a little bit. I remember the therapist telling</li> <li>14 me that homosexuality was inconsistent with Christian teaching,</li> <li>15 and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to</li> <li>16 change, and that homosexuals were bad people.</li> <li>17 Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the</li> <li>18 situation?</li> <li>19 A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and</li> <li>10 to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation</li> <li>11 where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I</li> <li>12 was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.</li> <li>13 And I remember feeling very, very alone.</li> </ul>	3	A. Yes. Shortly after this incident, I was sent to a	
<ul> <li>A. That's how he was identified to me.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell us the goal of the Christian therapy?</li> <li>A. Yes. I was told that the goal was to make me a</li> <li>heterosexual.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you go to this therapy?</li> <li>A. I went two or three times.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember anything you did at that therapy?</li> <li>A. I remember a little bit. I remember the therapist telling</li> <li>me that homosexuality was inconsistent with Christian teaching,</li> <li>and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to</li> <li>change, and that homosexuals were bad people.</li> <li>Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the</li> <li>situation?</li> <li>A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and</li> <li>to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation</li> <li>where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I</li> <li>was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.</li> <li>And I remember feeling very, very alone.</li> </ul>	4	Christian therapist for reversal therapy.	
<ul> <li>Q. Can you tell us the goal of the Christian therapy?</li> <li>A. Yes. I was told that the goal was to make me a</li> <li>heterosexual.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you go to this therapy?</li> <li>A. I went two or three times.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember anything you did at that therapy?</li> <li>A. I remember a little bit. I remember the therapist telling</li> <li>me that homosexuality was inconsistent with Christian teaching,</li> <li>and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to</li> <li>change, and that homosexuals were bad people.</li> <li>Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the</li> <li>situation?</li> <li>A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and</li> <li>to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation</li> <li>where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I</li> <li>was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.</li> <li>And I remember feeling very, very alone.</li> </ul>	5	<b>Q.</b> Why do you say it was a Christian therapist?	
<ul> <li>A. Yes. I was told that the goal was to make me a</li> <li>heterosexual.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you go to this therapy?</li> <li>A. I went two or three times.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember anything you did at that therapy?</li> <li>A. I remember a little bit. I remember the therapist telling</li> <li>me that homosexuality was inconsistent with Christian teaching,</li> <li>and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to</li> <li>change, and that homosexuals were bad people.</li> <li>Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the</li> <li>situation?</li> <li>A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and</li> <li>to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation</li> <li>where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I</li> <li>was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.</li> <li>And I remember feeling very, very alone.</li> </ul>	6	A. That's how he was identified to me.	
<ul> <li>heterosexual.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you go to this therapy?</li> <li>A. I went two or three times.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember anything you did at that therapy?</li> <li>A. I remember a little bit. I remember the therapist telling</li> <li>me that homosexuality was inconsistent with Christian teaching,</li> <li>and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to</li> <li>change, and that homosexuals were bad people.</li> <li>Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the</li> <li>situation?</li> <li>A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and</li> <li>to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation</li> <li>where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I</li> <li>was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.</li> <li>And I remember feeling very, very alone.</li> </ul>	7	Q. Can you tell us the goal of the Christian therapy?	
<ul> <li>9. How many times did you go to this therapy?</li> <li>A. I went two or three times.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember anything you did at that therapy?</li> <li>A. I remember a little bit. I remember the therapist telling</li> <li>me that homosexuality was inconsistent with Christian teaching,</li> <li>and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to</li> <li>change, and that homosexuals were bad people.</li> <li>Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the</li> <li>situation?</li> <li>A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and</li> <li>to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation</li> <li>where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I</li> <li>was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.</li> <li>And I remember feeling very, very alone.</li> </ul>	8	A. Yes. I was told that the goal was to make me a	
<ul> <li>A. I went two or three times.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember anything you did at that therapy?</li> <li>A. I remember a little bit. I remember the therapist telling me that homosexuality was inconsistent with Christian teaching, and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to change, and that homosexuals were bad people.</li> <li>Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the situation?</li> <li>A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.</li> <li>And I remember feeling very, very alone.</li> </ul>	9	heterosexual.	
<ul> <li>Q. Do you remember anything you did at that therapy?</li> <li>A. I remember a little bit. I remember the therapist telling</li> <li>me that homosexuality was inconsistent with Christian teaching,</li> <li>and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to</li> <li>change, and that homosexuals were bad people.</li> <li>Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the</li> <li>situation?</li> <li>A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and</li> <li>to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation</li> <li>where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I</li> <li>was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.</li> <li>And I remember feeling very, very alone.</li> </ul>	10	Q. How many times did you go to this therapy?	
<ul> <li>A. I remember a little bit. I remember the therapist telling</li> <li>me that homosexuality was inconsistent with Christian teaching,</li> <li>and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to</li> <li>change, and that homosexuals were bad people.</li> <li>Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the</li> <li>situation?</li> <li>A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and</li> <li>to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation</li> <li>where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I</li> <li>was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.</li> <li>And I remember feeling very, very alone.</li> </ul>	11	A. I went two or three times.	
14 me that homosexuality was inconsistent with Christian teaching, 15 and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to 16 change, and that homosexuals were bad people. 17 Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the 18 situation? 19 A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and 20 to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation 21 where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I 22 was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person. 23 And I remember feeling very, very alone.	12	Q. Do you remember anything you did at that therapy?	
<ul> <li>15 and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to</li> <li>16 change, and that homosexuals were bad people.</li> <li>17 Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the</li> <li>18 situation?</li> <li>19 A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and</li> <li>20 to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation</li> <li>21 where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I</li> <li>22 was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.</li> <li>23 And I remember feeling very, very alone.</li> </ul>	13	<b>A.</b> I remember a little bit. I remember the therapist telling	
16 change, and that homosexuals were bad people. 17 Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the 18 situation? 19 A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and 20 to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation 21 where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I 22 was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person. 23 And I remember feeling very, very alone.	14	me that homosexuality was inconsistent with Christian teaching,	
Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the situation? A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person. And I remember feeling very, very alone.	15	and that my parents didn't want me to be gay, and I needed to	
<pre>18 situation? 19 A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and 20 to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation 21 where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I 22 was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person. 23 And I remember feeling very, very alone.</pre>	16	change, and that homosexuals were bad people.	
19 A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and 20 to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation 21 where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I 22 was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person. 23 And I remember feeling very, very alone.	17	Q. Did Christian therapy make you feel better about the	
20 to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation 21 where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I 22 was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person. 23 And I remember feeling very, very alone.	18	situation?	
21 where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I 22 was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person. 23 And I remember feeling very, very alone.	19	A. No, it didn't. I I always wanted to be a good kid and	
<ul><li>22 was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.</li><li>23 And I remember feeling very, very alone.</li></ul>	20	to make my parents proud. And, suddenly, I was in a situation	
23 And I remember feeling very, very alone.	21	where they were taking me to see this guy who was telling me I	
	22	was a bad person, and they were telling me I was a bad person.	
24 <b>Q.</b> Was the therapy successful? By that I mean, did it reach	23	And I remember feeling very, very alone.	
	24	${\tt Q}$ . Was the therapy successful? By that I mean, did it reach	
25 its goal of making you into a heterosexual?	25	its goal of making you into a heterosexual?	

Α.	No. I was still gay.
Q.	Did you try to become heterosexual during those therapy
sess	ions?
Α.	No, I didn't think it was possible.
Q.	Why not?
Α.	I knew I was gay just like I knew I'm short and I'm half
Hisp	anic. And I just never thought that those facts would
chan	ge.
Q.	When you stopped going to this therapy program, did you go
to a	ny other therapy programs?
A.	Yes. My parents had been referred by Focus on the Family,
to a	nother organization called NARTH.
Q.	What's Focus on the Family?
Α.	Focus on the Family is a Christian family ministry based
in C	olorado Springs, Colorado, where I grew up.
Q.	And what's NARTH?
A.	NARTH stands for the National Association for Reparative
Ther	apy of Homosexuality. It's a reversal therapy organization
base	d in Encino, California.
Q.	Did you voluntarily go to NARTH?
A.	No. My parents made all of those decisions for me.
Q.	How long were you at NARTH?
Α.	About a year and a half.
Q.	From what ages?
Α.	14 to 16.
	Q. sess A. Q. A. Hisp chan Q. to a A. to a Q. A. in C Q. A. Ther base Q. A. C. A. C. A.

-	
1	Q. During the time that you were at NARTH, how was your home
2	life?
3	A. My home life had changed a lot. It was like night and
4	day.
5	I remember before this all started I had the kind of
6	parents who would drive me to school, and make my lunches, and
7	write notes and put them in my lunch. And after this, they
8	were always yelling at me. They were calling me names. Uhm,
9	and they were just telling me really horrible things. And it
10	became a really emotionally and verbally abusive environment.
11	Q. What kind of names were they calling you?
12	A. Uhm, my mother would tell me that she hated me, or that I
13	was disgusting, or that I was repulsive. Once she told me that
14	she wished she had had an abortion instead of a gay son. She
15	told me that she wished I had been born with Downs Syndrome or
16	I had been mentally retarded. Things like that.
17	Q. Who did you meet with at NARTH?
18	A. I met with Dr. Joseph Nicolosi.
19	Q. Who was Dr. Joseph Nicolosi?
20	A. Nicolosi was the executive director of NARTH.
21	Q. Was he also a therapist?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Where would you where would you meet with Mr. Nicolosi?
24	A. Most of the time, I would go into my parents' room. And
25	my dad had a separate line at his desk. And I would call in

1	and do over-the-phone sessions, for like an hour or hour and a
2	half. But I did, actually, fly out to California to do some
3	in-person sessions.
4	Q. What would you talk about during those sessions?
5	A. I don't recall a lot of what was said during those
б	sessions.
7	I recall Nicolosi saying that, you know,
8	"Homosexuality is incompatible with what God wants for you, and
9	your parents want you to change," and that this is a bad thing.
10	Q. Were you given any advice on how you would be able to
11	suppress your homosexuality, in these therapy sessions?
12	A. I remember it as a general admonishment, but not a
13	specific technique, no.
14	Q. You remained a religious person through your experience at
15	NARTH, correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Is it possible that your experience at NARTH helped you
18	reconcile your faith with your identity as a gay person?
19	A. At NARTH, I was being told that I had to reject who I was
20	on the most fundamental level because what that was was dirty
21	and bad.
22	While I reconciled my faith with my identity, the
23	therapy I went to at NARTH played no role in that.
24	Q. How old were you when you stopped going to reversal
25	therapy?
l	

<ul> <li>A. I was 16 years old.</li> <li>Q. And was it successful in that you were able to suppress your homosexuality?</li> <li>A. No. I was just as gay as when I started.</li> <li>Q. Why did you stop going to reversal therapy?</li> <li>A. During this whole thing, my life had kind of fallen apart.</li> <li>I didn't have the world that I grew up in; my faith, which was very important to me; my family, which was even more important.</li> <li>Everything had just kind of stopped.</li> <li>And I just couldn't take any more. And I realized,</li> <li>at one point, that if I didn't stop going I wasn't going to survive.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>A. Uhm, I would have probably killed myself.</li> <li>Q. How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal</li> <li>therapy?</li> <li>A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>Colorado Springs.</li> <li>Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that department?</li> <li>A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I solid her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	1	1
<ul> <li>your homosexuality?</li> <li>A. No. I was just as gay as when I started.</li> <li>Q. Why did you stop going to reversal therapy?</li> <li>A. During this whole thing, my life had kind of fallen apart.</li> <li>I didn't have the world that I grew up in; my faith, which was</li> <li>very important to me; my family, which was even more important.</li> <li>Everything had just kind of stopped.</li> <li>And I just couldn't take any more. And I realized,</li> <li>at one point, that if I didn't stop going I wasn't going to</li> <li>survive.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>A. Uhm, I would have probably killed myself.</li> <li>Q. How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal</li> <li>therapy?</li> <li>A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and</li> <li>surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>Colorado Springs.</li> <li>Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>department?</li> <li>A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>told her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	1	A. I was 16 years old.
<ul> <li>A. No. I was just as gay as when I started.</li> <li>Q. Why did you stop going to reversal therapy?</li> <li>A. During this whole thing, my life had kind of fallen apart.</li> <li>I didn't have the world that I grew up in; my faith, which was</li> <li>very important to me; my family, which was even more important.</li> <li>Everything had just kind of stopped.</li> <li>And I just couldn't take any more. And I realized,</li> <li>at one point, that if I didn't stop going I wasn't going to</li> <li>survive.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>A. Uhm, I would have probably killed myself.</li> <li>Q. How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal</li> <li>therapy?</li> <li>A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and</li> <li>surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>Colorado Springs.</li> <li>Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>department?</li> <li>A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>told her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	2	Q. And was it successful in that you were able to suppress
<ul> <li>5 Q. Why did you stop going to reversal therapy?</li> <li>6 A. During this whole thing, my life had kind of fallen apart.</li> <li>7 I didn't have the world that I grew up in; my faith, which was</li> <li>8 very important to me; my family, which was even more important.</li> <li>9 Everything had just kind of stopped.</li> <li>10 And I just couldn't take any more. And I realized,</li> <li>11 at one point, that if I didn't stop going I wasn't going to</li> <li>12 survive.</li> <li>13 Q. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>14 A. Uhm, I would have probably killed myself.</li> <li>15 Q. How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal</li> <li>16 therapy?</li> <li>17 A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and</li> <li>18 surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>19 Colorado Springs.</li> <li>20 Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>21 department?</li> <li>21 A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>22 dold her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>24 going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	3	your homosexuality?
<ul> <li>A. During this whole thing, my life had kind of fallen apart.</li> <li>I didn't have the world that I grew up in; my faith, which was</li> <li>very important to me; my family, which was even more important.</li> <li>Everything had just kind of stopped.</li> <li>And I just couldn't take any more. And I realized,</li> <li>at one point, that if I didn't stop going I wasn't going to</li> <li>survive.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>A. Uhm, I would have probably killed myself.</li> <li>Q. How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal</li> <li>therapy?</li> <li>A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and</li> <li>surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>Colorado Springs.</li> <li>Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>department?</li> <li>A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>told her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	4	A. No. I was just as gay as when I started.
<ul> <li>7 I didn't have the world that I grew up in; my faith, which was</li> <li>8 very important to me; my family, which was even more important.</li> <li>9 Everything had just kind of stopped.</li> <li>10 And I just couldn't take any more. And I realized,</li> <li>11 at one point, that if I didn't stop going I wasn't going to</li> <li>12 survive.</li> <li>13 Q. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>14 A. Uhm, I would have probably killed myself.</li> <li>15 Q. How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal</li> <li>16 therapy?</li> <li>17 A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and</li> <li>18 surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>19 Colorado Springs.</li> <li>20 Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>21 department?</li> <li>21 A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>22 told her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>24 going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	5	Q. Why did you stop going to reversal therapy?
<ul> <li>8 very important to me; my family, which was even more important.</li> <li>9 Everything had just kind of stopped.</li> <li>10 And I just couldn't take any more. And I realized,</li> <li>11 at one point, that if I didn't stop going I wasn't going to</li> <li>12 survive.</li> <li>13 Q. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>14 A. Uhm, I would have probably killed myself.</li> <li>15 Q. How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal</li> <li>16 therapy?</li> <li>17 A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and</li> <li>18 surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>19 Colorado Springs.</li> <li>20 Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>21 department?</li> <li>22 A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>23 told her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>24 going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	б	<b>A.</b> During this whole thing, my life had kind of fallen apart.
<ul> <li>9 Everything had just kind of stopped.</li> <li>10 And I just couldn't take any more. And I realized,</li> <li>11 at one point, that if I didn't stop going I wasn't going to</li> <li>12 survive.</li> <li>13 Q. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>14 A. Uhm, I would have probably killed myself.</li> <li>15 Q. How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal</li> <li>16 therapy?</li> <li>17 A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and</li> <li>18 surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>19 Colorado Springs.</li> <li>20 Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>12 department?</li> <li>13 A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>14 told her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>24 going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	7	I didn't have the world that I grew up in; my faith, which was
<ul> <li>And I just couldn't take any more. And I realized,</li> <li>at one point, that if I didn't stop going I wasn't going to</li> <li>survive.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>A. Uhm, I would have probably killed myself.</li> <li>Q. How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal</li> <li>therapy?</li> <li>A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and</li> <li>surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>Colorado Springs.</li> <li>Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>department?</li> <li>A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>told her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	8	very important to me; my family, which was even more important.
<ul> <li>11 at one point, that if I didn't stop going I wasn't going to</li> <li>12 survive.</li> <li>13 Q. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>14 A. Uhm, I would have probably killed myself.</li> <li>15 Q. How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal</li> <li>16 therapy?</li> <li>17 A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and</li> <li>18 surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>19 Colorado Springs.</li> <li>20 Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>21 department?</li> <li>22 A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>23 told her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>24 going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	9	Everything had just kind of stopped.
<pre>12 survive. 13 Q. What do you mean by that? 14 A. Uhm, I would have probably killed myself. 15 Q. How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal 16 therapy? 17 A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and 18 surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in 19 Colorado Springs. 20 Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that 21 department? 22 A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I 23 told her what had been going on in my family, what had been 24 going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</pre>	10	And I just couldn't take any more. And I realized,
<ul> <li>Q. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>A. Uhm, I would have probably killed myself.</li> <li>Q. How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal</li> <li>therapy?</li> <li>A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and</li> <li>surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>Colorado Springs.</li> <li>Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>department?</li> <li>A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>told her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	11	at one point, that if I didn't stop going I wasn't going to
<ul> <li>A. Uhm, I would have probably killed myself.</li> <li>Q. How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal</li> <li>therapy?</li> <li>A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and</li> <li>surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>Colorado Springs.</li> <li>Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>department?</li> <li>A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>told her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	12	survive.
<ul> <li>15 Q. How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal</li> <li>16 therapy?</li> <li>A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and</li> <li>18 surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>19 Colorado Springs.</li> <li>20 Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>21 department?</li> <li>22 A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>23 told her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>24 going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	13	Q. What do you mean by that?
<ul> <li>16 therapy?</li> <li>A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and</li> <li>18 surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>19 Colorado Springs.</li> <li>20 Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>21 department?</li> <li>22 A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>23 told her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>24 going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	14	A. Uhm, I would have probably killed myself.
<ul> <li>17 A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and</li> <li>18 surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>19 Colorado Springs.</li> <li>20 Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>21 department?</li> <li>22 A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>23 told her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>24 going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	15	${f Q}$ . How is it that you were able to stop going to reversal
<ul> <li>18 surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in</li> <li>19 Colorado Springs.</li> <li>20 Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that</li> <li>21 department?</li> <li>22 A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I</li> <li>23 told her what had been going on in my family, what had been</li> <li>24 going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</li> </ul>	16	therapy?
19 Colorado Springs. 20 Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that 21 department? 22 A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I 23 told her what had been going on in my family, what had been 24 going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went	17	A. When I was 16, I separated myself from my family and
Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that department? A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I told her what had been going on in my family, what had been going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went	18	surrendered myself to the Department of Human Services in
<pre>21 department? 22 A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I 23 told her what had been going on in my family, what had been 24 going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went</pre>	19	Colorado Springs.
A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I told her what had been going on in my family, what had been going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went	20	Q. And what happened when you surrendered yourself to that
23 told her what had been going on in my family, what had been 24 going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went	21	department?
24 going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went	22	A. I I went in, and I spoke with the case worker. And I
	23	told her what had been going on in my family, what had been
25 back to that house, I was going to end up killing myself.	24	going on with reversal therapy. And I told her that if I went
	25	back to that house, I was going to end up killing myself.

1	And so they started a dependency and neglect	
2	proceeding to revoke my parents' custody.	
3	Q. So did you stop living with your parents and stop going to	
4	therapy?	
5	A. That's correct.	
6	Q. And did things get better?	
7	A. I was a 16-year-old kid who had just lost everything he	
8	ever knew. I didn't really know what to do. I was very lost.	
9	And so the next few years I wandered in and out of jobs. I	
10	wandered in and out of attempts at school.	
11	I was incredibly suicidal and depressed. I hated my	
12	entire life. At one point, I turned to drugs as an escape from	
13	reality and because I was, you know, trying to kill myself.	
14	So, no, things did not get better.	
15	Q. How long did this period last?	
16	A. Four or five years.	
17	<b>Q.</b> During this period, were you able to support yourself?	
18	A. It was a struggle for survival. I wasn't really able to	
19	support myself.	
20	Q. Did you rely on any public benefits, or anything like	
21	that, during this period?	
22	A. Uhm, well, when my healthcare ran out, you know, I had to	
23	go to emergency rooms to get medical care. And the only	
24	counseling I could get were through state schools, because I	
25	couldn't afford anything else.	

<ol> <li>Q. Mr. Kendall, you told us that you now work for the Denver</li> <li>Police Department, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. How long have you done that?</li> <li>A. Over two years now.</li> <li>Q. So it would be fair to say that you've now you're able</li> <li>to support yourself and you're stable?</li> <li>A. Yes. It's been a a long, hard journey. But I have</li> <li>fought with every bit of myself to take care of myself, to get</li> <li>a good job, to get someplace to live. And I've been able to do</li> <li>that.</li> <li>Q. I just have a couple of questions for you, a couple more</li> <li>questions.</li> <li>Mr. Kendall, are you a member of any organization</li> <li>that advocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me which ones?</li> <li>A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> <li>county of Denver.</li> </ol>				
<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. How long have you done that?</li> <li>A. Over two years now.</li> <li>Q. So it would be fair to say that you've now you're able</li> <li>to support yourself and you're stable?</li> <li>A. Yes. It's been a a long, hard journey. But I have</li> <li>fought with every bit of myself to take care of myself, to get</li> <li>a good job, to get someplace to live. And I've been able to do</li> <li>that.</li> <li>Q. I just have a couple of questions for you, a couple more</li> <li>questions.</li> <li>Mr. Kendall, are you a member of any organization</li> <li>that advocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me which ones?</li> <li>A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>mayor's office on GLET-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	1	<b>Q.</b> M	r. Kendall, you told us that you now work for the Denver	
<ul> <li>4</li> <li>Q. How long have you done that?</li> <li>A. Over two years now.</li> <li>Q. So it would be fair to say that you've now you're able</li> <li>to support yourself and you're stable?</li> <li>A. Yes. It's been a a long, hard journey. But I have</li> <li>fought with every bit of myself to take care of myself, to get</li> <li>a good job, to get someplace to live. And I've been able to do</li> <li>that.</li> <li>Q. I just have a couple of questions for you, a couple more</li> <li>questions.</li> <li>Mr. Kendall, are you a member of any organization</li> <li>that advocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me which ones?</li> <li>A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the</li> <li>Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>mayor's office on GLET-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	2	Police	Department, correct?	
<ul> <li>A. Over two years now.</li> <li>Q. So it would be fair to say that you've now you're able</li> <li>to support yourself and you're stable?</li> <li>A. Yes. It's been a a long, hard journey. But I have</li> <li>fought with every bit of myself to take care of myself, to get</li> <li>a good job, to get someplace to live. And I've been able to do</li> <li>that.</li> <li>Q. I just have a couple of questions for you, a couple more</li> <li>questions.</li> <li>Mr. Kendall, are you a member of any organization</li> <li>that advocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me which ones?</li> <li>A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the</li> <li>Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	3	<b>A.</b> T	hat's correct.	
<ul> <li>6 Q. So it would be fair to say that you've now you're able</li> <li>7 to support yourself and you're stable?</li> <li>8 A. Yes. It's been a a long, hard journey. But I have</li> <li>9 fought with every bit of myself to take care of myself, to get</li> <li>10 a good job, to get someplace to live. And I've been able to do</li> <li>11 that.</li> <li>12 Q. I just have a couple of questions for you, a couple more</li> <li>13 questions.</li> <li>14 Mr. Kendall, are you a member of any organization</li> <li>15 that advocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians?</li> <li>16 A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>17 Q. Can you tell me which ones?</li> <li>18 A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>19 Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the</li> <li>20 Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>21 Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>22 A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>23 mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	4	Q. H	ow long have you done that?	
<pre>7 to support yourself and you're stable? 8 A. Yes. It's been a a long, hard journey. But I have 9 fought with every bit of myself to take care of myself, to get 10 a good job, to get someplace to live. And I've been able to do 11 that. 12 Q. I just have a couple of questions for you, a couple more 13 questions. 14 Mr. Kendall, are you a member of any organization 15 that advocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians? 16 A. Yes, I am. 17 Q. Can you tell me which ones? 18 A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log 19 Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the 20 Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission. 21 Q. What is that commission? 22 A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the 23 mayor's office on GLET-related issues within the city and</pre>	5	<b>A.</b> 0 <sup>-</sup>	ver two years now.	
<ul> <li>A. Yes. It's been a a long, hard journey. But I have</li> <li>fought with every bit of myself to take care of myself, to get</li> <li>a good job, to get someplace to live. And I've been able to do</li> <li>that.</li> <li>Q. I just have a couple of questions for you, a couple more</li> <li>questions.</li> <li>Mr. Kendall, are you a member of any organization</li> <li>that advocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me which ones?</li> <li>A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the</li> <li>Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	6	<b>Q.</b> S	o it would be fair to say that you've now you're able	
<ul> <li>fought with every bit of myself to take care of myself, to get</li> <li>a good job, to get someplace to live. And I've been able to do</li> <li>that.</li> <li>Q. I just have a couple of questions for you, a couple more</li> <li>questions.</li> <li>Mr. Kendall, are you a member of any organization</li> <li>that advocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me which ones?</li> <li>A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the</li> <li>Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	7	to sup	port yourself and you're stable?	
<ul> <li>a good job, to get someplace to live. And I've been able to do</li> <li>that.</li> <li>Q. I just have a couple of questions for you, a couple more</li> <li>questions.</li> <li>Mr. Kendall, are you a member of any organization</li> <li>that advocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me which ones?</li> <li>A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the</li> <li>Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	8	<b>A.</b> Y	es. It's been a a long, hard journey. But I have	
<ul> <li>11 that.</li> <li>12 Q. I just have a couple of questions for you, a couple more</li> <li>13 questions.</li> <li>14 Mr. Kendall, are you a member of any organization</li> <li>15 that advocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians?</li> <li>16 A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>17 Q. Can you tell me which ones?</li> <li>18 A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>19 Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the</li> <li>10 Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>21 Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>22 A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>23 mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	9	fought	with every bit of myself to take care of myself, to get	
<ul> <li>Q. I just have a couple of questions for you, a couple more questions.</li> <li>Mr. Kendall, are you a member of any organization</li> <li>that advocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me which ones?</li> <li>A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the</li> <li>Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	10	a good	job, to get someplace to live. And I've been able to do	
<pre>13 questions. 14 Mr. Kendall, are you a member of any organization 15 that advocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians? 16 A. Yes, I am. 17 Q. Can you tell me which ones? 18 A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log 19 Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the 20 Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission. 21 Q. What is that commission? 22 A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the 23 mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</pre>	11	that.		
<ul> <li>Mr. Kendall, are you a member of any organization</li> <li>that advocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me which ones?</li> <li>A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the</li> <li>Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	12	<b>Q.</b> I	just have a couple of questions for you, a couple more	
<ul> <li>15 that advocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians?</li> <li>16 A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>17 Q. Can you tell me which ones?</li> <li>18 A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>19 Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the</li> <li>20 Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>21 Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>22 A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>23 mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	13	questi	ons.	
<ul> <li>16 A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>17 Q. Can you tell me which ones?</li> <li>18 A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>19 Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the</li> <li>20 Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>21 Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>22 A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>23 mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	14		Mr. Kendall, are you a member of any organization	
<ul> <li>Q. Can you tell me which ones?</li> <li>A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the</li> <li>Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	15	that a	dvocates for greater rights for gays and lesbians?	
<ul> <li>18 A. I'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log</li> <li>19 Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the</li> <li>20 Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>21 Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>22 A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>23 mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	16	<b>A.</b> Y	es, I am.	
<ul> <li>19 Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the</li> <li>20 Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>21 Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>22 A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>23 mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	17	<b>Q.</b> C	an you tell me which ones?	
<ul> <li>20 Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.</li> <li>21 Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>22 A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>23 mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	18	A. I	'm a member of the National or I'm a member of the Log	
<ul> <li>Q. What is that commission?</li> <li>A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	19	Cabin Republicans. And I'm also the current chair of the		
<ul> <li>A. It's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the</li> <li>mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and</li> </ul>	20	Denver Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual, and Transgender Commission.		
23 mayor's office on GLBT-related issues within the city and	21	<b>Q.</b> W	hat is that commission?	
	22	A. I	t's a advisory body that advises city agencies in the	
24 county of Denver.	23	mayor'	s office on GLBT-related issues within the city and	
	24	county of Denver.		
25 <b>Q.</b> Are you here to testify today as a member of Log Cabin or	25	<b>Q.</b> A:	re you here to testify today as a member of Log Cabin or	

1	as a member of that commission?
2	A. No. I came here to testify as myself, Ryan Kendall.
3	Q. Do you consider yourself personally an advocate for gay
4	and lesbian rights?
5	A. In my personal life I am, yes.
6	Q. Mr. Kendall, was anything you said today in court shaped
7	by your role as an advocate for gay and lesbian rights?
8	A. Absolutely not. I've just told you my story, what
9	happened to me.
10	MR. FLYNN: Thank you. I have no further questions
11	for you.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Campbell, you may cross-examine.
13	MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	CROSS EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. CAMPBELL:
16	Q. Good morning, Mr. Kendall. I just have a few questions
17	for you.
18	Have you ever lived in the state of California?
19	A. No, I have not.
20	Q. And you didn't have any role in the campaign to oppose
21	Proposition 8, did you?
22	A. No, I did not.
23	Q. You didn't see any of the Yes On 8 campaign materials, did
24	you?
25	A. No, I did not.

-		
1	Q.	You were contacted by someone from the San Francisco City
2	Atto	rney's Office who asked you to participate as a witness in
3	this	case; isn't that true?
4	А.	Yes.
5	Q.	When were you contacted by this person from the
6	San I	Francisco City Attorney's Office?
7	А.	I don't recall exactly. I think it was late October.
8	Q.	You have never read a scientific study addressing the
9	conce	ept of sexual orientation; isn't that true?
10	А.	That is true.
11	Q.	And isn't it also true that you have never studied whether
12	a per	rson's sexual orientation can change throughout the course
13	of h:	is or her lifetime?
14	А.	No, I haven't studied it.
15	Q.	Isn't it also true that you know people who have professed
16	to be	e one sexual orientation and then, at a later time,
17	profe	essed to be another?
18	А.	In public, yes.
19	Q.	And isn't it also true that you're not familiar with the
20	Amer	ican Psychological Association's position on conversion
21	thera	apy?
22	А.	That's also true.
23	Q.	You talked at length about your experience with conversion
24	thera	apy. I just want to touch on some of those points.
25		You were compelled to go to conversion therapy by

1	your	parents; isn't that correct?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	And nothing involved in conversion therapy was your
4	decis	sion; it was all your parents' decision. Isn't that true?
5	А.	Yes.
6	Q.	And when you began conversion therapy, you were not asked
7	to co	onsent to that particular type of counseling; isn't that
8	true	2
9	А.	That is correct.
10	Q.	At some point during your counseling, you communicated to
11	your	parents objections to the counseling treatment you
12	recei	ived at conversion therapy. Is that true?
13	А.	I communicated objections to what I was being told both in
14	my fa	amily and conversion therapy, yes.
15	Q.	But those objections, they didn't make any difference,
16	becau	use you didn't have a choice in the matter, and your
17	parer	nts compelled you to go against your will?
18	А.	That's correct.
19	Q.	Your only goal for conversion therapy was to survive the
20	expei	rience; isn't that true?
21	А.	Absolutely true.
22	Q.	You didn't have the goal of changing your sexual
23	orier	ntation I'm sorry, correction. You didn't have the goal
24	of ch	nanging your sexual attraction, correct?
25	Α.	That's correct.

Ī		
1	Q.	Indeed, you admit that you did not truly want to reduce
2	your	sexual attraction to persons of the same sex; isn't that
3	true	?
4	А.	That's correct.
5	Q.	You testified a little bit about the alleged emotional
6	harm	that you've experienced from conversion therapy; isn't
7	that	true?
8	А.	Yes.
9	Q.	And you also discussed a little bit about some of the
10	vario	ous things that your parents, specifically your mother,
11	said	to you; isn't that right?
12	А.	Yes.
13	Q.	But you have acknowledged, haven't you, that your
14	part	icular family experience that went along with conversion
15	thera	apy was just as damaging to you as the therapy itself;
16	isn'	t that correct?
17	А.	Yes, I have.
18	Q.	At some point let me do it this way.
19		At some point, your parents' custody of you was
20	revol	ked; is that true?
21	А.	Yes.
22	Q.	And that happened at age 16; is that right?
23	А.	Yes.
24	Q.	And after that point, sometime after you turned 18, you
25	went	back to live with your parents for a short period of time;

1	isn't that true?
2	A. Yes, it is.
3	Q. You've established through your testimony today you were
4	involuntarily forced to attend conversion therapy, right?
5	A. That's correct, sir.
6	Q. But you would acknowledge that some people do want and
7	voluntarily choose to undergo some form of conversion therapy?
8	A. No, sir, that's not my personal experience.
9	Q. So you would not acknowledge that that there is anyone
10	who voluntarily chooses to attend conversion therapy?
11	A. Well, I don't know everyone. But that's not my
12	experience, sir.
13	Q. So my question is: Is it your position that no one has
14	ever gone to conversion therapy voluntarily?
15	A. I can't make that absolute assumption, no. But it is my
16	experience that people don't want to go to programs like NARTH.
17	Q. Well, you acknowledged in your deposition, did you not,
18	that some people report to have effective results with
19	conversion therapy; isn't that true?
20	A. Yes.
21	MR. CAMPBELL: I have no further questions, Your
22	Honor.
23	THE COURT: Any redirect, Mr. Flynn?
24	MR. CAMPBELL: Very brief.
25	MR. FLYNN: Very brief, Your Honor.

1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. FLYNN:
3	${f Q}$ . While you were in conversion therapy, were you introduced
4	to any people who purported or were purported to you to have
5	successfully undergone conversion therapy?
6	A. Yes, I was.
7	Q. Who was that?
8	A. I remember during one of the group therapy sessions
9	Nicolosi trotted out his perfect patient, the guy who had been
10	cured of his homosexuality. And his name was Kelly.
11	Q. Did meeting Kelly have any impact on your views of
12	conversion therapy?
13	A. I remember once, when Nicolosi stepped out of the room, we
14	were talking amongst ourselves. And Kelly told me that later
15	that night he was going to a gay bar and that he was,
16	essentially, just pretending to be cured for the sake of his
17	family.
18	(Laughter)
19	Q. How did that make you feel about the therapy program?
20	A. I knew I was gay. I knew that could not be changed. And
21	this just confirmed that this wasn't going to be effective for
22	me.
23	Q. One final thing. You said you returned to live with your
24	parents; is that right?
25	A. For a brief period, yes.

-	
1	Q. How long?
2	A. Few months.
3	Q. How is your relationship with your mother now?
4	A. I don't speak to my mother.
5	MR. FLYNN: I have no further questions.
6	THE COURT: Very well. Thank you. Mr. Kendall, you
7	may step down.
8	And your next witness.
9	MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, the plaintiffs call
10	Professor Gary Segura.
11	With the Court's permission we will be handing out a
12	binder that has the main exhibits for direct testimony to the
13	Court and the witness.
14	THE COURT: Very well.
15	MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, Mr. Cooper asked if we
16	could just pause while Mr. Thompson is brought back into the
17	courtroom.
18	THE COURT: That would be fine.
19	MR. BOUTROUS: To be fair.
20	THE COURT: Absolutely.
21	I think we can do the formalities and swear the
22	witness, and get him ready to go.
23	THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.
24	
25	

	Π
1	GARY SEGURA,
2	called as a witness for the Plaintiffs herein, having been
3	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
4	THE WITNESS: I do.
5	THE CLERK: Please, have a seat.
6	State your name, please.
7	THE WITNESS: Gary Segura.
8	THE CLERK: And spell your last name.
9	THE WITNESS: S-e-g-u-r-a.
10	THE CLERK: And your first name?
11	THE WITNESS: Gary, G-a-r-y.
12	THE CLERK: Thank you.
13	(Pause)
14	THE COURT: Is Mr. Thompson back amongst us? Not
15	yet. Well, why don't we just stand up and stretch a minute.
16	MR. COOPER: He's on his way, presently.
17	(Pause)
18	THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Thompson, welcome back.
19	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Are you organized now?
21	MR. THOMPSON: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Oh, good. Then we can begin.
23	Mr. Boutrous, you have a witness on the stand.
24	MR. BOUTROUS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
25	

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
3	Q. Good morning, Professor Segura.
4	A. Good morning.
5	Q. Could you tell the Court a little bit about your academic
6	and professional background.
7	A. I'm a professor of political science in the Department of
8	Political Science at Stanford University.
9	I received a Ph.D. in political science in 1992, from
10	the University of Illinois. I've taught at a variety of
11	institutions, and came to Stanford about a year and a half ago.
12	I also at Stanford, I serve as the chair of the program on
13	Chicano Studies.
14	And I'm currently president of the Midwest Political
15	Science Association, which is the second largest professional
16	association of political scientists in the United States.
17	Q. What is the Stanford Center for Democracy?
18	A. The Stanford Center for American Democracy is a
19	newly-established center at Stanford, that I codirect with
20	another professor, designed to use empirical techniques to
21	explore data about the American electorate and its implication
22	for American democracy. Our biggest project is the American
23	National Elections Studies.
24	Q. What does the American National Elections Studies entail,
25	briefly?

1524

<ul> <li>A. The American National Elections Studies is the gold</li> <li>standard, as it were, of political science studies of the</li> <li>electorate.</li> <li>They are conducted every four years, during an</li> <li>election year, with some ancillary studies leading up to the</li> <li>election year. And it's been run consistently since 1948, so</li> <li>we have a very long portrait of what the American electorate</li> <li>thinks about politics.</li> <li>And my colleague and I just now are taking over the</li> <li>study.</li> <li>Q. Do you serve on any editorial boards of journals in your</li> <li>field of study?</li> <li>A. I do. I'm currently on the editorial board of the AJPS,</li> <li>the American Journal of Political Science.</li> <li>(Reporter interrupts.)</li> <li>American Journal of Political Science. The Journal</li> <li>of Politics, and Politics.</li> <li>Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>and research work and specialty.</li> <li>A. I think of myself as a student of political behavior,</li> <li>which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>electorate.</li> <li>They are conducted every four years, during an</li> <li>election year, with some ancillary studies leading up to the</li> <li>election year. And it's been run consistently since 1948, so</li> <li>we have a very long portrait of what the American electorate</li> <li>thinks about politics.</li> <li>And my colleague and I just now are taking over the</li> <li>study.</li> <li>Q. Do you serve on any editorial boards of journals in your</li> <li>field of study?</li> <li>A. I do. I'm currently on the editorial board of the AJPS,</li> <li>the American Journal of Political Science.</li> <li>(Reporter interrupts.)</li> <li>American Journal of Political Science. The Journal</li> <li>of Politics, and Politics.</li> <li>Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>and research work and specialty.</li> <li>A. I think of myself as a student of political</li> <li>representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,</li> <li>which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	1	A. The American National Elections Studies is the gold
<ul> <li>They are conducted every four years, during an</li> <li>election year, with some ancillary studies leading up to the</li> <li>election year. And it's been run consistently since 1948, so</li> <li>we have a very long portrait of what the American electorate</li> <li>thinks about politics.</li> <li>And my colleague and I just now are taking over the</li> <li>study.</li> <li>Q. Do you serve on any editorial boards of journals in your</li> <li>field of study?</li> <li>A. I do. I'm currently on the editorial board of the AJPS,</li> <li>the American Journal of Political Science.</li> <li>(Reporter interrupts.)</li> <li>American Journal of Political Science. The Journal</li> <li>of Politics, and Politics.</li> <li>Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>and research work and specialty.</li> <li>I think of myself as a student of political behavior,</li> <li>which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	2	standard, as it were, of political science studies of the
<ul> <li>5 election year, with some ancillary studies leading up to the</li> <li>6 election year. And it's been run consistently since 1948, so</li> <li>7 we have a very long portrait of what the American electorate</li> <li>8 thinks about politics.</li> <li>9 And my colleague and I just now are taking over the</li> <li>10 study.</li> <li>10 Do you serve on any editorial boards of journals in your</li> <li>11 field of study?</li> <li>13 A. I do. I'm currently on the editorial board of the AJPS,</li> <li>14 the American Journal of Political Science.</li> <li>15 (Reporter interrupts.)</li> <li>16 American Journal of Political Science. The Journal</li> <li>17 of Politics, and Political Research Quarterly.</li> <li>18 I've previously served on the editorial board of PS:</li> <li>19 Political Science &amp; Politics.</li> <li>20 Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>21 and research work and specialty.</li> <li>22 A. I think of myself as a student of political</li> <li>23 representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,</li> <li>24 which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	3	electorate.
<ul> <li>election year. And it's been run consistently since 1948, so</li> <li>we have a very long portrait of what the American electorate</li> <li>thinks about politics.</li> <li>And my colleague and I just now are taking over the</li> <li>study.</li> <li>Q. Do you serve on any editorial boards of journals in your</li> <li>field of study?</li> <li>A. I do. I'm currently on the editorial board of the AJPS,</li> <li>the American Journal of Political Science.</li> <li>(Reporter interrupts.)</li> <li>American Journal of Political Science. The Journal</li> <li>of Politics, and Political Research Quarterly.</li> <li>I've previously served on the editorial board of PS:</li> <li>Political Science &amp; Politics.</li> <li>Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>and research work and specialty.</li> <li>I think of myself as a student of political</li> <li>representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,</li> <li>which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	4	They are conducted every four years, during an
<pre>7 we have a very long portrait of what the American electorate 8 thinks about politics. 9 And my colleague and I just now are taking over the 10 study. 11 Q. Do you serve on any editorial boards of journals in your 12 field of study? 13 A. I do. I'm currently on the editorial board of the AJPS, 14 the American Journal of Political Science. 15 (Reporter interrupts.) 16 American Journal of Political Science. The Journal 17 of Politics, and Political Research Quarterly. 18 I've previously served on the editorial board of PS: 19 Political Science &amp; Politics. 20 Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies 21 and research work and specialty. 22 A. I think of myself as a student of political 23 representation. So my work is primarily political behavior, 24 which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</pre>	5	election year, with some ancillary studies leading up to the
<ul> <li>8 thinks about politics.</li> <li>9 And my colleague and I just now are taking over the</li> <li>10 study.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you serve on any editorial boards of journals in your</li> <li>12 field of study?</li> <li>13 A. I do. I'm currently on the editorial board of the AJPS,</li> <li>14 the American Journal of Political Science.</li> <li>15 (Reporter interrupts.)</li> <li>16 American Journal of Political Science. The Journal</li> <li>17 of Politics, and Political Research Quarterly.</li> <li>18 I've previously served on the editorial board of PS:</li> <li>19 Political Science &amp; Politics.</li> <li>20 Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>21 and research work and specialty.</li> <li>22 A. I think of myself as a student of political</li> <li>23 representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,</li> <li>24 which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	6	election year. And it's been run consistently since 1948, so
<ul> <li>And my colleague and I just now are taking over the</li> <li>study.</li> <li>Q. Do you serve on any editorial boards of journals in your</li> <li>field of study?</li> <li>A. I do. I'm currently on the editorial board of the AJPS,</li> <li>the American Journal of Political Science.</li> <li>(Reporter interrupts.)</li> <li>American Journal of Political Science. The Journal</li> <li>of Politics, and Political Research Quarterly.</li> <li>I've previously served on the editorial board of PS:</li> <li>Political Science &amp; Politics.</li> <li>Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>and research work and specialty.</li> <li>A. I think of myself as a student of political behavior,</li> <li>which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	7	we have a very long portrait of what the American electorate
<ul> <li>10 study.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you serve on any editorial boards of journals in your</li> <li>12 field of study?</li> <li>13 A. I do. I'm currently on the editorial board of the AJPS,</li> <li>14 the American Journal of Political Science.</li> <li>15 (Reporter interrupts.)</li> <li>16 American Journal of Political Science. The Journal</li> <li>17 of Politics, and Political Research Quarterly.</li> <li>18 I've previously served on the editorial board of PS:</li> <li>19 Political Science &amp; Politics.</li> <li>20 Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>21 and research work and specialty.</li> <li>22 A. I think of myself as a student of political</li> <li>23 representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,</li> <li>24 which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	8	thinks about politics.
<ul> <li>Q. Do you serve on any editorial boards of journals in your</li> <li>field of study?</li> <li>A. I do. I'm currently on the editorial board of the AJPS,</li> <li>the American Journal of Political Science.</li> <li>(Reporter interrupts.)</li> <li>American Journal of Political Science. The Journal</li> <li>of Politics, and Political Research Quarterly.</li> <li>I've previously served on the editorial board of PS:</li> <li>Political Science &amp; Politics.</li> <li>Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>and research work and specialty.</li> <li>A. I think of myself as a student of political</li> <li>representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,</li> <li>which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	9	And my colleague and I just now are taking over the
<pre>12 field of study? 13 A. I do. I'm currently on the editorial board of the AJPS, 14 the American Journal of Political Science. 15 (Reporter interrupts.) 16 American Journal of Political Science. The Journal 17 of Politics, and Political Research Quarterly. 18 I've previously served on the editorial board of PS: 19 Political Science &amp; Politics. 20 Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies 21 and research work and specialty. 22 A. I think of myself as a student of political 23 representation. So my work is primarily political behavior, 24 which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</pre>	10	study.
<ul> <li>A. I do. I'm currently on the editorial board of the AJPS,</li> <li>the American Journal of Political Science.</li> <li>(Reporter interrupts.)</li> <li>American Journal of Political Science. The Journal</li> <li>of Politics, and Political Research Quarterly.</li> <li>I've previously served on the editorial board of PS:</li> <li>Political Science &amp; Politics.</li> <li>Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>and research work and specialty.</li> <li>I think of myself as a student of political</li> <li>representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,</li> <li>which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	11	Q. Do you serve on any editorial boards of journals in your
<ul> <li>14 the American Journal of Political Science.</li> <li>15 (Reporter interrupts.)</li> <li>16 American Journal of Political Science. The Journal</li> <li>17 of Politics, and Political Research Quarterly.</li> <li>18 I've previously served on the editorial board of PS:</li> <li>19 Political Science &amp; Politics.</li> <li>20 Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>21 and research work and specialty.</li> <li>22 A. I think of myself as a student of political</li> <li>23 representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,</li> <li>24 which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	12	field of study?
<ul> <li>15 (Reporter interrupts.)</li> <li>16 American Journal of Political Science. The Journal</li> <li>17 of Politics, and Political Research Quarterly.</li> <li>18 I've previously served on the editorial board of PS:</li> <li>19 Political Science &amp; Politics.</li> <li>20 Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>21 and research work and specialty.</li> <li>22 A. I think of myself as a student of political</li> <li>23 representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,</li> <li>24 which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	13	<b>A.</b> I do. I'm currently on the editorial board of the AJPS,
<ul> <li>American Journal of Political Science. The Journal</li> <li>of Politics, and Political Research Quarterly.</li> <li>I've previously served on the editorial board of PS:</li> <li>Political Science &amp; Politics.</li> <li>Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>and research work and specialty.</li> <li>A. I think of myself as a student of political</li> <li>representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,</li> <li>which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	14	the American Journal of Political Science.
<ul> <li>17 of Politics, and Political Research Quarterly.</li> <li>18 I've previously served on the editorial board of PS:</li> <li>19 Political Science &amp; Politics.</li> <li>20 Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>21 and research work and specialty.</li> <li>22 A. I think of myself as a student of political</li> <li>23 representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,</li> <li>24 which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	15	(Reporter interrupts.)
<ul> <li>I've previously served on the editorial board of PS:</li> <li>Political Science &amp; Politics.</li> <li>Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>and research work and specialty.</li> <li>A. I think of myself as a student of political</li> <li>representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,</li> <li>which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	16	American Journal of Political Science. The Journal
19 Political Science & Politics. 20 Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies 21 and research work and specialty. 22 A. I think of myself as a student of political 23 representation. So my work is primarily political behavior, 24 which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions	17	of Politics, and Political Research Quarterly.
<ul> <li>Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies</li> <li>and research work and specialty.</li> <li>A. I think of myself as a student of political</li> <li>representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,</li> <li>which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	18	I've previously served on the editorial board of PS:
21 and research work and specialty. 22 A. I think of myself as a student of political 23 representation. So my work is primarily political behavior, 24 which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions	19	Political Science & Politics.
<ul> <li>A. I think of myself as a student of political</li> <li>representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,</li> <li>which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions</li> </ul>	20	Q. Could you describe, generally, the nature of your studies
23 representation. So my work is primarily political behavior, 24 which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions	21	and research work and specialty.
24 which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions	22	A. I think of myself as a student of political
	23	representation. So my work is primarily political behavior,
25 of citizens in the society.	24	which is looking at the mass opinions and attitudes and actions
	25	of citizens in the society.

1	As a representation theorist, what I try to look at
2	is how these things subsequently connect to the actions of
3	policymakers. So that, obviously, representation has two ends
4	to the relationship.
5	Q. Maybe you could just briefly describe what it means to be
6	a representation theorist.
7	A. So one of the vexing questions in political science, from
8	its earliest days, is whether or not democratic governance by
9	elected officials is in any way broadly responsive.
10	And so there has been debate off and on about whether
11	or not the elected officials are responsive to changing views
12	of the public, whether or not they are actually leading the
13	public; that is, the public is actually more responsive to
14	elected officials.
15	And so what I try to look at is the dynamics of how
16	communication between elites and the mass public change how
17	people view and how the elite act over time.
18	Q. In your work, have you focused on the ability of minority
19	groups to have their views heard and enacted into law?
20	A. Yes. I would say that while my work began as sort of a
21	broad understanding of political behavior and its effects, in
22	the last decade and a half or so I've tended to focus more
23	exclusively on minorities. I spent a lot of time looking at
24	racial and ethic minorities, and particularly Latinos.
25	Q. In your work, have you focused at all on the rights of gay

1	men a	and lesbians, in terms of their activities in the political
2	spher	re?
3	А.	I have.
4	Q.	And have you published any books in your career?
5	А.	I have one co-authored book, just out this month. And I
б	have	a co-edited volume from several years ago.
7	Q.	What is the name of the book that's just out this month?
8	Α.	"Latino Lives in America."
9	Q.	And could you turn to Exhibit 2330, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
10	2330	, which is in the binder that you've been provided.
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	What is that document?
13	A.	It's my CV.
14	Q.	Does that include a summary of your professional
15	back	ground?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	Does that document also include a list of your
18	publ	ications?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Have you published any articles in peer-reviewed
21	publi	ications, in your career?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	Can you give us an overview of the number and type of
24	publi	ications you have published?
25	Α.	So, I have about 42 or so total publications.

1	So about 25 of those are peer-reviewed articles,
2	meaning that it's an article-length document that's submitted
3	for a peer-review process in a journal that publishes a variety
4	of different authors each issue.
5	I also have about, some number, 15 or so chapters in
6	edited volumes, which means that I submitted the article, but
7	then the article was grouped with several others and refereed
8	in that manner.
9	Q. Have you given any conference presentations where you lay
10	out the results of your research work and theories?
11	A. Constantly.
12	Q. Can you give us a ballpark figure over the last decade?
13	A. Oh, probably, I don't know, between 20 and 40. I present
14	pretty constantly.
15	Q. Thank you.
16	And there's there's a list of examples contained
17	in Exhibit 2330, your CV?
18	A. Yeah. I think I just put the last ten years or so in.
19	Q. Thank you.
20	A. Uh-huh.
21	Q. Could you please describe your work on gay and lesbian
22	politics and political issues.
23	A. I have three pieces published, focusing specifically on
24	gays and lesbians.
25	One is a piece in an edited volume, about the various

aspects of gays and lesbians and their participation in the 1 democratic process. 2 And that piece is on how -- whether or not different 3 4 electoral structures would favor or disfavor gays and lesbians, 5 and focuses specifically on the City and County of San 6 Francisco. 7 The second is an article in a peer-reviewed journal, called "Rationality in Society," where I -- I and my co-author 8 9 tried to model the self-identification and mobilization behavior of minorities who can pass as a member of the 10 11 majority; that is, minorities whose identification as a minority is uncertain to the perceiving public. 12 13 And then the third is an introduction to a symposium in PS. And it's a satirical piece in the wake of the 2004 14 15 election, about the consequences of the 14 state ballot initiatives banning same-sex marriage in that year. 16 17 What is *PS*? Q. PS? PS is a journal that serves two purposes. 18 It's Α. published by the American Political Science Association. 19 Ιt serves both as sort of a topical journal, kind of events of the 20 day and what political scientists' take on those events would 21 be, as well as things more suited to those who are functioning 22 23 in the profession; suggestions about teaching ideas or syllabus 24 ideas, news within the profession. That. So it's both a newsletter for political scientists as 25

1	well as a presentation of topical research.
2	Q. In your classes at Stanford, do you teach any any
3	courses that focus on the participation of gay men and lesbians
4	in the political process, recently?
5	A. Uhm, I haven't actually taught gay and lesbian politics
6	for probably about a decade.
7	But at Stanford, in the courses I teach on just broad
8	questions of political behavior, and particularly in courses on
9	minority politics, I always include a unit on gays and
10	lesbians.
11	MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, at this time, I would like
12	to offer Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2330 into evidence, as well as
13	the all the other exhibits in this binder.
14	And I will present, with the Court's permission, the
15	clerk with a list. And I believe Mr. Thompson has agreed that
16	there's no objections to this list of exhibits.
17	MR. THOMPSON: That's correct, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Very well. And you are offering
19	MR. BOUTROUS: I'm offering all the documents that
20	are on this list. I can list them or provide it. Would you
21	like an additional list into evidence?
22	THE COURT: Let's let the document speak for itself.
23	(Laughter)
24	
25	

-	
1	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494,
2	831, 832, 833, 834, 835, 836, 837, 838, 839, 840,
3	841, 842, 843, 844, 2330, and 2582 received in
4	evidence.)
5	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor. Happy to do
б	that. Thank you, Your Honor.
7	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
8	Q. In connection with your work on this case, Perry vs.
9	Schwarzenegger, what issues were you asked to examine?
10	A. I was asked to evaluate gays and lesbians
11	THE COURT: You're getting opinions now. Have you
12	qualified
13	MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, yes, Your Honor. Why don't I
14	just why don't I just do that, first.
15	Your Honor, I would tender Professor I tender
16	Professor Segura as an expert on the subject of the political
17	power or powerlessness of minority groups in the United States,
18	and of gays and lesbians in particular.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Thompson.
20	MR. THOMPSON: No objection, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Very well.
22	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: You may proceed.
24	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
25	Q. Yes, what I'll restate my question.

-	
1	What issues were you asked to examine in this case?
2	A. I was asked to examine gays and lesbians and their
3	participation and and their their interest in the U.S.
4	political process, to determine whether or not I saw them as
5	being powerful or powerless, and what evidence would be brought
6	to bear to understand such a thing.
7	Q. In conducting your analysis or your work in this case,
8	what what did you do to arrive at your conclusions? What
9	type of information did you review, and what kind of things did
10	you study?
11	A. Well, the first thing I did is, I read. So there
12	there's a growing literature on gay and lesbian politics. And
13	so I went out and found out kind of what the state of that
14	literature was. Many of the pieces I was very familiar with.
15	Some were new.
16	The next thing I did was try to go through the
17	statutory status of gays and lesbians, because it varies quite
18	dramatically from state to state, in order to determine what I
19	thought the circumstances of gays and lesbians were with
20	respect to statutory protection or statutory disadvantage in
21	the states.
22	I looked at public attitudes, including very recent
23	data on public attitudes towards gays and lesbians.
24	I examined the presence or absence of gays and
25	lesbians in political office.

1	And then I spent a lot of time looking at ballot
2	initiatives, which are kind of the the central question,
3	right now, in gay and lesbian politics.
4	Q. In connection with your work, did you review and rely on
5	the documents that are listed on the exhibit list in
6	contained in the binder, aside from your CV, which is PX2330,
7	in forming your opinions in this case?
8	A. I did.
9	Q. And did you also rely on your general knowledge and
10	experience and work and reading through your career as a
11	political scientist?
12	A. I did. When I was when I went through and enumerated
13	the things that I focused on, I realized just exactly how much
14	I read, which would explain my eyesight.
15	I have been I started graduate school in 1985.
16	So, at this point, I've read thousands of journal articles and
17	hundreds if not more than a thousand books.
18	So, you know, I've read a lot about about politics
19	in the United States. Many of these things inform my views.
20	But the materials that I presented were the ones I focused on
21	to make specific points in the arguments I was making.
22	Q. Did you rely at all on a book by Robert Dahl, in forming
23	your opinion?
24	A. I did.
25	Q. Dahl, D-a-h-l.

	And is that something you mentioned in your report
and :	in your deposition in this case?
А.	It is.
Q.	And that is not a document we've included in the exhibit
list	, simply because of length, but is that a classic text in
your	field?
А.	I would describe it as canonical. Everyone reads Dahl.
Q.	In connection with your work, did you review the
depos	sition testimony of Dr. Nathanson?
А.	I did.
Q.	And did you, today, review the videotape clips that were
play	ed from Dr. Nathanson's deposition?
А.	I did. I was in the overflow room.
Q.	And in connection with your work, did you prepare a
rebu	ttal report to Dr. Nathanson's report, when he was put
fort	h as an expert in this case by the proponents of
Prop	osition 8?
А.	I did. And I was deposed a second time on that.
Q.	And did you also review the expert report and deposition
of D:	r. Miller, one of the proponents of Proposition 8's experts
in tl	his case?
А.	I did.
Q.	Did you attend Dr. Miller's deposition?
Α.	I did.
Q.	I'd like to publish demonstrative No. 1, and ask you,
	A. Q. list your A. Q. depo A. Q. play A. Q. rebu fort Prop A. Q. of D in t in t A.

1	Professor, to state very briefly, with an overview, what
2	opinions you've arrived at in this case, based on your work.
3	A. So I've I want to offer three, which I think speak to
4	the questions that I was asked to consider.
5	The first is that, in my view, when we consider the
6	U.S. political system, gays and lesbians do not possess a
7	meaningful degree of political power. They are not able to
8	protect their basic interests and effectuate their interests
9	into law and to secure those.
10	The second is that, relative to some other groups
11	that currently enjoy judicial protection, gays and lesbians are
12	actually, in the statutory and constitutional sense, worse off
13	than some of those groups were when they were granted judicial
14	protection.
15	And, finally, I I I'm deeply troubled by some
16	of the comments or some of the conclusions that
17	Professor Miller drew in his rebuttal, and I find them
18	unpersuasive.
19	${\tt Q}$ . Why don't we, as a prelude of getting to the details of
20	your testimony, talk a little bit about what you mean when you
21	talk about political power.
22	How do you define that term for purposes of your
23	analysis here today?
24	<b>A.</b> For me, political power is the ability of an individual or
25	group, through mustering their own resources, to achieve and

1	secure their interests in the political system, and to do so
2	relying primarily on their on themselves. That is, there
3	has to be an exercise whereby their resources bring about the
4	change that they're hoping to accomplish.
5	Q. Is that a definition of political power that is consistent
6	with generally-accepted notions in the literature of political
7	science?
8	A. I believe that it is. And, in fact, I think it's drawn
9	directly from Robert Dahl's classic definition that A has power
10	over B when A can get B to do something B otherwise wouldn't
11	do. And there's a key element of that, which is that A is
12	getting B to do something that B may or may not be predisposed
13	to, because that distinguishes political power from simple
14	agreement.
15	My current favorite example is, I happen to be a New
16	Orleans Saints fan. There's lots of other New Orleans Saints
17	fans. But I don't have power over them, we just happen to
18	agree.
19	Q. And in your concept and definition of political power, how
20	does the the the concept of pluralism in our democracy
21	play out?
22	A. So there there is a theory of American government that
23	was put forward, first, by the founders, by Madison in the
24	Federalist Papers, and then sort of reinvented in 20th century
25	political thought, specifically in the person of Robert Dahl,

1 that one of the biggest threats to society is faction. 2 That is, if you have individuals who are able to 3 secure and hold power over a long period of time without 4 rotation in office, that they might conceivably tyrannize other 5 parts of the society. And so, for Madison, the solution to this was the 6 7 extended republic; that in the extended republic, there would be many, many interests. And as a consequence of the plurality 8 of interests, none of them would be able to gain the upper hand 9 for a very long period of time; and that would mitigate the 10 11 dangers of faction and the risk of tyranny. In the 20th century, political theorists have 12 13 conceptualized this as pluralism; the idea that there's an almost self-equilibrating system. There are groups and 14 15 interests and if they become too powerful, they disturb the interests of individuals who are -- hold a different opinion. 16 17 And they organize. And so it's almost Newtonian: To every action, 18 there's a reaction. And this is supposed to prevent the 19 20 accumulation of power by one group. 21 But it presupposes that there's no such thing as a 22 permanent majority. And it also presupposes that this system 23 of contestation is fair. 24 And one of the chief critics of pluralism, E.E. 25 Schattschneider, has a very famous quote. And the quote is

	n
1	that, "The flaw in the pluralist heaven is that the heavenly
2	chorus sings with a decidedly upper-class accent."
3	That is, in in this contestation between groups,
4	it is people with resources that are more likely to achieve
5	outcomes; and people without resources, no matter how
6	dedicated, are going to be disadvantaged in that system.
7	Q. How does this concept of pluralism relate to the opinions
8	you are giving here today regarding the power or powerlessness
9	of gay men and lesbians in the United States?
10	<b>A.</b> I think that, by any measure, gays and lesbians would have
11	to be understood as a minority faction, in Madison's terms.
12	That is, people who accept the the normativity, if it were,
13	of heterosexuality, have held power essentially forever. So it
14	is difficult, with the resources that they have, for gays and
15	lesbians to press their cause in the political system.
16	They they just simply don't have the numbers and
17	the resources to be effective advocates in a lot of political
18	arenas.
19	<b>Q.</b> Do the courts does the judiciary play a role in
20	pluralism, in that concept of pluralism that you've just
21	described?
22	A. Well, the reason we frequently refer to our system of
23	government as "Madisonian." And we say this as a
24	contradistinction to majoritarianism, because the founders
25	specifically the founders and also the proponents of the

1	first ten Amendments of the Constitution, specifically
2	envisioned a set of constraints to sort of rein in the
3	majoritarian impulse.
4	So it is certainly a society that responds to
5	majority rule, but it's also a society where there are
6	limitations on what the majority can do.
7	The majority cannot gather together and vote to deny
8	a whole group of people, say, the right to vote or some other
9	basic right.
10	Q. Now, when you talk about obtaining politically favorable
11	outcomes, is that, in and of itself, sufficient to determine
12	whether a particular group has political power in our system?
13	A. Uhm, well, certainly, favorable outcomes is certainly a
14	positive thing that I would want to consider. I would also
15	want to know some circumstances of the favorable outcomes.
16	Were they judicially triggered as opposed to
17	legislative? Were they passed with bipartisan majorities or
18	with slim majorities? What's the arena of contestation? Are
19	we talking about a favorable outcome over some advantage that's
20	being accrued to the to the group, or are we talking about a
21	favorable outcome trying to ameliorate a severe disadvantage?
22	So we would want to take into account the process
23	whereby the outcome was achieved, and the subject matter of the
24	outcome, before we concluded that the outcome by itself was
25	sufficient evidence.

1	${f Q}$ . Can you give me an example of a favorable outcome that
2	does not necessarily reflect the successful exertion of
3	political power by gay men and lesbians?
4	A. There's a very good recent one. So, there's been a lot of
5	news recently about the newly elected mayor of Houston, who is
6	a lesbian. And this was talked about extensively in the news
7	media as, you know, holy cow, there's a gay mayor of a major
8	American city.
9	I know a little bit about Houston politics and a

10 little bit about Texas politics, particularly mayoral politics.
11 And it turns out that the race that she was elected in pitted a
12 white lesbian Democrat against an African-American male
13 Democrat.

Now, Houston is a city where there's been tremendous racial and ethnic divisions. There have been tremendous divisions over development. That's one of the key fracturing lines in Texas politics: Will the developers be allowed to do what they'd like to do, or should they be constrained?

And so there is a fairly complex web of racial and economic and social and partisan fracturing lines in Houston politics.

That she was elected certainly is a positive element to consider for gay and lesbian political power. However, I'd have to look at the context. And the context suggests there was a lot else going on in that election.

re
or not
rtner
y voted
ston, her
ough the
t Obama
sed on
it
d lesbian
0.
crimes
and
or
У
h the
of
ce of
n gays
victory

1	that, you know, creates, you know, gay spots in a service
2	academy, or something like that. We're talking about sort of
3	ameliorating a real serious element of disadvantage that gays
4	and lesbians face in American society.
5	The other thing is that, in order to get it passed,
6	it was attached as a rider to the Defense Authorization Bill.
7	And it's a common practice in Congress to attach more
8	controversial pieces of legislation to more consensual pieces
9	of legislation, to make it harder for people to vote against
10	it. So it was attached to the Defense Authorization Bill.
11	Even though it was attached to the Defense Bill,
12	75 percent of the Republicans in the United States Senate voted
13	against it. They voted against the Defense Authorization Bill,
14	which is not a customary Republican position in the Senate.
15	So I think that when we consider how the hate crimes
16	bill was passed, and the fact that we're talking about
17	criminalizing pretty vicious behavior, that would weigh against
18	a judgment for political power.
19	Q. In analyzing the political power of a particular minority
20	group, is it also appropriate to look at the vulnerability of
21	the favorable outcomes that have been achieved?
22	A. Well, I'm not sure it's it's necessarily the case in
23	all circumstances, but it's certainly the case for gays and
24	lesbians because of the role of ballot initiatives.
25	So in a number of jurisdictions, most of the western

part of the United States, and parts of the east, as well, laws
 passed by the legislature or laws passed by even city and
 county legislatures are able to be overturned by popular
 plebiscite.

5 Or there's a process where citizens can just have a 6 law voted on through the initiative process. And initiatives 7 have been used to roll back legislative gains by gays and 8 lesbians over and over again.

9 In fact, between 1990 and the middle part of the 2000s, there's been probably like 150 -- not even counting the 10 same-sex marriage votes, there's been like 150 votes on gay and 11 lesbian -- usually, on gay and lesbian antidiscrimination 12 13 protections. And they lose about 70 percent of the time. Now, when you're looking at political power on a 14 0. 15 particular issue, is it also a factor to -- that you consider 16 the importance of the issue to the gay and lesbian community, or whatever minority group you're talking about? Is that 17 18 another factor you apply when you're looking at favorable 19 outcomes?

20 A. Well, sure. I think we would want to look at the subject21 matter of any piece of legislation.

22 So, for example, in California, there's now a 23 standard clause, a standard antidiscrimination clause, that's 24 attached to the end of many pieces of California legislation. 25 And they might have to do with state licensing requirements on

1some profession or some type of business, or whatever. And2then at the end they say "shall not be discriminatory."3I wouldn't call that a victory for gay and lesbian4rights, because it's not clear that gays and lesbians were, you5know, actively working for, you know, rights in insulation6contracting or, you know, some other sort of licensing issue.7We want to focus when we want to focus on8estimating political power, we want to focus on the things that9are important to the group whose power we are trying to assess.10Q. Would marriage qualify as one of the salient important11issues that would serve as a marker?12A. Yes.13Q. Speaking of markers, in your expert opinion, what are the14markers of political powerlessness?15A. So, there were two types of markers I talked about in my16report.17The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at18the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second19were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those20results.21Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political22powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.23Could you give us an example of one manifestation24that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays25and lesbians?	,	
<ul> <li>I wouldn't call that a victory for gay and lesbian</li> <li>rights, because it's not clear that gays and lesbians were, you</li> <li>know, actively working for, you know, rights in insulation</li> <li>contracting or, you know, some other sort of licensing issue.</li> <li>We want to focus when we want to focus on</li> <li>estimating political power, we want to focus on the things that</li> <li>are important to the group whose power we are trying to assess.</li> <li>Q. Would marriage qualify as one of the salient important</li> <li>issues that would serve as a marker?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Speaking of markers, in your expert opinion, what are the</li> <li>markers of political powerlessness?</li> <li>A. So, there were two types of markers I talked about in my</li> <li>report.</li> <li>The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at</li> <li>the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second</li> <li>were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those</li> <li>results.</li> <li>Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political</li> <li>powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.</li> <li>Could you give us an example of one manifestation</li> </ul>	1	some profession or some type of business, or whatever. And
<ul> <li>rights, because it's not clear that gays and lesbians were, you</li> <li>know, actively working for, you know, rights in insulation</li> <li>contracting or, you know, some other sort of licensing issue.</li> <li>We want to focus when we want to focus on</li> <li>estimating political power, we want to focus on the things that</li> <li>are important to the group whose power we are trying to assess.</li> <li>Q. Would marriage qualify as one of the salient important</li> <li>issues that would serve as a marker?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Speaking of markers, in your expert opinion, what are the</li> <li>markers of political powerlessness?</li> <li>A. So, there were two types of markers I talked about in my</li> <li>report.</li> <li>The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at</li> <li>the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second</li> <li>were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those</li> <li>results.</li> <li>Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political</li> <li>powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.</li> <li>Could you give us an example of one manifestation</li> <li>that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays</li> </ul>	2	then at the end they say "shall not be discriminatory."
<ul> <li>know, actively working for, you know, rights in insulation</li> <li>contracting or, you know, some other sort of licensing issue.</li> <li>We want to focus when we want to focus on</li> <li>estimating political power, we want to focus on the things that</li> <li>are important to the group whose power we are trying to assess.</li> <li>Q. Would marriage qualify as one of the salient important</li> <li>issues that would serve as a marker?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Speaking of markers, in your expert opinion, what are the</li> <li>markers of political powerlessness?</li> <li>A. So, there were two types of markers I talked about in my</li> <li>report.</li> <li>The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at</li> <li>the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second</li> <li>were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those</li> <li>results.</li> <li>Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political</li> <li>powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.</li> <li>Could you give us an example of one manifestation</li> <li>that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays</li> </ul>	3	I wouldn't call that a victory for gay and lesbian
<ul> <li>contracting or, you know, some other sort of licensing issue.</li> <li>We want to focus when we want to focus on</li> <li>estimating political power, we want to focus on the things that</li> <li>are important to the group whose power we are trying to assess.</li> <li>Q. Would marriage qualify as one of the salient important</li> <li>issues that would serve as a marker?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Speaking of markers, in your expert opinion, what are the</li> <li>markers of political powerlessness?</li> <li>A. So, there were two types of markers I talked about in my</li> <li>report.</li> <li>The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at</li> <li>the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second</li> <li>were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those</li> <li>results.</li> <li>Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political</li> <li>powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.</li> <li>Could you give us an example of one manifestation</li> </ul>	4	rights, because it's not clear that gays and lesbians were, you
<ul> <li>We want to focus when we want to focus on</li> <li>estimating political power, we want to focus on the things that</li> <li>are important to the group whose power we are trying to assess.</li> <li>Q. Would marriage qualify as one of the salient important</li> <li>issues that would serve as a marker?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Speaking of markers, in your expert opinion, what are the</li> <li>markers of political powerlessness?</li> <li>A. So, there were two types of markers I talked about in my</li> <li>report.</li> <li>The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at</li> <li>the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second</li> <li>were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those</li> <li>results.</li> <li>Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political</li> <li>powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.</li> <li>Could you give us an example of one manifestation</li> <li>that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays</li> </ul>	5	know, actively working for, you know, rights in insulation
<ul> <li>8 estimating political power, we want to focus on the things that</li> <li>9 are important to the group whose power we are trying to assess.</li> <li>10 Q. Would marriage qualify as one of the salient important</li> <li>11 issues that would serve as a marker?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Speaking of markers, in your expert opinion, what are the</li> <li>14 markers of political powerlessness?</li> <li>14 A. So, there were two types of markers I talked about in my</li> <li>16 report.</li> <li>17 The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at</li> <li>18 the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second</li> <li>19 were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those</li> <li>10 results.</li> <li>20. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political</li> <li>21 powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.</li> <li>22 Could you give us an example of one manifestation</li> <li>24 that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays</li> </ul>	6	contracting or, you know, some other sort of licensing issue.
<ul> <li>are important to the group whose power we are trying to assess.</li> <li>Q. Would marriage qualify as one of the salient important</li> <li>issues that would serve as a marker?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Speaking of markers, in your expert opinion, what are the</li> <li>markers of political powerlessness?</li> <li>A. So, there were two types of markers I talked about in my</li> <li>report.</li> <li>The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at</li> <li>the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second</li> <li>were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those</li> <li>results.</li> <li>Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political</li> <li>powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.</li> <li>Could you give us an example of one manifestation</li> <li>that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays</li> </ul>	7	We want to focus when we want to focus on
<ul> <li>Q. Would marriage qualify as one of the salient important</li> <li>issues that would serve as a marker?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Speaking of markers, in your expert opinion, what are the</li> <li>markers of political powerlessness?</li> <li>A. So, there were two types of markers I talked about in my</li> <li>report.</li> <li>The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at</li> <li>the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second</li> <li>were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those</li> <li>results.</li> <li>Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political</li> <li>powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.</li> <li>Could you give us an example of one manifestation</li> <li>that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays</li> </ul>	8	estimating political power, we want to focus on the things that
<ul> <li>11 issues that would serve as a marker?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Speaking of markers, in your expert opinion, what are the markers of political powerlessness?</li> <li>14 and the source of markers I talked about in my report.</li> <li>17 The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those results.</li> <li>19 Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.</li> <li>13 Could you give us an example of one manifestation that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays</li> </ul>	9	are important to the group whose power we are trying to assess.
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Speaking of markers, in your expert opinion, what are the markers of political powerlessness?</li> <li>A. So, there were two types of markers I talked about in my report.</li> <li>The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those</li> <li>results.</li> <li>Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.</li> <li>Could you give us an example of one manifestation</li> <li>that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays</li> </ul>	10	Q. Would marriage qualify as one of the salient important
<ul> <li>Q. Speaking of markers, in your expert opinion, what are the markers of political powerlessness?</li> <li>A. So, there were two types of markers I talked about in my report.</li> <li>The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those results.</li> <li>Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.</li> <li>Could you give us an example of one manifestation that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays</li> </ul>	11	issues that would serve as a marker?
14 markers of political powerlessness? 15 A. So, there were two types of markers I talked about in my 16 report. 17 The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at 18 the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second 19 were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those 20 results. 21 Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political 22 powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States. 23 Could you give us an example of one manifestation 24 that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays	12	A. Yes.
<ul> <li>A. So, there were two types of markers I talked about in my</li> <li>report.</li> <li>The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at</li> <li>the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second</li> <li>were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those</li> <li>results.</li> <li>Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political</li> <li>powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.</li> <li>Could you give us an example of one manifestation</li> <li>that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays</li> </ul>	13	Q. Speaking of markers, in your expert opinion, what are the
16 report. 17 The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at 18 the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second 19 were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those 20 results. 21 Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political 22 powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States. 23 Could you give us an example of one manifestation 24 that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays	14	markers of political powerlessness?
<ul> <li>17 The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at</li> <li>18 the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second</li> <li>19 were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those</li> <li>20 results.</li> <li>21 Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political</li> <li>22 powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.</li> <li>23 Could you give us an example of one manifestation</li> <li>24 that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays</li> </ul>	15	A. So, there were two types of markers I talked about in my
18 the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second 19 were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those 20 results. 21 Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political 22 powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States. 23 Could you give us an example of one manifestation 24 that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays	16	report.
19 were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those 20 results. 21 Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political 22 powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States. 23 Could you give us an example of one manifestation 24 that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays	17	The first are sort of manifestations: Can we look at
20 results. 21 Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political 22 powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States. 23 Could you give us an example of one manifestation 24 that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays	18	the results of power or powerlessness? And then the second
<ul> <li>Q. Why don't we start with the manifestations of political</li> <li>powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.</li> <li>Could you give us an example of one manifestation</li> <li>that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays</li> </ul>	19	were the causes or the factors that might contribute to those
22 powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States. 23 Could you give us an example of one manifestation 24 that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays	20	results.
23 Could you give us an example of one manifestation 24 that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays	21	${\tt Q}$ . Why don't we start with the manifestations of political
24 that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays	22	powerlessness of gays and lesbians in the United States.
	23	Could you give us an example of one manifestation
25 and lesbians?	24	that supports your opinion regarding the powerlessness of gays
	25	and lesbians?

	-
1	A. Sure. The first thing I would look at is the is the
2	absence of statutory protection or the presence of statutory
3	disadvantage. So if if there are laws hurting you and there
4	are no laws helping you, that would be evidence that you have a
5	lack of power.
6	Q. I would like to display demonstrative 3, which and ask
7	you to comment a little bit about the absence of protections in
8	the United States for gay gays and lesbians.
9	A. Okay.
10	Q. And, in fact, could you describe what this demonstrative
11	3, that we put up on the screen, reflects.
12	(Document displayed.)
13	A. These are this map displays the states that have
14	statewide some form of statewide protection for employment
15	nondiscrimination against gays and lesbians.
16	Q. And how many states do not include protections based on
17	sexual orientation, against discrimination?
18	A. Twenty-nine.
19	Q. You watched Dr. Nathanson's testimony this morning,
20	correct?
21	A. I did.
22	Q. And you heard him mention the Matthew Shepard case?
23	A. I did.
24	Q. Which state was Matthew Shepard's where did that event
25	regarding Matthew Shepard occur?

1A. Wyoming.2Q. And is Wyoming one of the states that has, since that3event, enacted any kind of protection based on sexual4orientation discrimination?5A. Wyoming has no protection. And this is a little bit off6the topic, but Wyoming doesn't even have a hate crimes law.7Q. In terms of the ten largest states in the United States,8how many of them have laws that provide protection against9discrimination based on sexual orientation?10A. Three.11Q. Let's look at the federal system. Are there any statutory12absences in the federal system that, to your mind, indicate, in13your expert view, a lack of political power on the part of gay14men and lesbians?15A. Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory16disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no17federal-level antidiscrimination protection for housing and18employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on19any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill.20There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and21lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment,22as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act.23There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from24service in the military.25And, historically, at one point, gays and lesbians		
<ul> <li>a event, enacted any kind of protection based on sexual</li> <li>crientation discrimination?</li> <li><b>A.</b> Wyoming has no protection. And this is a little bit off</li> <li>the topic, but Wyoming doesn't even have a hate crimes law.</li> <li><b>Q.</b> In terms of the ten largest states in the United States,</li> <li>how many of them have laws that provide protection against</li> <li>discrimination based on sexual orientation?</li> <li><b>A.</b> Three.</li> <li><b>Q.</b> Let's look at the federal system. Are there any statutory</li> <li>absences in the federal system that, to your mind, indicate, in</li> <li>your expert view, a lack of political power on the part of gay</li> <li>men and lesbians?</li> <li><b>A.</b> Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory</li> <li>disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no</li> <li>federal-level antidiscrimination protection, really, on</li> <li>any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill.</li> <li>There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and</li> <li>lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment,</li> <li>as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act.</li> <li>There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from</li> <li>service in the military.</li> </ul>	1	A. Wyoming.
<ul> <li>orientation discrimination?</li> <li>A. Wyoming has no protection. And this is a little bit off</li> <li>the topic, but Wyoming doesn't even have a hate crimes law.</li> <li>Q. In terms of the ten largest states in the United States,</li> <li>how many of them have laws that provide protection against</li> <li>discrimination based on sexual orientation?</li> <li>A. Three.</li> <li>Q. Let's look at the federal system. Are there any statutory</li> <li>absences in the federal system that, to your mind, indicate, in</li> <li>your expert view, a lack of political power on the part of gay</li> <li>men and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory</li> <li>disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no</li> <li>federal-level antidiscrimination protection, really, on</li> <li>any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill.</li> <li>There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and</li> <li>lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment,</li> <li>as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act.</li> <li>There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from</li> </ul>	2	${f Q}$ . And is Wyoming one of the states that has, since that
<ul> <li>A. Wyoming has no protection. And this is a little bit off</li> <li>the topic, but Wyoming doesn't even have a hate crimes law.</li> <li>Q. In terms of the ten largest states in the United States,</li> <li>how many of them have laws that provide protection against</li> <li>discrimination based on sexual orientation?</li> <li>A. Three.</li> <li>Q. Let's look at the federal system. Are there any statutory</li> <li>absences in the federal system that, to your mind, indicate, in</li> <li>your expert view, a lack of political power on the part of gay</li> <li>men and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory</li> <li>disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no</li> <li>federal-level antidiscrimination protection, really, on</li> <li>any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill.</li> <li>There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and</li> <li>lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment,</li> <li>as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act.</li> <li>There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from</li> </ul>	3	event, enacted any kind of protection based on sexual
<ul> <li>6 the topic, but Wyoming doesn't even have a hate crimes law.</li> <li>7 Q. In terms of the ten largest states in the United States,</li> <li>8 how many of them have laws that provide protection against</li> <li>9 discrimination based on sexual orientation?</li> <li>10 A. Three.</li> <li>11 Q. Let's look at the federal system. Are there any statutory</li> <li>12 absences in the federal system that, to your mind, indicate, in</li> <li>13 your expert view, a lack of political power on the part of gay</li> <li>14 men and lesbians?</li> <li>15 A. Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory</li> <li>16 disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no</li> <li>17 federal-level antidiscrimination protection for housing and</li> <li>18 employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on</li> <li>19 any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill.</li> <li>20 There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and</li> <li>21 lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment,</li> <li>22 as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act.</li> <li>23 There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from</li> <li>24 service in the military.</li> </ul>	4	orientation discrimination?
<ul> <li>7 Q. In terms of the ten largest states in the United States,</li> <li>how many of them have laws that provide protection against</li> <li>9 discrimination based on sexual orientation?</li> <li>10 A. Three.</li> <li>11 Q. Let's look at the federal system. Are there any statutory</li> <li>12 absences in the federal system that, to your mind, indicate, in</li> <li>13 your expert view, a lack of political power on the part of gay</li> <li>14 men and lesbians?</li> <li>15 A. Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory</li> <li>16 disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no</li> <li>17 federal-level antidiscrimination protection for housing and</li> <li>employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on</li> <li>any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill.</li> <li>20 There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and</li> <li>21 lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment,</li> <li>as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act.</li> <li>23 There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from</li> <li>24 service in the military.</li> </ul>	5	A. Wyoming has no protection. And this is a little bit off
<ul> <li>how many of them have laws that provide protection against</li> <li>how many of them have laws that provide protection against</li> <li>discrimination based on sexual orientation?</li> <li>A. Three.</li> <li>Q. Let's look at the federal system. Are there any statutory</li> <li>absences in the federal system that, to your mind, indicate, in</li> <li>your expert view, a lack of political power on the part of gay</li> <li>men and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory</li> <li>disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no</li> <li>federal-level antidiscrimination protection for housing and</li> <li>employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on</li> <li>any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill.</li> <li>There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and</li> <li>lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment,</li> <li>as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act.</li> <li>There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from</li> <li>service in the military.</li> </ul>	6	the topic, but Wyoming doesn't even have a hate crimes law.
<ul> <li>discrimination based on sexual orientation?</li> <li>A. Three.</li> <li>Q. Let's look at the federal system. Are there any statutory</li> <li>absences in the federal system that, to your mind, indicate, in</li> <li>your expert view, a lack of political power on the part of gay</li> <li>men and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory</li> <li>disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no</li> <li>federal-level antidiscrimination protection for housing and</li> <li>employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on</li> <li>any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill.</li> <li>There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and</li> <li>lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment,</li> <li>as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act.</li> <li>There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from</li> <li>service in the military.</li> </ul>	7	Q. In terms of the ten largest states in the United States,
<ul> <li>A. Three.</li> <li>Q. Let's look at the federal system. Are there any statutory absences in the federal system that, to your mind, indicate, in your expert view, a lack of political power on the part of gay</li> <li>men and lesbians?</li> <li>A. Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory</li> <li>disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no</li> <li>federal-level antidiscrimination protection for housing and</li> <li>employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on</li> <li>any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill.</li> <li>There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and</li> <li>lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment,</li> <li>as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act.</li> <li>There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from</li> <li>service in the military.</li> </ul>	8	how many of them have laws that provide protection against
<ul> <li>Q. Let's look at the federal system. Are there any statutory</li> <li>absences in the federal system that, to your mind, indicate, in</li> <li>your expert view, a lack of political power on the part of gay</li> <li>men and lesbians?</li> <li><b>A.</b> Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory</li> <li>disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no</li> <li>federal-level antidiscrimination protection for housing and</li> <li>employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on</li> <li>any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill.</li> <li>There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and</li> <li>lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment,</li> <li>as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act.</li> <li>There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from</li> <li>service in the military.</li> </ul>	9	discrimination based on sexual orientation?
absences in the federal system that, to your mind, indicate, in your expert view, a lack of political power on the part of gay men and lesbians? A. Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no federal-level antidiscrimination protection for housing and employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill. There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment, as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act. There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from service in the military.	10	A. Three.
your expert view, a lack of political power on the part of gay men and lesbians? A. Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no federal-level antidiscrimination protection for housing and employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill. There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment, as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act. There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from service in the military.	11	Q. Let's look at the federal system. Are there any statutory
14 men and lesbians? 15 A. Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory 16 disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no 17 federal-level antidiscrimination protection for housing and 18 employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on 19 any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill. 20 There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and 21 lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment, 22 as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act. 23 There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from 24 service in the military.	12	absences in the federal system that, to your mind, indicate, in
<ul> <li>A. Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory</li> <li>disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no</li> <li>federal-level antidiscrimination protection for housing and</li> <li>employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on</li> <li>any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill.</li> <li>There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and</li> <li>lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment,</li> <li>as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act.</li> <li>There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from</li> <li>service in the military.</li> </ul>	13	your expert view, a lack of political power on the part of gay
16 disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no 17 federal-level antidiscrimination protection for housing and 18 employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on 19 any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill. 20 There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and 21 lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment, 22 as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act. 23 There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from 24 service in the military.	14	men and lesbians?
<ul> <li>17 federal-level antidiscrimination protection for housing and</li> <li>18 employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on</li> <li>19 any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill.</li> <li>20 There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and</li> <li>21 lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment,</li> <li>22 as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act.</li> <li>23 There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from</li> <li>24 service in the military.</li> </ul>	15	A. Uhm, yes. And I would say there are also statutory
18 employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on 19 any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill. 20 There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and 21 lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment, 22 as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act. 23 There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from 24 service in the military.	16	disadvantages at the federal level. So there is no
19 any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill. 20 There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and 21 lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment, 22 as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act. 23 There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from 24 service in the military.	17	federal-level antidiscrimination protection for housing and
20 There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and 21 lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment, 22 as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act. 23 There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from 24 service in the military.	18	employment. There's no federal-level protection, really, on
21 lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment, 22 as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act. 23 There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from 24 service in the military.	19	any level beyond the recently passed Hate Crimes Bill.
22 as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act. 23 There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from 24 service in the military.	20	There is federal legislation prohibiting gays and
23 There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from 24 service in the military.	21	lesbians from receiving partner benefits in federal employment,
24 service in the military.	22	as an incident of the Defense of Marriage Act.
	23	There is the exclusion of gays and lesbians from
And, historically, at one point, gays and lesbians	24	service in the military.
	25	And, historically, at one point, gays and lesbians

1	were completely forbidden from working for the federal
2	government.
3	Q. How long ago was that?
4	<b>A.</b> I think that actually ended in the 1970s, but it started
5	as far back as immediately in the post-war era, maybe President
б	Eisenhower.
7	<b>Q.</b> And in that regard, are you familiar with a man named
8	Frank Kameny?
9	A. I am.
10	Q. Can you tell us a little bit about Mr. Kameny's
11	experience?
12	<b>A.</b> So, in the early days of the homophile movement, the first
13	pro gay organization and "pro gay" is a strange way to
14	describe this, but the first organization working to ameliorate
15	the disadvantages faced by gays and lesbians was an
16	organization called the Mattachine Society. And it started on
17	the coasts, particularly Los Angeles and New York. This was in
18	the early 1950s. It then kind of fell on hard times, in part
19	because they faced a lot of repression.
20	In the 1960s, the Mattachine Society was revived in
21	Washington, D.C., and Frank Kameny was essentially the
22	principal organizer. And he took a much more proactive stand
23	than the leaders of the Mattachine in Los Angeles and New York
24	did.
25	So Kameny regularly would send letters to the U.S.

1	Government, demanding that the prohibitions on gay employment
2	be dropped; or, you know, asking, you know, why there were
3	these various obstacles to tax deductions or other benefits
4	that other nonprofits enjoyed. So he was much more likely to
5	engage the political system.
6	Q. Was he employed by the federal government?
7	A. I believe he had been dismissed. I don't remember the
8	exact circumstances of his participation.
9	Q. Let me ask you this: In terms of protections well, let
10	me back up.
11	In terms of statutory protections, does the fact that
12	California includes a number of antidiscrimination provisions
13	that apply to gay men and lesbians affect your view regarding
14	the lack of political power of that group?
15	A. Well, it was certainly something I considered. The
16	presence of statutory protections is preferable to the absence
17	of statutory protections in evaluating power.
18	That said, I would still want to look at the
19	circumstances by which they were passed, the degree to which
20	they are secure in the political system, and also the subject
21	matter over which they are covered.
22	So in some instances in most of these instances,
23	these are attempts to redress discrimination. So if we look at
24	a hate crimes protection or we look at an antidiscrimination
25	ordinance, the purpose of that is to ameliorate a disadvantage,

1	ameliorate a wrong that exists.
2	While it's certainly good to have that, it's
3	difficult to conclude that that's a measure of political power
4	in and of itself.
5	It would be akin to saying that because you have more
6	prescriptions, clearly you're healthier. No. You have
7	prescriptions because there's a problem.
8	And the same would be true here. We have
9	antidiscrimination statutes because there's discrimination.
10	The second thing I would want to look at is how those
11	ordinances were passed. In some instances, some of the
12	California ordinances were passed in the wake of court
13	decisions ordering that policies be adopted. This is true for
14	California's anti-employment discrimination ordinance.
15	And even though the courts had already held this,
16	that political process was quite contested. For example, the
17	first version placed the the first attempt to codify this
18	court decision placed gay and lesbian employment and housing
19	protections in the Fair Employment and Housing Act of
20	California. And that was vetoed by the governor.
21	So when the decision was codified, it was codified in
22	the Labor Code of the state, which has a shorter time period
23	for complaint and a much more relaxed sort of regulatory
24	mechanism.
25	So there was it was really there was quite a

bit of opposition even to codifying a decision that had already
 been handed down by the courts.

And, of course, the minority party in this state, as a part of its platform, made it clear that it would like to repeal all of those. So I'm not sure I would be certain that they're, you know, permanent protection.

A third concern I would raise would be that it's
problematic to focus only on a single jurisdiction, because a
domestic partnership ordinance in California does not provide
any protection for you if your partner becomes ill on a trip to
Las Vegas or attending the Mardi Gras in New Orleans.

12 That when we look at -- particularly when we look at 13 Prop eight, these are national questions; that the politics of 14 the proposition was national, the politics of most of the 15 ballot initiatives on same-sex marriage and on 16 anti-discrimination involved activists on both sides from 17 around the country.

So I'm not sure I would conclude on the basis of some 18 positive statutory outcomes, ameliorating some severe 19 disadvantages, that that alone constitutes political power. 20 21 Let me ask that demonstrative two that you prepared be Q. 22 displayed and ask you -- this is a quote from Romer versus 23 Evans. Perhaps you could read that so it's into the record, 24 and then explain to me how that bears on your evaluation of 25 California's protections against discrimination to the extent

1	they exist for gays and lesbians?
2	A. So I will preface it with the there is a trope in the
3	argument against protections for gays and lesbians, that these
4	are special rights that gays and lesbians don't need.
5	And speaking specifically to that argument in Romer,
б	Justice Kennedy writes:
7	"We find nothing special in the protections
8	Amendment 2 withholds. These are protections
9	taken for granted by most people, either
10	because they already have them or do not need
11	them."
12	Q. What protections had Amendment 2 withheld in Colorado?
13	A. Amendment 2 was a breathtaking piece of legislation. So
14	at the time several small cities I believe it was like
15	Aspen, Boulder and Denver, that sounds right had passed
16	Denver, a big city obviously had passed anti-discrimination
17	ordinances.
18	And so Colorado's Amendment 2 would have amended the
19	Colorado constitution to eliminate those local ordinances that
20	were anti-discrimination ordinances, but it would also have
21	prohibited any locality or the state legislature from enacting
22	any future protections from gays and lesbians.
23	So it was not just it didn't just reverse the
24	existing laws, it preempted any future action.
25	Q. How have ballot initiatives in this country affected the

rights of gay men and lesbians in terms of their political 1 power? 2 Well, for starters, there is no group in American 3 Α. 4 society -- and I would include in this undocumented aliens, who 5 are probably a distant second. 6 There is no group in American society who has been 7 targeted by ballot initiatives more than gays and lesbians. The number of ballot initiative contests since the first one in 8 9 the late 1970's is probably at or above 200. Gays and lesbians lose 70 percent of the contests over other matters. They have 10 11 essentially lost a hundred percent of the contests over same-sex marriage and now on adoption. 12 13 The initiative process nationalizes issues because money and activism crosses state lines. So even if there is a 14 15 local legislative majority to enact something for the protection of gays and lesbians, participation of people around 16 17 the country can play a role in shaping a ballot process that would reverse it. 18 The initiative process has been really the waterloo 19 20 of gay and lesbian politics. We would like to display demonstrative number four, which 21 Q. 22 you can elaborate, but it lays out what I think you just said 23 concerning ballot initiatives. 24 But let me ask you this: What is it about gay and 25 lesbian politics and ballot initiatives that has in your view,

your expert opinion, caused the ballot initiative process to be
unleashed in this manner against that particular group?
A. Well, the you know, it's a hard question to answer.
There is proponents and opponents of gay rights would say
that there is a culture war going on in the society. And as a
consequence, these are things that people feel very deeply
about and it gets them hot under the collar.
Initiative processes have they are a mixed bag
historically. On the one hand, they serve as a reasonable
check on the behavior of the legislature if the population is
dissatisfied.
On the other hand, they are frequently been used to
target minorities, and this is not just gays and lesbians. But
no group has been more targeted than gays and lesbians.
And I think from a political science standpoint, what
we would think about is sort of expanding the scope of
conflict; that if your side is not doing well in the
legislature, perhaps because of the partisan distribution, then
you try to move the arena of contestation to the populace where
you can motivate people through, you know, campaign
commercials; you know, you inflame momentary passions.
Q. In your expert view, does the ballot initiative process
put the gay and lesbian community at a particular disadvantage
in the political process?
A. I would say yes, first and foremost because of the

1	numbers. So in the end the ballot initiative process is a
2	plebiscite and you need notes. You need 50 percent plus one.
3	The ballot initiative process in California is
4	particularly problematic, in part, because we allow the
5	amending of the state Constitution with a simple majority and,
6	in part, because we have really widely varying rates of turnout
7	between, say, ballot initiative contest and the contest that
8	would produce a state legislature, so that the state
9	legislative distribution looks a lot more like the underlying
10	population than a turnout in the state-wide election. And, of
11	course, this allows money and organizations to transcend state
12	lines. So it moves the focus of the contest away from state
13	politics alone and into a national arena.
14	Q. How many ballot initiatives have been passed relating to
15	marriage between individuals of the same gender in the last
16	decade?
17	A. I believe 33 of 34. Because in one state it failed and
18	then they came back in the next election and passed it, and
19	that was Arizona.
20	Q. You mentioned that there have been other examples of the
21	use of ballot initiatives against minority groups you
22	mentioned Romer.
23	Could you give us a couple of other examples relating
24	to other groups?
25	A. Sure. In the 1960's there was an attempt to overturn the

1	implementation of the Fair Housing Act in California by having
2	a state-wide ballot initiative saying that landlords and
3	property owners could rent or sell to whomever they wanted,
4	even if that was discriminatory in its practice.
5	There have been a whole host of ballot initiatives
6	targeting immigrants, and in some instances targeting more than
7	immigrants. Prop 187 would be an example of that.
8	Q. What happened to Prop 187?
9	A. Prop 187, as I understand, was struck down at the lower
10	court level and the state declined to appeal that ruling.
11	Prop 187 was really contentious because the
12	official the language of the initiative was that state
13	employees could withhold state services from any person they
14	suspected of being an undocumented immigrant, but the basis of
15	that suspicion was not particularly clear in the legislation.
16	Latino activists in the state felt that that would
17	create essentially open season a Latinos; that, you know, if
18	you walk in with a Spanish accent or with dark skin, you know,
19	that would be the basis for a state employee withholding state
20	services from you until you could prove otherwise.
21	Q. And what happened to the Fair Housing the housing
22	proposition that you mentioned from the 1960's?
23	A. It was struck down.
24	Q. Now, if the is there an effect on the ability of gay
25	men and lesbians to achieve political power based on the fact

that they find themselves fighting these ballot initiatives?
 A. Umm, I would say there are two effects, one of which is
 obvious and one of which is maybe less obvious.

The obvious effect is that legislative gains that are, you know, hard earned get overturned and in some instances gays and lesbians find themselves, even in the events where they win, contesting the same issues over and over again and spending a lot of resources on this.

9 I think that the less visible effect is that it
10 chills legislatures. Legislatures thinking about passing some
11 statute that would be advantageous to gays and lesbians think
12 twice about that because no legislator relishes being
13 overturned by a plebiscite.

14 Q. How does the fact that ballot issues can be used to amend 15 state constitutions effect the political power of gay men and 16 lesbians?

17 A. The amendment process in many states -- in fact, in most
18 states -- require that the vote of the people take place.

So even were it the case that every elected official in California decided that Prop 8 were a bad idea, there is, frankly, nothing they can do to change it unless there is a vote of the people.

23 Q. Are gays and lesbians underrepresented in political office24 in the United States?

25 **A.** They are. At last count only six people have ever served

in the House of Representatives who have been openly gay and
only two of those were elected as openly gay. So in the other
four instances their sexuality became a matter of public record
after their initial election.
There has never been an openly gay senator or cabinet
member or certainly, you know, president.
There is only about one percent of the state's
legislatures that are gay and an even smaller, much smaller
percentage of local elected officials.
Q. Do you recall the percentage of local officials?
A. I believe it's five-hundredths of one percent.
<b>Q.</b> How about state total state legislatures, what is the
percentage?
A. I think it's right around one percent.
Q. Thank you.
Now, in your view, how does the low number of
officeholders who are gay or lesbians affect the political
power or powerlessness of gay men and lesbians in the United
States?
A. So in political science we call the election of a
representative who shares a demographic characteristic of their
constituents descriptive representation. Theorists who have
examined descriptive representation identify two effects.
The first effect is that there is the direct
representation; that having a gay man or lesbian sitting at a

legislative table debating a particular issue, working out the 1 policy, increases their voice. They are able to have their 2 3 wishes, at least, considered in the process or whatever. 4 And the second is that the presence of -- and less 5 clear is that the presence of gay men or lesbians in public 6 office -- or, for that matter, racial and ethnic minorities or 7 any other group -- really serves to constrain some of the bad behavior of other members of the legislature --8 9 There is a famous case when Senator Moseley Braun was representing Illinois in the Senate where the Senate kind of 10 11 voted on, without comment, reauthorizing the U.S. -- the Congressional resolution creating the Daughters of the 12 13 Confederacy, and this just kind of swept through without any discussion. 14 15 And Carol Moseley Braun went down to the well of the Senate and gave an impassioned speech about what that felt like 16 17 and what that looked like to African-Americans, and the Senate promptly reversed themselves as a consequence of her presence. 18 And at the time she was the only African-American member of the 19 20 body. So having someone from the group certainly directly 21

21 represents their voices, but, also, makes others a little less 23 willing to engage in some thoughtless or disparaging behavior. 24 Q. So how does the lack of participation or representation in 25 high ranking and other government positions undermine political 1 power of gay men and lesbians?

A. Well, for starters in many parts of the country elected officials have absolutely no problem speaking about gays and lesbians in a way that you could not imagine them speaking about any other member of the electorate.

6 So in addition to gay and lesbian concerns not being 7 considered meaningfully, for example, in the U.S. Senate, there 8 are members of the United States Senate who, in public 9 speeches, have compared same-sex marriage to marrying a box 10 turtle. There is a member of the Senate who has a hold on a 11 judicial nomination because the nominee attended a lesbian 12 commitment ceremony.

Senator Coburn has gone on record saying that the gay
and lesbian agenda is the greatest threat to freedom in the
United States today.

And a Senator from South Carolina, when he was elected to the Senate said during the course of his campaign that gays and lesbians shouldn't be allowed to teach in the public schools.

It's difficult to imagine an elected official saying such a thing about, really, almost any other citizen group in the United States.

Q. Is the fact that some public officials feel so free to publicly denounce gay men and lesbians a factor that contributes to the lack of political power of that group?

1	
1	<b>A.</b> Absolutely. And, again, I think it plays out in multiple
2	ways.
3	First, this demonstrates a real hostility of that
4	legislator, or perhaps his party, to the interests of gays and
5	lesbians.
6	But secondly, when someone in a position of authority
7	communicates to you that this is okay, then it moves those
8	thoughts into the mainstream.
9	So if if two U.S. senators compare same-sex
10	marriage to bestiality, that makes that part of the mainstream
11	conversation. That's not the fringe. That's a United States
12	senator. And as a consequence, it legitimizes some of these
13	deeply hostile beliefs.
14	${f Q}$ . Can you provide us with another example of a factor that
15	contributes to the political powerlessness of gay men and
16	lesbians?
17	A. The simplest one would be their numbers. There just
18	simply aren't enough gays and lesbians in any jurisdiction of
19	any size to shape outcomes.
20	Q. Do the attitudes of other people towards gay men and
21	lesbians affect their political power?
22	<b>A.</b> I think that the role of prejudice is profound. So when
23	we are engaged in the pluralist struggle, as Dahl and others
24	envisioned it, we are engaged in a contest of ideas where I'm
25	trying to persuade you of the rightness of my position and you

are trying to persuade me of the rightness of your position. 1 2 But if the group is envisioned as being somehow or 3 another morally inferior, a threat to children, a threat to 4 freedom, if there's these deeply-seated beliefs, then the range 5 of compromise is dramatically limited. 6 It's very difficult to engage in the give-and-take of 7 the legislative process when I think you are an inherently bad That's just not the basis for compromise and 8 person. negotiation in the political process. 9 10 Did Dr. Nathanson's testimony that was played in court ο. about the prejudice and hostility towards gay men and lesbians 11 affect your view on this issue concerning political power? 12 13 It was consistent with my view in that I felt like he was Α. agreeing with the position that I would take; that there is a 14 15 lot of hostility to gays and lesbians. It is still the case, even today, that a majority of 16 Americans find sex between two persons of the same gender to be 17 morally unacceptable in all cases. Another huge percentage 18 19 finds it morally unacceptable in most cases. So I think he -- he sort of validated the belief that 20 21 I had based on my examination of the data in the literature. I would like to display demonstrative number six, which 22 Q. 23 you prepared based on your report and deposition and ask you 24 some questions about what political scientists call a feeling 25 thermometer.

1	Α.	Okay.
---	----	-------

2 MR. BOUTROUS: And I resisted the temptation to use a 3 thermometer graphic, your Honor.

4 BY MR. BOUTROUS:

5 **Q.** What in your field is a feeling thermometer?

6 A. So a feeling thermometer is a simple question that we can7 ask respondents, and it's an unobtrusive measure of sentiment.

8 So I ask you: On a scale from zero to 100, how 9 warmly do you feel about Evangelical Christians? How warmly do 10 you feel about African-Americans? How warmly do you feel about 11 Democrats, about Republicans, et cetera. And you could put any 12 group in.

What's nice about a feeling thermometer is because we don't -- they don't know -- we are not asking them to compare, Do you like one group better than another, people are going to give us fairly honest answers. They might bias those answers upward. People tend to say they feel warmly about everybody, which is, I guess, nice to see, but a little bit dubious.

But they can say they feel warmly, but if there are still between group differences, we are identifying sort of differences in attitudes by the general public across different groups.

23 Q. In connection with your work on this case, did you study 24 opinion data relating to this sort of -- this feeling 25 thermometer analysis? 1 **A.** I did.

Q. What conclusions did you reach based on your analysis of that data concerning the political power of gay men and lesbians?

5 A. The conclusion I reached is that the American public is6 not very fond of gays and lesbians.

So on a scale from zero to 100, almost every group you could imagine that had any demographic identity, that would be the source of contestation. So religion, race and ethnicity were scoring in the upper 60's. So people were giving them a score somewhere between 65 and 69.

And every group has its haters. And it turns out that for African-Americans and Hispanics, Catholics and Jews, some number of people placed the group below the midpoint, below the 50 score; between a third and, say, 45 percent.

For gays and lesbians, instead of the mean score establishing between 65 and 70, the mean score was 49.4. So it was as much as 16 to 20 points below the average score for these other groups about whom we know there is already some amount of societal distance. So, you know, Hispanics and African-Americans are held in higher esteem than gays and lesbians.

And over 65 percent of the respondents placed gays and lesbians below the midpoint, below the score of 50; whereas, only, again, a third to 45 percent did the same for 1 other groups.

Q. Do you think that those numbers and those measurements had anything to do about the ballot initiatives that have been put on the ballot in so many states in recent years?

A. I do. And I think that it speaks to the larger question
of the variation of opinion across the states and how that may
affect my notion of political power.

8 So when you see that approximately two-thirds of all 9 respondents are giving gays and lesbians a score below 50, 10 that's telling elected officials that they can say bad things 11 about gays and lesbians, and that could be politically 12 advantageous to them because, indeed, many parts of the 13 electorate feel the same way.

It's also suggesting that the initiative process
could be fertile ground to try to mobilize some of these voters
to the polls for that cause and for other causes.

So similarly we might find, for example, that about half of all people think that sex between two people is morally wrong, but in some states that number would be a lot higher, and so you could use that as a place to target gays and lesbians.

Q. And do you believe that the views of major religious denominations have an effect on the political power of gay men and lesbians in this country?

25 **A.** I do.

1	
1	Q. What is your view?
2	<b>A.</b> I think that religion is the chief obstacle for gay and
3	lesbian political progress, and it's the chief obstacle for a
4	couple of reasons.
5	The first is that after government, it's difficult to
6	think of a more powerful social entity in American society than
7	the church. Religion is something that deeply connects to
8	people's lives. Indeed, America is a very church-going nation
9	compared with other western democracies. It provides the
10	opportunity for people to meet together on a weekly basis.
11	So it's a very powerful organization, and in large
12	measure they are arrayed against the interests of gays and
13	lesbians. There are exceptions, but in large measure they are
14	arrayed against gays and lesbians.
15	This is an important contrast with African-Americans,
16	because except for the Southern Baptist Church, virtually every
17	denomination was supportive of the civil rights movement at the
18	time.
19	Q. Do you recall Dr. Nathanson's testimony earlier this
20	morning about religious organizations and their views on gay
21	men and lesbians?
22	A. I do.
23	Q. And did that effect your views in any way on this issue of
24	the interaction between religious groups and gays and lesbians
25	as it relates to political power?

1	A. It confirmed what I had previously believed, which is that
2	biblical condemnation of homosexuality and the teaching that
3	gays are morally inferior on a regular basis to a huge
4	percentage of the public makes the political ground, the
5	political opportunity structure very hostile to gay interests.
б	It's very difficult to overcome that.
7	Q. And are you aware from your work in responding to
8	Dr. Nathanson, that he's a professor of religious studies?
9	A. I don't believe he actually holds a professorial position
10	anywhere.
11	Q. That his area of specialty is religious studies?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. And did you also do you recall professor Dr. Young's
14	testimony earlier this morning regarding the views of religious
15	denominations in the United States as to gay men and lesbians?
16	A. I do.
17	Q. And what is your understanding of Dr. Young's position?
18	A. I think Dr. Young freely admits that religious hostility
19	to homosexuals is an important role in creating a social
20	climate that's conducive to hateful acts, to opposition to
21	their interest in the public sphere, and to prejudice and
22	discrimination.
23	Q. Can you point to any other situation in which religious
24	groups in the United States have been so unified in their
25	opposition to a particular minority social group?
-	

A. I could not. A moment ago I gave the example of the
relatively high level of unity during the black civil rights
movement in favor of the social group, but there is even an
interesting piece of work that I relied on in my opinion by a
scholar of religion and politics who suggested that opposition
to homosexuality has been a real boost in the arm for the
ecumenical movement because it's something on which many
different sects could agree, and so it's served as the basis of
cooperation between religious denominations.
${f Q}$ . Is violence against gay men and lesbians another factor
that you believe, in your expert opinion, contributes to the
lack of political power of that group?
A. It is.
Q. Why is that?
A. So it's important to understand conceptually what we think
a hate crime is. So a hate crime is distinguished from a
simple assault in that it targets not just the individual who's
being assaulted, but it is intended to send a message to the
entire group.
That's why there has to be the extenuating
circumstances to suggest that the person was targeted for their
identity.
So if a gay man is beaten in a particular part of
town, it's not just that he is the victim; the intended message
is that you shouldn't be here in this part of town, or you

1	shouldn't be engaging in the behavior in which you are
2	engaging, or you are not supposed to have a public expression
3	of self in the normal commerce of everyday life. Creates a
4	fear that really constrains or chills what individuals would do
5	in the normal daily activities of life.
6	So it's designed to make you pull back, to make you
7	less active.
8	If you have a fear of violence, you are less likely
9	to self identify. If you have a fear of violence, you are less
10	likely to go to a place where someone might see that by virtue
11	of your being there, you actually are gay or lesbian. If there
12	is violence you might know that if you go to a certain place
13	there is some chance that you will be hurt.
14	I have known of individuals who simply don't leave a
15	bar without two people because it's just not safe. In many
16	parts of the country it can be quite hazardous.
17	Q. In your work on this case did you study and review the FBI
18	Hate Crime Statistics that are now in evidence as Plaintiff's
19	Exhibits 489 through 494 covering the years 2003 through 2008?
20	A. I reviewed 2003 through 2007 for my report and deposition.
21	The 2008 numbers had not yet been released when I was deposed.
22	Q. Have you since reviewed the 2008 statistics?
23	A. I have.
24	Q. Did you also review the Los Angeles Hate Crime Report that
25	has now been admitted as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 834?

1	A. I did.
2	Q. And when did you review that?
3	A. That, too, was released after my deposition. It was
4	released in the latter part of last year.
5	Q. With the Court's permission I would like to display
6	demonstrative number seven.
7	(Document displayed)
8	Q. And ask you, Professor Segura, have rate crimes been on
9	the increase or the decrease in the United States as directed
10	against gay men and lesbians?
11	A. The data that I observed show that over the last decade,
12	there has been no real improvement, no real decline; and over
13	the last five years, there has actually been an increase in
14	violence directed towards gay men and lesbians.
15	Q. And in 2008 we have those overt demonstrative was
16	there an increase between 2007 and 2008 in hate crimes?
17	A. There was a substantial increase.
18	And I would also point to the next column of figures,
19	which is the share of all hate crimes. So what's happening is
20	that gays and lesbians are representing a larger and larger
21	portion of the number of acts of bias motivated violence.
22	${f Q}$ . And when we talk about hate crimes and when the FBI talks
23	about hate crimes, what is your understanding in terms of the
24	definition of a hate crime offense?
25	A. My understanding of a hate crime offense, as the FBI

1	collects it, is that there has to be an underlying criminal
2	offense on which there are exacerbating characteristics
3	suggesting that the purpose of the offense was bias related.
4	So it's not just simply a shouting an epithet. It's
5	shouting an epithet in association with an act of vandalism, or
6	in association with a felonious assault, or in association with
7	a robbery, or something like that.
8	Q. How did the hate crime figures for 2008 compare to the
9	levels each year over the prior decade?
10	A. 2008 is the highest, I think, for the last period of time
11	and represents a pretty substantial increase. I know that the
12	numbers are also up in California and in Los Angeles County.
13	It's also important to look at the intensity. So we
14	don't want to look at just the number of crimes, but we want to
15	look at the type of crimes.
16	So one of the things the FBI does is it looks at what
17	percentage of the hate crimes were violent, as opposed to
18	simply an act of vandalism. And it turns out that gays and
19	lesbians are far more likely to experience violence. Like, I
20	think the number is 73 percent of all the hate crimes committed
21	against gays and lesbians also include an act of violence.
22	And in 2008 we are talking about the most extreme
23	forms of hate based violence, so rape and murder. 71 percent
24	of all hate-motivated murders in the United States were of gay
25	men and lesbians in 2008.

,	
1	Fifty-five percent of all hate-motivated rapes were
2	against gays and lesbians in 2008.
3	There is simply no other person in society who
4	endures the likelihood of being harmed as a consequence of
5	their identity than a gay man or lesbian.
6	Q. I asked you about the Los Angeles data. I would like to
7	have displayed Plaintiffs' Exhibit 834 and ask you a few
8	questions and have you turn to that exhibit in your binder.
9	(Document displayed)
10	Q. And that is the 2008 Los Angeles Hate Crimes Report.
11	A. Okay.
12	Q. You have reviewed this document
13	A. I have.
14	Q. (Continuing) correct?
15	What does it tell you about the situation concerning
16	hate crimes with respect to gay and lesbian individuals in Los
17	Angeles?
18	A. It tells me a couple of things. So I'm I particularly
19	took note of two items, and there are perhaps others.
20	The first is that how many times on the basis of
21	race, ethnicity and national origin from 2007 to 2008 declined
22	by 16 percent.
23	Q. Which page are you looking at?
24	A. Nine.
25	MR. BOUTROUS: Put page nine on the screen? Thank

1	you.
2	(Document displayed)
3	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
4	Q. Continue. I'm sorry I interrupted you.
5	A. No problem.
6	So there is a decline in race racial and ethnic
7	hate crimes, which I think is a good thing. But in the same
8	period of time, there's an increase of 21 percent in
9	bias-motivated crimes against gays and lesbians. So even if
10	there is a sort of general negative drift overall, the drift
11	for gays and lesbians is positive.
12	The second thing I took note of was that on page 14,
13	Los Angeles County documented a fair number of hate crimes
14	specifically related to the Proposition 8 ballot initiative.
15	<b>Q.</b> And what did and what did the report conclude
16	specifically?
17	A. That there were some number of crimes. I believe there
18	were nine acts of vandalism. There were a number of other
19	smaller numbers of physical assaults associated with
20	Proposition 8. These included acts of graffiti, the targeting
21	of cars, et cetera.
22	Q. It you'll see at the bottom it says, "In addition, there
23	were four violent crimes"?
24	A. I'm sorry?
25	Q. At the

Π	
1	A. Yes. "Four violent crimes." That's the very last line.
2	Q. I would like you to turn to page 26, please.
3	(Witness complied.)
4 <b>Ç</b>	${\tt Q}$ . And perhaps you can describe what this what the report
5 0	concludes here and how that affects your views concerning the
6 I	political power of gay men and lesbians?
7	A. Well, so this reports the distribution of crimes by
8 t	targeting people on the basis of real or perceived sexual
9 0	orientation.
10	And it shows that in a couple of categories the
11 r	number declined, and in most of the categories the numbers
12 i	increased and increased sizably.
13 <b>Ç</b>	${\tt Q}$ . And were you in court yesterday during the testimony of
14 N	Mayor Sanders from San Diego?
15 <b>2</b>	A. I was in the overflow room upstairs, yes.
16 <b>Ç</b>	${\tt Q}$ . Did you see the advertisement that was played during his
17 t	testimony concerning alleged acts of vandalism relating to
18 I	Proposition 8 proponents?
19 <b>2</b>	A. I did.
20 <b>Ç</b>	Q. Does that undermine your view that hate crimes and
21 1	violence directed against gay men and lesbians is a factor that
22 l	undermines, that detracts, that renders less the political
23 g	power of gay men and lesbians?
24	A. I wasn't quite sure what to make of that video. On the
25 d	one hand let me state categorically, I think those sorts of

1 behaviors are unacceptable.

And I would also state that as a political scientist, I am aware of and there is a small literature on act of vandalism, even in candidate-based elections. Electioneering activities are frequently not pleasant; tearing down of signs, et cetera.

7 That notwithstanding, I thought it was interesting that their video certainly doesn't report any acts in the 8 9 opposite direction. Acts of vandalism, as Mayor Sanders pointed out, you know, even in front of his own house, the 10 11 tearing down of Pro 8 signs, the hundred or more acts of violence against gays and lesbians during the course of 2008; 12 13 that a more balanced way to look at what the effect of these behaviors, would be to look at the effects from both sides. 14 15 And, obviously, that was, you know, not the interest of the advocates producing the video, but... 16

17 Q. In your view, in the political world and in American 18 society, is there pressure on gay men and lesbians to remain 19 invisible to a certain extent?

20 A. Certainly --

21

THE COURT: To remain visible?

22 MR. BOUTROUS: Remain invisible.

23 **THE COURT:** Invisible.

A. I think that the sort of the psychology of the closet andthe social and economic pressures of the closet are still quite

relentless and insidious. 1 2 They do vary dramatically across the country and they 3 do vary across racial and ethnic groups and across 4 socioeconomic status. 5 So for people who are in sort of working class 6 occupations, for people who are from the deep south or the 7 great plain states, self-identification as a gay man or a lesbian can be quite detrimental to one's health, one's income. 8 9 There is still a profound incentive to not self-identify. BY MR. BOUTROUS: 10 11 How does that factor contribute in any way to the Q. political powerlessness of gay men and lesbians, in your view? 12 13 For starters, if you can't self-identify, you are not Α. really available for political mobilization. 14 Any rational person would include that, you know, 15 even though I'm secretly gay or lesbian, I'm going to go to the 16 gay rights march; that that's not going to work out for them, 17 because their attendance at the gay rights march would be, at 18 19 least, an indicator to the public that, perhaps, you are a 20 member of the community. So if you are in the closet, you are unlikely to mobilize. 21 22 If you are in the closet, it's difficult for you to 23 even necessarily have information about what other gays and 24 lesbians are doing. It's harder for gays and lesbians to find 25 one another for political mobilization, particularly in places

1 where their density is smaller.

2 The other effect is that it creates a misperception 3 in the public. So when the public sees gays and lesbians, what 4 they see are gays and lesbians in major cities. And they 5 conclude, Gee, you know, there's lots of gay activism and 6 there's -- you know, all the gay men I have ever seen have 7 advanced degrees and whatnot, when, in fact, that's something of a misperception because it's the gays and lesbians you don't 8 see that present the other side of that picture; people who, 9 for economic necessity or for physical safety, have chosen not 10 11 to self-identify. So the public has a lower estimation of the total 12

13 number of gays and lesbians. They have a misinformed 14 estimation of the socioeconomic status of gays and lesbians. 15 And I think they have a misperception of the quality of life or 16 the level of societal treatment of gays and lesbians. Not 17 every gay man is Will from Will and Grace.

18 Q. What does that have to do, though, with political power or 19 powerlessness?

20 A. Well, because people are likely to perceive gays and21 lesbians as not having any political needs.

Going back to my Will and Grace example, you know, Will was an attorney in Manhattan with a large apartment and a private practice. That is not the reality of every gay men in America and, as a consequence, when people see this, they are

T	
1	going to say, Well, you know, these are not individuals who
2	need any form of protection. What do you mean we need
3	anti-discrimination laws? There's lot of gay people in
4	prominent jobs.
5	So it makes the public a little bit less sympathetic.
6	It make the public think that there's less need for some of
7	these protections. It also makes the public view the numbers
8	of gays and lesbians as being smaller and, therefore, maybe not
9	as politically interesting.
10	${f Q}$ . How about the concept of censorship? Are gay men and
11	lesbians in society censored in any way that's relevant to the
12	concept of their political power?
13	A. Over the last 25 years or so there have been statutory
14	enactments preventing, for example, the discussion of
15	homosexuality in public health classes in school.
16	Some states specifically forbid the mentioning of
17	homosexuality in health classes or actually instruct teachers
18	to tell students that it's not an acceptable lifestyle and it's
19	unhealthy.
20	There was a ban on the funding of any art that had
21	homoerotic images in it for the National Endowment for the Arts
22	for a period of time.
23	There was even a period of time where one of the
24	states had a provision in their anti-HIV education program that
25	said that no no portrayal of homosexuality can be used in

T	
1	the discussion of safe sex instructions to prevent HIV
2	transmission, which struck me as particularly odd.
3	If we go back historically, of course, there were
4	periods of time when gays and lesbians weren't allowed to use
5	the mails; that the transmission of material through the U.S.
6	mails related to gay and lesbian political activity was
7	considered to be obscene and, therefore, illegal.
8	Q. Is there anything in the Yes On 8 campaign that occurred
9	here in California that illustrates the censorship point you
10	just made?
11	MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, I would object. This is
12	not a subject that's addressed in his report.
13	MR. BOUTROUS: Censorship certainly was an issue in
14	the report, your Honor.
15	And I believe Mr. Thompson vigorously questioned the
16	witness about his views as to what prompted the passage of
17	Proposition 8, questioned him in great detail about Proposition
18	8 in the campaign.
19	MR. THOMPSON: My objection stands. I don't believe
20	it's in the report.
21	THE COURT: Well, I gather you are not disputing that
22	this was a subject explored at the witness's deposition.
23	MR. THOMPSON: We did discuss the motivations behind
24	Proposition 8, yes, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Then I think it's appropriate to explore

1	that in his testimony.
2	You may proceed, Mr. Boutrous.
3	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, your Honor.
4	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
5	${f Q}$ . Is there anything about the Proposition 8 campaign by the
6	Yes On 8 campaign that illustrates the censorship point that
7	you discussed a few moments ago?
8	A. So one of the enduring sort of tropes of anti-gay
9	argumentation has been that gays are a threat to children. And
10	one particular instance in the Prop 8 campaign was a campaign
11	advertisement saying, "At school today, I was" a young girl
12	saying, "At school today, I was told that I could marry a
13	princess too." And the underlying message of that is that the
14	public school that if Prop 8 failed, the public schools are
15	going to turn my daughter into a lesbian.
16	At some level the notion is a little bit amusing or
17	risible, but at another level it's sort of a reflection that
18	there is a very strong taboo about the portrayal of
19	homosexuality as anything other than pathological in the views
20	of a lot of Americans. It's never to be talked about; not only
21	not positively, but even neutrally.
22	Q. How does that affect, in your view as a political
23	scientist, the public's view concerning the value of the
24	contributions made to society by gay men and lesbians?
25	<b>A.</b> Well, it certainly lowers their familiarity. So if the

1	public is not aware of any contributions of gay people to
2	American life or to world society, or if they are aware of the
3	contributions, but the individual is not identified as being
4	gay or lesbian, then the public might reasonably conclude that
5	they don't have any evidence of significance social
б	contributions by gay men and lesbians.
7	Q. How does that affect the political power?
8	A. Again, it demeans the relative worth of the community
9	vis-a-vis all others.
10	Q. Does it make other groups not take gay men and lesbians as
11	seriously when they speak out on behalf of a particular issue?
12	A. Conceivably, it means that they are not taken as
13	seriously.
14	It also might mean that they are not seen as
15	desirable coalition partners. And at the same time it makes
16	them easier targets. It's easier to target people who have
17	never contributed anything.
18	Q. You don't dispute, do you, that gay men and lesbians do
19	have some allies in the political system, in California and in
20	the United States?
21	A. They do, of varying reliability, but they do.
22	Q. Why doesn't that give that group political power in this
23	country?
24	A. Okay. So the question of allies is an important one
25	because we need to look at allies with respect to both their

reliability, with respect to the range of their potential
 actions on behalf of gays and lesbians, and with respect to
 kind of what potential outcomes they can and can't secure with
 the structure of the governmental system.

5 So it is nice to have allies; and if those allies are 6 reliable, that's even better. But there are a number of 7 instances where ostensible allies of the gay community, when 8 faced with difficult decisions that might be electorally risky, 9 retreat and retreat quickly.

Or, there is also the disconnect between, say, 10 11 rhetoric on the one hand and action on the other. So if you 12 think of the major groups in society, you know, outside of the 13 commercial enterprises, you think about, you know, the military, the church, the Democratic and Republican parties. 14 15 These are the power centers in American society. And of those only the Democratic party purports to be an ally of gays and 16 17 lesbians.

But the Defense of Marriage Act was signed into law by a Democratic president. "Don't ask, Don't tell" was passed by a Democratic Congress and signed into law by a Democratic president.

The current president describes himself as a fierce advocate of gay and lesbian civil rights, but, yet, has actually taken no steps to overturn either of those and, actually, I understand has refused an order by the chief judge

1	of the Ninth Circuit to provide domestic partner benefits to
2	his clerk and has filed briefs hostile to gay and lesbian
3	interests.
4	So even fierce advocates are submitting briefs
5	supporting the Defense of Marriage Act, comparing gay and
6	lesbian same-sex marriage to bestiality. This is not a
7	reliable ally.
8	Now, certainly, some allies are more reliable than
9	that, but we have to look at the disconnect between rhetoric
10	and action.
11	Q. In your view, is a smaller group in society more in need
12	of reliable allies in the political sphere?
13	A. Well, just from the absolute numerical question of
14	electoral politics, the smaller the group, the more allies
15	necessary in order to sustain the day. That's clearly the
16	case.
17	If you are particularly insular or you are
18	geographically isolated, without allies you are very unlikely
19	to have an impact beyond, you know, fairly limited geographic
20	circumstances.
21	Q. In your expert opinion, does the gay and lesbian community
22	have any reliable allies in the way you are using that term
23	from a political science standpoint?
24	A. Umm sure. I wouldn't say that they have no reliable
25	allies. I think that would be an unfair statement.

1	I think that when we look at kind of across the
2	country and across the range of issues, the number of allies on
3	which gays and lesbians can count on in a tough fight is fairly
4	small, but I wouldn't say it's zero.
5	Q. Do you think that those that group of reliable allies
6	is sufficient to give gay men and lesbians political power in
7	the United States?
8	A. In my view, no.
9	${\tt Q}$ . What has been the impact of HIV and Aids on the political
10	power of gay men and lesbians in this country?
11	<b>A.</b> So I spoke before about the fairly small numbers of gays
12	and lesbians. Those numbers are diminished by over 300,000
13	deaths of men engaged primarily in same sex sexual behavior
14	from HIV, another quarter of a million infections in the same
15	category.
16	So that's done a couple of things. One is, it's
17	diminished the voting power of a group that's already small.
18	Second, the disease has rather dramatically sapped
19	the financial resources of the group. Obviously, the 300,000
20	who have passed are not in a position to make contributions.
21	Those who are ill, are frequently on disability, spending
22	untold treasure on their medications.
23	More importantly, resources from the healthy are
24	being directed towards HIV activities and action, prevention
25	campaigns, HIV support, charities and whatnot, quite rightly.

1And, finally, during the period of the worst severity2of the Aids epidemic, that was, frankly, the more important3agenda item; that gays and lesbians turn their attention first4to surviving before engaging the political system.5So I think HIV has been a real setback, certainly,6for the people who have been infected, but for the cause as7well.8Q. When a group, when a minority group faces a well9orchestrated, well-funded opposition, does that affect its10powerlessness in our political system?11A. Right. And so I think this really kind of gets to one of12the central problems that gays and lesbians face in the13political system.14So you could imagine for the sake of hypothesis that15there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters,16with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally17powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what18their opposition is.19So you can imagine a group that faces relatively10little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would21assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces22well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the23an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.24MR. BUTROUS: Your Honor, I'm going to ask to	-	
<ul> <li>agenda item; that gays and lesbians turn their attention first</li> <li>to surviving before engaging the political system.</li> <li>So I think HIV has been a real setback, certainly,</li> <li>for the people who have been infected, but for the cause as</li> <li>well.</li> <li>Q. When a group, when a minority group faces a well</li> <li>orchestrated, well-funded opposition, does that affect its</li> <li>powerlessness in our political system?</li> <li>A. Right. And so I think this really kind of gets to one of</li> <li>the central problems that gays and lesbians face in the</li> <li>political system.</li> <li>So you could imagine for the sake of hypothesis that</li> <li>there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters,</li> <li>with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally</li> <li>powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what</li> <li>their opposition is.</li> <li>So you can imagine a group that faces relatively</li> <li>little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would</li> <li>assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces</li> <li>well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the</li> <li>same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's</li> <li>an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.</li> </ul>	1	And, finally, during the period of the worst severity
4 to surviving before engaging the political system. 5 So I think HIV has been a real setback, certainly, 6 for the people who have been infected, but for the cause as 7 well. 8 Q. When a group, when a minority group faces a well 9 orchestrated, well-funded opposition, does that affect its 10 powerlessness in our political system? 11 A. Right. And so I think this really kind of gets to one of 12 the central problems that gays and lesbians face in the 13 political system. 14 So you could imagine for the sake of hypothesis that 15 there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters, 16 with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally 17 powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what 18 their opposition is. 19 So you can imagine a group that faces relatively 10 little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would 20 assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces 22 well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the 23 an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	2	of the Aids epidemic, that was, frankly, the more important
5       So I think HIV has been a real setback, certainly,         6       for the people who have been infected, but for the cause as         7       well.         8       Q. When a group, when a minority group faces a well         9       orchestrated, well-funded opposition, does that affect its         10       powerlessness in our political system?         11       A. Right. And so I think this really kind of gets to one of         12       the central problems that gays and lesbians face in the         13       political system.         14       So you could imagine for the sake of hypothesis that         15       there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters,         with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally         17       powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what         18       their opposition is.         19       So you can imagine a group that faces relatively         20       little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would         21       assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces         22       well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the         23       an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	3	agenda item; that gays and lesbians turn their attention first
for the people who have been infected, but for the cause as well. Q. When a group, when a minority group faces a well orchestrated, well-funded opposition, does that affect its powerlessness in our political system? A. Right. And so I think this really kind of gets to one of the central problems that gays and lesbians face in the political system. So you could imagine for the sake of hypothesis that there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters, with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what their opposition is. So you can imagine a group that faces relatively little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	4	to surviving before engaging the political system.
well. 9. When a group, when a minority group faces a well 9 orchestrated, well-funded opposition, does that affect its powerlessness in our political system? A. Right. And so I think this really kind of gets to one of the central problems that gays and lesbians face in the political system. So you could imagine for the sake of hypothesis that there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters, with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what their opposition is. So you can imagine a group that faces relatively little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	5	So I think HIV has been a real setback, certainly,
<ul> <li>8 0. When a group, when a minority group faces a well</li> <li>9 orchestrated, well-funded opposition, does that affect its</li> <li>10 powerlessness in our political system?</li> <li>11 A. Right. And so I think this really kind of gets to one of</li> <li>12 the central problems that gays and lesbians face in the</li> <li>13 political system.</li> <li>14 So you could imagine for the sake of hypothesis that</li> <li>15 there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters,</li> <li>16 with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally</li> <li>17 powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what</li> <li>18 their opposition is.</li> <li>19 So you can imagine a group that faces relatively</li> <li>10 little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would</li> <li>21 assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces</li> <li>22 well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the</li> <li>23 same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's</li> <li>24 an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.</li> </ul>	6	for the people who have been infected, but for the cause as
9 orchestrated, well-funded opposition, does that affect its 10 powerlessness in our political system? 11 A. Right. And so I think this really kind of gets to one of 12 the central problems that gays and lesbians face in the 13 political system. 14 So you could imagine for the sake of hypothesis that 15 there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters, 16 with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally 17 powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what 18 their opposition is. 19 So you can imagine a group that faces relatively 11 little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would 21 assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces 22 well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the 23 am amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's 24 an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	7	well.
<ul> <li>powerlessness in our political system?</li> <li>A. Right. And so I think this really kind of gets to one of</li> <li>the central problems that gays and lesbians face in the</li> <li>political system.</li> <li>So you could imagine for the sake of hypothesis that</li> <li>there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters,</li> <li>with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally</li> <li>powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what</li> <li>their opposition is.</li> <li>So you can imagine a group that faces relatively</li> <li>little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would</li> <li>assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces</li> <li>well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the</li> <li>same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's</li> <li>an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.</li> </ul>	8	Q. When a group, when a minority group faces a well
<ul> <li>A. Right. And so I think this really kind of gets to one of</li> <li>the central problems that gays and lesbians face in the</li> <li>political system.</li> <li>So you could imagine for the sake of hypothesis that</li> <li>there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters,</li> <li>with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally</li> <li>powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what</li> <li>their opposition is.</li> <li>So you can imagine a group that faces relatively</li> <li>little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would</li> <li>assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces</li> <li>well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the</li> <li>same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's</li> <li>an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.</li> </ul>	9	orchestrated, well-funded opposition, does that affect its
12 the central problems that gays and lesbians face in the 13 political system. 14 So you could imagine for the sake of hypothesis that 15 there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters, 16 with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally 17 powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what 18 their opposition is. 19 So you can imagine a group that faces relatively 11 little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would 21 assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces 22 well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the 23 same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's 24 an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	10	powerlessness in our political system?
political system. So you could imagine for the sake of hypothesis that there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters, with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what their opposition is. So you can imagine a group that faces relatively little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	11	A. Right. And so I think this really kind of gets to one of
14So you could imagine for the sake of hypothesis that15there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters,16with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally17powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what18their opposition is.19So you can imagine a group that faces relatively20little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would21assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces22well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the23same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's24an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	12	the central problems that gays and lesbians face in the
15 there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters, with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what their opposition is. 19 So you can imagine a group that faces relatively 20 little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would 21 assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces 22 well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the 23 same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's 24 an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	13	political system.
16 with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally 17 powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what 18 their opposition is. 19 So you can imagine a group that faces relatively 20 little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would 21 assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces 22 well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the 23 asme amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's 24 an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	14	So you could imagine for the sake of hypothesis that
17 powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what 18 their opposition is. 19 So you can imagine a group that faces relatively 20 little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would 21 assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces 22 well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the 23 same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's 24 an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	15	there are two groups with exactly the same number of voters,
18 their opposition is. 19 So you can imagine a group that faces relatively 20 little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would 21 assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces 22 well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the 23 same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's 24 an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	16	with exactly the same number of dollars. Are they equally
19 So you can imagine a group that faces relatively 20 little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would 21 assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces 22 well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the 23 same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's 24 an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	17	powerful? And the answer is, no, because that depends on what
20 little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would 21 assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces 22 well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the 23 same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's 24 an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	18	their opposition is.
21 assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces 22 well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the 23 same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's 24 an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	19	So you can imagine a group that faces relatively
<pre>22 well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the 23 same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's 24 an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.</pre>	20	little hostility or relatively little opposition, and we would
<pre>23 same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's 24 an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.</pre>	21	assume that they would be more powerful than a group that faces
24 an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.	22	well-funded and coordinated opposition to simply even with the
	23	same amount of resources, because it's tougher sledding. It's
25 MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I'm going to ask to	24	an uphill battle for the group with strong opposition.
	25	MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I'm going to ask to

SEGURA - DIRECT EXAMINATION / BOUTROUS

1	approach to provide the witness with another smaller collection
2	of exhibits in a binder and provide the Court and opposing
3	counsel with those exhibits, if that's okay?
4	THE COURT: Very well.
5	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you.
6	(Whereupon, binders were tendered
7	to the Court, counsel and the witness.)
8	MR. BOUTROUS: And, your Honor I'm going to present
9	the clerk with a listing of exhibits for convenience of the
10	Court. I have provided a copy to the witness and opposing
11	counsel as well.
12	THE COURT: Very well.
13	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
14	Q. Professor Segura, I would like you to start out by opening
15	the binder to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1550
16	(Witness complied.)
17	<b>Q.</b> (Continuing) and ask you if this is a document that you
18	reviewed in connection with your testimony in this case?
19	A. It is.
20	${\tt Q}$ . And before I ask you questions about that document, did
21	you study the Proposition 8 campaign and draw any conclusions
22	about the degree of opposition that gay men and lesbians faced
23	during the Proposition 8 campaign?
24	A. I generally familiarized myself with the details of the
25	campaign, but I can't say as I went into any depth on the

1	organizations of the two sides.
2	So I know what money was spent, et cetera, but I
3	didn't really have available to me a lot of information about,
4	for example, volunteerism and those sorts of things.
5	Q. And since you have been involved in this case, were you
6	provided with certain documents that were received by the
7	plaintiffs during the discovery over the last week from the
8	proponents of Proposition 8 in this case?
9	A. I was.
10	Q. And is one of those documents Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1550?
11	A. It is.
12	Q. And could you tell us what, if anything well, describe
13	this document and explain to the Court what, if anything, it
14	tells you about the political opposition arrayed against gay
15	men and lesbians?
16	A. It appears to be a flyer or perhaps a web screen capture
17	then sent as an electronic mail.
18	There are two things in it that I took note of when I
19	was looking through it. The first is on the second page the
20	role of the LDS Church in supporting Prop 8.
21	MR. BOUTROUS: And, your Honor, I would move
22	admission of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1550.
23	MR. THOMPSON: No objection, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Very well. 1550 is admitted.
25	

1	
1	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1550 received in evidence.)
2	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
3	Q. And if we could display Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1550?
4	(Document displayed)
5	${f Q}$ . And, Professor Segura, I would ask you to direct us to the
6	portion that you are referring to on page two and read the
7	portion that you found relevant.
8	A. Page two under the title "LDS Church takes an active
9	role." I'm particularly interested in a couple of notes.
10	First, the second sentence:
11	"A letter from the First Presidency of the
12	LDS Church in Salt Lake announced the
13	church's official position during Sunday
14	services on June 29th. The LDS Church rarely
15	takes an official stand on political issues,
16	but in this case the First Presidency sent a
17	letter to the highest worldwide church
18	leaders and California local LDS leaders."
19	${f Q}$ . And why do you find that relevant to the question of
20	political power and powerlessness of gay men and lesbians?
21	A. Churches many churches, and the LDS Church I would
22	include in this, are hierarchical. They have very clear
23	patterns and lines of communication.
24	All churches have the good fortune to essentially be
25	able to speak to their flock once a week or more, which makes

1	5	8	8	
-	-	0	0	

T	
1	for a very strong communications network.
2	And so to me this illustrated that the LDS Church was
3	very active, not just on the financial side, but even in the
4	sort of grassroots side of pushing forward the proposition.
5	Q. Please turn to page three of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1550?
б	(Witness complied.)
7	${f Q}$ . Is there anything on that page that you found relevant to
8	your analysis of the political forces arrayed against gay men
9	and lesbians gay men and lesbians in the Prop 8 campaign?
10	A. Sure. Under the subtitle "Pastor's Committee."
11	Q. If you could read that for the record and then explain
12	what, if any, relevance it has to your opinions?
13	A. On 17 excuse me:
14	"On June 17, 2008, Jim Garlow, senior pastor
15	of Skyline Church in San Diego, released an
16	invitation letter to the state's pastor
17	community asking them to participate in a
18	state-wide conference call for pastors. The
19	call, which marked the first in a series of
20	pastor meetings, served to kick off an
21	aggressive grassroots campaign among churches
22	of varying denominations. A total of 1700
23	pastors based in 101 locations across the
24	state participated."
25	Q. What relevance does that passage have to your analysis of

Т	
1	the political powerlessness issue in this case?
2	A. So in going through these documents, Reverend Garlow's
3	name appears frequently and he ends up organizing this team,
4	and it goes on to become, I believe, Protect Marriage CA.
5	And they were very instrumental in trying to involve
6	the Evangelical community in supporting the proposition.
7	And I was particularly taken aback by the notion of
8	1700 pastors. That is a profound network of influence. I
9	think most campaigns, candidate campaigns, initiative
10	campaigns, party coordinated campaigns would be thrilled to
11	have 1700 volunteers across the state on any given conference
12	call. I think that that would be considered a heroic success.
13	So this is an admirable organization at some level.
14	I mean, it's enviable.
15	Q. And you are not suggesting there is anything wrong with
16	like-minded groups and like-minded organizations, including
17	churches, banding together to fight for a cause they believe
18	in, right?
19	A. Umm, well, of course, there are limitations under the tax
20	code about political advocacy; but of terms of individual
21	groups working together on their own behalf, they are perfectly
22	allowed to do that. And, in fact, that's kind of the center
23	piece of pluralist democracy, is that people get to advocate
24	for what they believe in.
25	I think what takes me back here is just sort of the

sheer breadth of the organization and its level of coordination
with Protect Marriage.
Q. Let's turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2310, which is the
second document in the binder.
(Witness complied.)
Q. Is this a document that you reviewed in connection with
your work on this case over the last week?
A. It is.
Q. And could you describe your understanding of what this
document is?
<b>A.</b> This document appears to be a cover page screen capture of
ProtectMarriage.com's website.
MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I move admission of
Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2310.
MR. THOMPSON: No objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: 2310 is admitted.
(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2310 received in evidence.)
BY MR. BOUTROUS:
Q. Professor Segura, was there anything about this document
that caught your eye as you evaluated the relative political
power of gay men and lesbians vis-a-vis others?
A. Frankly, it was just the word "coalition."
So the very first subpoena is:
"ProtectMarriage.com is a broad-based
coalition of California families, community

1	leaders, religious leaders, pro-family
2	organizations and individuals from all walks
3	of life who have joined together to support
4	Proposition 8."
5	And so coalitions we know exist, you know, in an
6	informal sense in all forms of political contestation. And
7	this appeared to be sort of a stipulation of a more formal
8	association.
9	So it was more of an impression that I got from that
10	sentence that you know, that there was an organized effort
11	here, rather than just simply a group of people who happened to
12	agree.
13	Q. Based on your evaluation of the record in this case before
14	you saw these documents and in connection with public
15	statements that you had seen previously, was the use of the
16	word "coalition" significant to you in this document?
17	A. When I evaluate the political opportunity structure that
18	gays and lesbians face in my evaluation of their level of power
19	or powerlessness, it enhances my understanding and enhances my
20	estimation of the strength of their opposition.
21	<b>Q.</b> Professor Segura, please turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2314.
22	(Witness complied.)
23	A. I'm there.
24	Q. Have you reviewed this document?
25	A. I have.

1	1	
1	Q.	What does could you describe this document? And then I
2	will	ask that it be moved into evidence before you go on. But
3	just	give your over overall description of the document,
4	pleas	se?
5	Α.	This is also a screen capture of a website called "The
6	Pasto	or's Rapid Response Team," which sounds fun and
7		(Laughter.)
8	Α.	I'm sorry. The term "rapid response" just struck me as
9	odd.	Again, it's headed by Jim Garlow from Skyline Church.
10		MR. BOUTROUS: And, your Honor, I move admission of
11	Plair	ntiffs' Exhibit 2314.
12		MR. THOMPSON: No objection, your Honor.
13		THE COURT: Very well, 2314 is in.
14		(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2314 received in evidence.)
15		MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, your Honor.
16		Please display 2314.
17		(Document displayed)
18	BY MI	R. BOUTROUS:
19	Q.	In political parlance, Professor Segura, what is a rapid
20	respo	onse team?
21	Α.	It's an unusual term in political science. I'm more
22	accus	stomed to the term with respect to toxic waste bills or
23	fire	s or medical emergency sorts of things. So I'm not sure
24	what	they had in mind when they coined the term.
25		I would assume that what they wanted to do would be

1 in a position to put out responses to or to stage a public 2 event quickly in response to sort of developments throughout 3 the course of the campaign, the word "rapid" and "response" 4 being the key words there.

5 But I was just more taken aback that there was an 6 organization who was sort of regularly monitoring everything 7 and ready to go at a moment's notice.

8 Q. Well, thank you. Let's turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2389.

9 MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, I would like to say we are 10 getting to a part of this binder where there are many documents 11 that are stamped "Attorneys' Eyes Only," "Highly Confidential." 12 This document doesn't have that stamp on it, but we believe it 13 is confidential.

We haven't had an opportunity to have dialogue with plaintiffs' counsel about the extent to which we might be able to lift those designations, but we are certainly concerned about these documents being discussed in open court without having an opportunity to assess that.

And I might suggest that we take a lunch break and look and see whether we can work with plaintiffs' counsel to resolve those issues without having to take the Court's time fighting document by document over this on the fly.

MR. McCARTHY: If I may, your honor, attorney Vincent
McCarthy. I represent Pastor Jim Garlow and Pastor Miles
McPherson.

1 I have concerns regarding these documents, which I haven't seen, your Honor. I'm presently in the process of 2 3 discussing with counsel for the plaintiffs a potential 4 agreement on the motion to quash and for a protective order that I filed in this matter. 5 6 My clients are currently reviewing certain documents 7 and disks, some of which appear to be the documents that are being introduced here, to make a decision as to whether or not 8 9 they will agree to the introduction of those documents and/or authenticity of the documents. 10 11 For plaintiffs to be introducing these while telling me that they want my clients to review them on the issues of 12 13 both authenticity and admissibility, I think is somewhat misleading. We are still reviewing these documents and if they 14 15 are going to be introduced at this point or counsel is going to seek to introduce them, then I would like to have the motion to 16 quash and for a protective order decided, because the part of 17 the motion that goes to a protective order goes to any 18 testimony by Pastors Garlow and McPherson. And if these 19 documents include testimonial matters regarding these two 20 21 pastors, then they are included within the motion for protective order. 22 23 As your Honor knows, we have argued not only under 24 the earlier Perry decision, but the Trump decision, that there

25 are First Amendment implications to the introduction of

1	testimonial evidence from pastors. Particularly, a lot of
2	this, I think, concerns sermons that they have given, speeches
3	they have given to other people regarding their biblical
4	beliefs, and all of which we believe are protected by the First
5	Amendment and have been argued in the motion papers that your
6	Honor presently has.
7	THE COURT: Well, Mr. Boutrous, I guess there are two
8	suggestions; Mr. Thompson's suggestion for lunch, and the
9	comment raised by counsel for Reverend Garlow.
10	MR. BOUTROUS: I have no objection to the lunch
11	suggestion.
12	(Laughter.)
13	MR. BOUTROUS: Let me make that clear, but let me
14	address two of the points.
15	First, counsel we provided this gentleman with
16	disks, documents. Asked him over the weekend, as I represented
17	to the Court we would do, to review the documents that we might
18	use to absolve his clients of having to appear and testify
19	about them.
20	He sent us back an email, which I can provide the
21	Court I was hoping to spare you having to delve into this
22	basically that it would be too burdensome for his clients to
23	review the documents to tell us whether they had any objection
24	to us using them, and essentially refused to participate in the
25	back-and-forth on the documents. That's number one.

Π

1	Number two. This document that is as Mr. Thompson
2	astutely noted, the next exhibit, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2389,
3	does not say "Attorneys' Eyes Only." It was not designated
4	confidential. It's an email from Ned Dolejsi, who was on the
5	executive committee of ProtectMarriage.com, and we obtained it
6	from the formerly anonymous Mr. Swardstrom in production
7	without any limitation on our using this document whatsoever.
8	The third point I would make, your Honor, is that
9	these are documents that were produced after the Ninth Circuit
10	amended its opinion in footnote 12, after Judge Spero ruled
11	regarding the scope of the privilege. These are documents that
12	were disseminated widely, widely.
13	Some of the documents you will see, your Honor, they
14	talk about 3,000 pastors on a conference call in order to
15	disseminate more messages to huge numbers of people.
16	So they are clearly not within any cognizable scope
17	of a First Amendment privilege and they're covered and this
18	is covered by the order that the Court today upheld from
19	Judge Spero.
20	So and, finally, we did redact the names. We did not
21	do it on this document because it was not produced pursuant to
22	any protective order. But the version I have provided the
23	Court and the witness and that I was going to seek admission of
24	evidence, we redacted the names that we believed had not been
25	made public or we didn't know, consistent with the agreement

-	7
1	that I made with Mr. Cooper last week regarding the use of
2	these documents.
3	And we did have a dialogue with with the
4	proponents' counsel over the weekend in terms of redaction, and
5	I think some of the things we did agree on and others we are
6	in the middle of trial with witnesses. We just took our best
7	good faith effort to eliminate names of people we didn't have
8	information concerning, the extent to which they had been
9	publicly revealed.
10	So I don't think there's any basis for any objection
11	to these documents. They are documents produced by the
12	proponents or the individual members of the executive
13	committee, in the latter case, without any limitation on our
14	use.
15	THE COURT: You are representing that Exhibit 2389
16	and the other document that are contained in this binder came
17	from the sources you identified rather than from counsel's
18	client?
19	MR. BOUTROUS: Correct, your Honor.
20	MR. MCCARTHY: Your Honor, if I may just correct the
21	record?
22	I don't think one hand knows what the other is doing
23	with regard to plaintiffs' team. There is an attorney named
24	Lazarus, who I am dealing with, who presented me yesterday with
25	a separate binder with, I believe, eight disks in it and about

1 10 or 12 documents.

2 She stated to me on the phone that if my clients 3 would review them, she would -- and agree to the 4 admissibility -- or not admissibility, authenticity of the 5 documents, she would withdraw the subpoenas.

6 Now, I sent those documents in good faith, together 7 with the disks, by overnight mail yesterday to my clients because the prior package that had been sent to me was over 40 8 hours in length and the plaintiffs wanted my clients to review 9 them on a Sunday and Martin Luther King holiday, which it was 10 impossible to get the documents to them and for them to spend 11 30 hours on that on a Sunday on Martin Luther King's birthday. 12 13 So right now my clients are reviewing them thinking that there is an offer on the table here. 14

15 So we are completely taken by surprise. And if 16 counsel is going to proceed with this, apparently, then they 17 are withdrawing the offer that they made earlier to me 18 yesterday and I would like an opportunity to argue the motion 19 to quash.

And we've also prepared a motion to stay, because we would like to have the Ninth Circuit take a look at this as well. We know of no instance in which pastors have been called to testify regarding their sermons and other biblical interpretations to their congregants and others. THE COURT: Well, that's a separate issue, is it not,

1 from the documents that Mr. Boutrous is proposing to use with this witness? 2 3 MR. McCARTHY: Unless they include testimonial 4 materials, your Honor. Then they would be covered by the 5 motion for a protective order. 6 THE COURT: A protective order motion is different. 7 As I understand it, these are not the documents that were produced by or came from the files of your client. 8 9 MR. McCARTHY: Right. But I'm objecting not only to the authenticity of the documents, but, also, to the 10 11 admissibility of the document because of the First Amendment consideration set out in our motion. 12 13 **THE COURT:** That is a separate issue, isn't it? MR. McCARTHY: It's only separate if there is no 14 15 testimonial materials in the documents that have been introduced. 16 THE COURT: Now, what do you mean "testimonial 17 18 material"? 19 MR. McCARTHY: In other words, if there are statements from either Pastors Garlow or McPherson in these 20 21 documents, then what plaintiffs are doing is getting in 22 statements by my clients over the motion for protective order 23 that I have made protecting any statements made by my clients 24 under the First Amendment. 25 THE COURT: If the statements, however, were made to

third parties, what possible protection could there be for 1 2 these statements? MR. McCARTHY: Your Honor, I don't even -- I haven't 3 4 seen these before. I have no idea what's in them. I was 5 provided with a --6 THE COURT: That would tell you whether there is some 7 kind of privilege that attaches to the statements, would it not? 8 9 MR. McCARTHY: Well, it would, sure. If the material in these documents includes biblical interpretations of my 10 11 clients of the issues that are being talked about here, then the Court is really asking a pastor to testify as to his of 12 13 view of traditional marriage, of same-sex marriage, and we believe that would violate the First Amendment rights of a 14 15 pastor to do that, your Honor. MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, may I make one point? 16 17 This was a pastor who was on the Pastor's Rapid 18 Response team. So he injected himself into the political 19 sphere. 20 **THE COURT:** You are saying he can respond quickly, is 21 that it? 22 (Laughter.) 23 MR. BOUTROUS: I wish I had thought of that. 24 THE COURT: All right. Lets take Mr. Thompson's 25 suggestion and have lunch, and you and Mr. Thompson can work

1	
1	out whatever you want with respect to these documents.
2	One other piece of information for counsel. I have
3	been informed that Magistrate Judge Spero has heard the parties
4	on proponents' motion to expand the designation of the
5	proponents' core group.
6	Magistrate Judge Spero granted proponents' motion
7	with respect to John Doe, but denied the motion with respect to
8	Rob Wirthlin, Richard Peterson and Bill Criswell. So you may
9	consider that in the course of your discussions.
10	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	(Whereupon at 12:12 p.m. proceedings
13	were adjourned for noon recess.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1602
------

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JANUARY 20, 2010 1:09 P.M.
3	
4	THE COURT: Very well. With regard to the matter
5	that we were discussing just before the luncheon recess, I want
6	to advise counsel that I'm going to make a reference of the
7	motion to quash, that counsel is addressing, to Magistrate
8	Judge Spero so that he may attend to that while we proceed with
9	the trial.
10	And so I would urge Counsel, whose name I'm afraid I
11	have forgotten
12	MR. MCCARTHY: McCarthy, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Oh, yes, Mr. McCarthy.
14	MR. MCCARTHY: Yes, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: If you and your opposite numbers on the
16	plaintiffs' team and on the proponents' team would make
17	yourself available to Magistrate Judge Spero, he will be able
18	to attend to this matter and deal with it.
19	MR. MCCARTHY: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, may I just confer with
21	Mr. McGill, for one moment?
22	THE COURT: Of course.
23	(Pause)
24	THE COURT: Ready to go?
25	MR. BOUTROUS: Yes, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: All right. You may continue with your
2	direct examination.
3	And I will remind the witness, you're still under
4	oath.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
б	THE COURT: You understand that?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
8	THE COURT: Fine.
9	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION RESUMED
11	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
12	Q. I would like to ask the witness to go back to Plaintiffs'
13	Exhibit 2389, and not discuss it until I ask you a question. I
14	would like to proceed through this step by step.
15	First, is this a document that you have seen?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And, just in general terms, could you describe for the
18	Court what it is, without mentioning anyone's name except the
19	name of the individual who whose name appears in the "from"
20	line.
21	A. It is an e-mail to many people, from someone named Ned
22	Dolejsi.
23	${f Q}$ . And are you aware that Mr. Dolejsi is a member of the
24	executive committee of ProtectMarriage.com?
25	A. I am.

1	Q. And without disclosing the names or the titles of the
2	individuals to whom this e-mail was sent, can you generally
3	describe the nature of the the people to whom this e-mail
4	was sent?
5	A. In broad terms, I would describe it as the senior
6	leadership of the Roman Catholic Church in California.
7	Q. And could you generally describe the subject matter of
8	this e-mail, at least as to the first page of the document.
9	Again, without going into any detail concerning the actual
10	specific contents.
11	<b>A.</b> Uhm, it appears to be sort of half of a thank you note,
12	half of a celebratory message on the election day, recounting
13	the specific contributions that Catholic organizations and the
14	Church itself played in prosecuting the Yes On 8 campaign.
15	${f Q}$ . In going back to the top of the document. Under the
16	"from" line there's a "subject" line. Could you read that for
17	me.
18	A. It says, "Go to confession."
19	Q. And what is the date of the e-mail?
20	A. November 4, 2008.
21	Q. And is there a time stamp on it?
22	A. 9:28, in the morning.
23	MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I move admission of
24	Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2389. The parties did we did discuss
25	this document.

1	There is still a dispute about whether the whole
2	thing can come in. I propose that it be entered into evidence
3	subject to redaction, and that I would only display the
4	portions of the document that the parties agreed to be
5	displayed, published to the public and put on the screen for
6	now. And then we could resolve the any other I still
7	believe this document is not covered by any privilege. But to
8	move things along, would suggest we proceed that way.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Pugno.
10	MR. PUGNO: Thank you, Your Honor. Is this on?
11	THE COURT: I believe it is.
12	MR. PUGNO: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
13	Thank you. And thank you for pronouncing my name
14	correctly. Everyone gets it wrong.
15	THE COURT: Oh, well.
16	MR. PUGNO: We discussed this at length during the
17	break, and we're doing everything we can to accommodate the
18	plaintiffs on this.
19	And we identified some portions of this that we were
20	comfortable having read to the witness. But the but the
21	this is, as has been described by the witness, a communication
22	between the executive director of the Catholic Conference of
23	Bishops and the bishops who make up the Catholic Conference of
24	Bishops. And, you know and the subject matter has to do
25	with the Church's involvement, as I understand it from the

witness. 1 2 We don't agree to lift, voluntarily, the attorneys' eyes only privilege for this entire document. But we did, 3 4 earlier, work out some highlighted portions that could be read 5 to the witness without our objections. So that's where we are 6 at this moment. 7 THE COURT: Well, then, do I understand it's mutually agreeable to the parties that we proceed with respect to the 8 9 portions that you've highlighted and as to which there is no objection to being read to the witness? 10 11 MR. BOUTROUS: Yes. MR. PUGNO: That is correct. But as to the admission 12 13 of the entire document into evidence, we haven't gotten there 14 yet. 15 THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll deal with that when and if we reach that point. 16 17 MR. PUGNO: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 At this time, I would like to publish the newly redacted first page of Exhibit -- Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2389. 20 21 And then I'll ask the witness to read from the 22 sections that have not been redacted, those paragraphs. And 23 then I'll ask the witness a couple of questions about that. 24 Maybe we can enlarge it, so it's easier to read. 25 (Document displayed.)

1       MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you.         2       THE WITNESS: Would you like me to read it in its         3       entirety?         4       BY MR. BOUTROUS:         5       Q. Yes, if you could, Professor. Thank you.         6       A. (As read)         7       "Today is election day. I am sure you share my relief that it is finally here. We have         9       all been subjected to the longest campaign         10       for President in American history. And in         11       our own state, the intensity of the campaign         12       around Proposition 8 has been incredible.         13       The direct involvement of the CCC has been         14       unusual - although not unprecedented."         15       MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. But         16       what's been posted on the screen is more than what has been         17       highlighted and agreed to by the parties.         18       MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you         19       want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I
<ul> <li>entirety?</li> <li>BY MR. BOUTROUS:</li> <li>Q. Yes, if you could, Professor. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (As read)</li> <li>"Today is election day. I am sure you share my relief that it is finally here. We have</li> <li>all been subjected to the longest campaign</li> <li>for President in American history. And in</li> <li>our own state, the intensity of the campaign</li> <li>around Proposition 8 has been incredible.</li> <li>The direct involvement of the CCC has been</li> <li>unusual - although not unprecedented."</li> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. But</li> <li>what's been posted on the screen is more than what has been</li> <li>highlighted and agreed to by the parties.</li> <li>MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you</li> <li>want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>BY MR. BOUTROUS:</li> <li>Q. Yes, if you could, Professor. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (As read)</li> <li>"Today is election day. I am sure you share my relief that it is finally here. We have all been subjected to the longest campaign for President in American history. And in our own state, the intensity of the campaign around Proposition 8 has been incredible.</li> <li>The direct involvement of the CCC has been unusual - although not unprecedented."</li> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. But</li> <li>what's been posted on the screen is more than what has been highlighted and agreed to by the parties.</li> <li>MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you</li> <li>want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9. Yes, if you could, Professor. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (As read)</li> <li>"Today is election day. I am sure you share my relief that it is finally here. We have all been subjected to the longest campaign for President in American history. And in our own state, the intensity of the campaign around Proposition 8 has been incredible.</li> <li>The direct involvement of the CCC has been unusual - although not unprecedented."</li> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. But</li> <li>what's been posted on the screen is more than what has been highlighted and agreed to by the parties.</li> <li>MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you</li> <li>want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. (As read)</li> <li>"Today is election day. I am sure you share</li> <li>my relief that it is finally here. We have</li> <li>all been subjected to the longest campaign</li> <li>for President in American history. And in</li> <li>our own state, the intensity of the campaign</li> <li>around Proposition 8 has been incredible.</li> <li>The direct involvement of the CCC has been</li> <li>unusual - although not unprecedented."</li> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. But</li> <li>what's been posted on the screen is more than what has been</li> <li>highlighted and agreed to by the parties.</li> <li>MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you</li> <li>want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>"Today is election day. I am sure you share</li> <li>my relief that it is finally here. We have</li> <li>all been subjected to the longest campaign</li> <li>for President in American history. And in</li> <li>our own state, the intensity of the campaign</li> <li>around Proposition 8 has been incredible.</li> <li>The direct involvement of the CCC has been</li> <li>unusual - although not unprecedented."</li> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. But</li> <li>highlighted and agreed to by the parties.</li> <li>MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you</li> <li>want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>my relief that it is finally here. We have</li> <li>all been subjected to the longest campaign</li> <li>for President in American history. And in</li> <li>our own state, the intensity of the campaign</li> <li>around Proposition 8 has been incredible.</li> <li>The direct involvement of the CCC has been</li> <li>unusual - although not unprecedented."</li> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. But</li> <li>what's been posted on the screen is more than what has been</li> <li>highlighted and agreed to by the parties.</li> <li>MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you</li> <li>want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 all been subjected to the longest campaign</li> <li>10 for President in American history. And in</li> <li>11 our own state, the intensity of the campaign</li> <li>12 around Proposition 8 has been incredible.</li> <li>13 The direct involvement of the CCC has been</li> <li>14 unusual - although not unprecedented."</li> <li>15 MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. But</li> <li>16 what's been posted on the screen is more than what has been</li> <li>17 highlighted and agreed to by the parties.</li> <li>18 MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you</li> <li>19 want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I</li> </ul>
10 for President in American history. And in 11 our own state, the intensity of the campaign 12 around Proposition 8 has been incredible. 13 The direct involvement of the CCC has been 14 unusual - although not unprecedented." 15 MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. But 16 what's been posted on the screen is more than what has been 17 highlighted and agreed to by the parties. 18 MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you 19 want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I
11 our own state, the intensity of the campaign 12 around Proposition 8 has been incredible. 13 The direct involvement of the CCC has been 14 unusual - although not unprecedented." 15 MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. But 16 what's been posted on the screen is more than what has been 17 highlighted and agreed to by the parties. 18 MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you 19 want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I
12 around Proposition 8 has been incredible. 13 The direct involvement of the CCC has been 14 unusual - although not unprecedented." 15 MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. But 16 what's been posted on the screen is more than what has been 17 highlighted and agreed to by the parties. 18 MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you 19 want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I
<ul> <li>13 The direct involvement of the CCC has been</li> <li>14 unusual - although not unprecedented."</li> <li>15 MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. But</li> <li>16 what's been posted on the screen is more than what has been</li> <li>17 highlighted and agreed to by the parties.</li> <li>18 MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you</li> <li>19 want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>14 unusual - although not unprecedented."</li> <li>15 MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. But</li> <li>16 what's been posted on the screen is more than what has been</li> <li>17 highlighted and agreed to by the parties.</li> <li>18 MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you</li> <li>19 want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. But</li> <li>what's been posted on the screen is more than what has been</li> <li>highlighted and agreed to by the parties.</li> <li>MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you</li> <li>want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I</li> </ul>
<pre>16 what's been posted on the screen is more than what has been 17 highlighted and agreed to by the parties. 18 MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you 19 want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I</pre>
<ul> <li>17 highlighted and agreed to by the parties.</li> <li>18 MR. BOUTROUS: Oh, I'm sorry. Were you do you</li> <li>19 want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I</li> </ul>
18MR. BOUTROUS:Oh, I'm sorry.Were you do you19want additional sentences?I had this sort of blocked.I
19 want additional sentences? I had this sort of blocked. I
20 thought
20 thought
21 MR. PUGNO: That's fine.
22 MR. BOUTROUS: we had agreed to those two
23 paragraphs.
24 MR. PUGNO: What's highlighted.
25 MR. BOUTROUS: Okay. Well, maybe I can ask our team

Π

1	to redact, in that second paragraph, everything not that I
2	like asking to have things redacted. Let me just make that
3	clear. But to move this along, the sentences that up until
4	the sentence that begins, "The Catholic conference has
5	played" So, basically, the first two sentences of the
6	second paragraph. If we can redact those as well.
7	My apologies for the delay, Your Honor.
8	Yes. There we go. Thank you.
9	(Document displayed.)
10	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
11	Q. Professor Segura, could you pick up reading with the now
12	slimmed-down version slimmed-down version of this exhibit.
13	A. (As read)
14	"The Catholic Conference has played a
15	substantial role in inviting Catholic
16	faithful to put their faith in action by
17	volunteering and donating. Led by the
18	Knights of Columbus national donation of
19	\$1.15 million, other million-dollar donors,
20	and countless major donors, and with a
21	significant percentage of the 90,000 online
22	donors, the Catholic community has stepped
23	up. Of course, this campaign owes an
24	enormous debt to the LDS Church. I will
25	comment specifically at a later time, under

г	
1	separate cover, about their financial,
2	organizational and management contribution to
3	the success of the effort. The
4	ProtectMarriage.com campaign has surpassed
5	\$37 million in donations."
6	Q. Thank you, Professor Segura.
7	What about this document, and in particular those
8	passages that you read, bears on your analysis of the array of
9	political opposition that gay men and lesbians face in the
10	United States in general, and in particular in California as it
11	relates to Proposition 8.
12	A. Well, certainly, it suggests that the fairly substantial
13	monetary resources of the Roman Catholic Church and its
14	faithful were mobilized in substantial portion on behalf of the
15	Yes On 8 campaign.
16	It also suggests a fairly close cooperation between
17	the Catholic Church and the LDS Church, which is certainly
18	remarkable from a historic perspective.
19	And I was taken aback, frankly, by the phrases
20	"financial, organizational and management contributions to the
21	success of the effort," which suggests a very close
22	coordination between those organizations and the campaign.
23	Q. In your study of American politics and political science,
24	to your recollection, have you ever seen an example where two
25	churches of the scope and size and power of the Catholic Church

1	and the LDS Church had banded together and arrayed themself
2	against a particular minority group in society?
3	A. I don't I wouldn't claim to have an exhaustive
4	knowledge of the political action of those churches forever,
5	but I would suggest that this is unprecedented in my
6	experience.
7	Q. Let's turn to the next exhibit, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2552.
8	MR. BOUTROUS: And, Your Honor, I would move I
9	would move actually, let me can ask the witness a couple of
10	questions first.
11	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
12	Q. Is this a document that you've seen before, sir?
13	A. Yes, it is.
14	${f Q}$ . And is it a document you've reviewed this week, in
15	preparing for your testimony, once we received this document in
16	the production that proponents of Proposition 8 made to the
17	plaintiffs in this case?
18	A. Yes, it is.
19	MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I move admission of
20	Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2552.
21	MR. THOMPSON: No objection, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Very well. 2552 is admitted.
23	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2552 received in evidence.)
24	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	

BY MR. BOUTROUS:
Q. Professor Segura, can you describe your understanding of
this document and how it relates to your analysis of the
political powerlessness of gay men and lesbians.
A. This document appears to be a personal e-mail from the
chairman of the protect marriage effort. And it recounts the
financing of the signature petition gathering signature
gathering phase of the Prop 8 campaign.
MR. BOUTROUS: I would like to publish this exhibit,
2552, to the screen, please.
(Document displayed.)
BY MR. BOUTROUS:
<b>Q.</b> In your understanding, is the e-mail line from and
maybe we can highlight that "ronp" of CaliforniaFamily.org,
who do you understand "ronp" to be?
A. Ron Prentice.
Q. And who do you understand Ron Prentice to be?
A. The chairman of ProtectMarriage.com, or the head.
<b>Q.</b> What about what was it about this document, what
portion of this document did you find shed light on the
opinions that you formed, that you're giving in this case?
A. On the second page, the paragraph about a third of the way
down the page that begins with "the total."
Q. Could you read that for the record.
A. (As read)

1"The total projected cost for the2qualification effort has been set at31.5 million. Thus far, 1.25 million has been4raised and spent. The monies have come from5four primary sources thus far: The Catholic6community of San Diego, due to the7involvement of Auxillary Bishop Cordileone,8Fieldstead & Company, who pledged 50 cents9for each dollar raised in January for the10effort, Focus on the Family, and small gifts11from direct mail efforts by12ProtectMarriage.com."13Q. Are you knowledgeable, generally, about the organization14Focus on the Family?15A. I am.16Q. What is Focus on the Family?17A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization18dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the19evangelical community's preferences.20Is it a group that has been known to be politically21active?22A. Very much so.23Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first24paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.25(Document displayed.)		
<ul> <li>1.5 million. Thus far, 1.25 million has been</li> <li>raised and spent. The monies have come from</li> <li>four primary sources thus far: The Catholic</li> <li>community of San Diego, due to the</li> <li>involvement of Auxillary Bishop Cordileone,</li> <li>Fieldstead &amp; Company, who pledged 50 cents</li> <li>for each dollar raised in January for the</li> <li>effort, Focus on the Family, and small gifts</li> <li>from direct mail efforts by</li> <li>ProtectMarriage.com."</li> <li>Q. Are you knowledgeable, generally, about the organization</li> <li>Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. I am.</li> <li>Q. What is Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization</li> <li>dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the</li> <li>evangelical community's preferences.</li> <li>Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically</li> <li>active?</li> <li>A. Very much so.</li> <li>Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	1	"The total projected cost for the
<ul> <li>raised and spent. The monies have come from</li> <li>four primary sources thus far: The Catholic</li> <li>community of San Diego, due to the</li> <li>involvement of Auxillary Bishop Cordileone,</li> <li>Fieldstead &amp; Company, who pledged 50 cents</li> <li>for each dollar raised in January for the</li> <li>effort, Focus on the Family, and small gifts</li> <li>from direct mail efforts by</li> <li>ProtectMarriage.com."</li> <li>Q. Are you knowledgeable, generally, about the organization</li> <li>Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. I am.</li> <li>Q. What is Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization</li> <li>dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the</li> <li>evangelical community's preferences.</li> <li>Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically</li> <li>active?</li> <li>A. Very much so.</li> <li>Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	2	qualification effort has been set at
<ul> <li>four primary sources thus far: The Catholic</li> <li>community of San Diego, due to the</li> <li>involvement of Auxillary Bishop Cordileone,</li> <li>Fieldstead &amp; Company, who pledged 50 cents</li> <li>for each dollar raised in January for the</li> <li>effort, Focus on the Family, and small gifts</li> <li>from direct mail efforts by</li> <li>ProtectMarriage.com."</li> <li>Q. Are you knowledgeable, generally, about the organization</li> <li>Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. I am.</li> <li>Q. What is Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization</li> <li>dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the</li> <li>evangelical community's preferences.</li> <li>Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically</li> <li>active?</li> <li>A. Very much so.</li> <li>Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	3	1.5 million. Thus far, 1.25 million has been
<ul> <li>community of San Diego, due to the</li> <li>involvement of Auxillary Bishop Cordileone,</li> <li>Fieldstead &amp; Company, who pledged 50 cents</li> <li>for each dollar raised in January for the</li> <li>effort, Focus on the Family, and small gifts</li> <li>from direct mail efforts by</li> <li>ProtectMarriage.com."</li> <li>Q. Are you knowledgeable, generally, about the organization</li> <li>Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. I am.</li> <li>Q. What is Focus on the Family?</li> <li>It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization</li> <li>dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the</li> <li>evangelical community's preferences.</li> <li>Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically</li> <li>active?</li> <li>A. Very much so.</li> <li>Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	4	raised and spent. The monies have come from
<ul> <li>involvement of Auxillary Bishop Cordileone,</li> <li>Fieldstead &amp; Company, who pledged 50 cents</li> <li>for each dollar raised in January for the</li> <li>effort, Focus on the Family, and small gifts</li> <li>from direct mail efforts by</li> <li>ProtectMarriage.com."</li> <li>Q. Are you knowledgeable, generally, about the organization</li> <li>Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. I am.</li> <li>Q. What is Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization</li> <li>dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the</li> <li>evangelical community's preferences.</li> <li>Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically</li> <li>active?</li> <li>A. Very much so.</li> <li>Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	5	four primary sources thus far: The Catholic
<ul> <li>8 Fieldstead &amp; Company, who pledged 50 cents</li> <li>9 for each dollar raised in January for the</li> <li>effort, Focus on the Family, and small gifts</li> <li>11 from direct mail efforts by</li> <li>12 ProtectMarriage.com."</li> <li>13 Q. Are you knowledgeable, generally, about the organization</li> <li>14 Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. I am.</li> <li>16 Q. What is Focus on the Family?</li> <li>17 A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization</li> <li>18 dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the</li> <li>evangelical community's preferences.</li> <li>Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically</li> <li>active?</li> <li>A. Very much so.</li> <li>Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	6	community of San Diego, due to the
<ul> <li>for each dollar raised in January for the</li> <li>effort, Focus on the Family, and small gifts</li> <li>from direct mail efforts by</li> <li>ProtectMarriage.com."</li> <li>Q. Are you knowledgeable, generally, about the organization</li> <li>Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. I am.</li> <li>Q. What is Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization</li> <li>dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the</li> <li>evangelical community's preferences.</li> <li>Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically</li> <li>active?</li> <li>A. Very much so.</li> <li>Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	7	involvement of Auxillary Bishop Cordileone,
<ul> <li>effort, Focus on the Family, and small gifts</li> <li>from direct mail efforts by</li> <li>ProtectMarriage.com."</li> <li>Q. Are you knowledgeable, generally, about the organization</li> <li>Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. I am.</li> <li>Q. What is Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization</li> <li>dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the</li> <li>evangelical community's preferences.</li> <li>Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically</li> <li>active?</li> <li>A. Very much so.</li> <li>Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	8	Fieldstead & Company, who pledged 50 cents
<ul> <li>from direct mail efforts by</li> <li>ProtectMarriage.com."</li> <li>Q. Are you knowledgeable, generally, about the organization</li> <li>Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. I am.</li> <li>Q. What is Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization</li> <li>dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the</li> <li>evangelical community's preferences.</li> <li>Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically</li> <li>active?</li> <li>A. Very much so.</li> <li>Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	9	for each dollar raised in January for the
12ProtectMarriage.com."13Q. Are you knowledgeable, generally, about the organization14Focus on the Family?15A. I am.16Q. What is Focus on the Family?17A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization18dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the19evangelical community's preferences.20Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically21active?22A. Very much so.23Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first24paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.	10	effort, Focus on the Family, and small gifts
<ul> <li>Q. Are you knowledgeable, generally, about the organization</li> <li>Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. I am.</li> <li>Q. What is Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization</li> <li>dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the</li> <li>evangelical community's preferences.</li> <li>Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically</li> <li>active?</li> <li>A. Very much so.</li> <li>Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	11	from direct mail efforts by
14 Focus on the Family? 15 A. I am. 16 Q. What is Focus on the Family? 17 A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization 18 dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the 19 evangelical community's preferences. 20 Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically 21 active? 22 A. Very much so. 23 Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first 24 paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.	12	ProtectMarriage.com."
<ul> <li>15 A. I am.</li> <li>16 Q. What is Focus on the Family?</li> <li>17 A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization</li> <li>18 dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the</li> <li>19 evangelical community's preferences.</li> <li>20 Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically</li> <li>21 active?</li> <li>22 A. Very much so.</li> <li>23 Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>24 paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	13	${f Q}$ . Are you knowledgeable, generally, about the organization
<ul> <li>16 Q. What is Focus on the Family?</li> <li>A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization</li> <li>18 dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the</li> <li>19 evangelical community's preferences.</li> <li>20 Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically</li> <li>21 active?</li> <li>22 A. Very much so.</li> <li>23 Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>24 paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	14	Focus on the Family?
<ul> <li>A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization</li> <li>dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the</li> <li>evangelical community's preferences.</li> <li>Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically</li> <li>active?</li> <li>A. Very much so.</li> <li>Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	15	A. Iam.
18 dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the 19 evangelical community's preferences. 20 Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically 21 active? 22 A. Very much so. 23 Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first 24 paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.	16	Q. What is Focus on the Family?
<ul> <li>19 evangelical community's preferences.</li> <li>20 Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically</li> <li>21 active?</li> <li>22 A. Very much so.</li> <li>23 Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>24 paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	17	A. It's a nationally prominent evangelical organization
20 Q. Is it a group that has been known to be politically active? 21 active? 22 A. Very much so. 23 Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first 24 paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.	18	dedicated to supporting issue positions consistent with the
<pre>21 active? 22 A. Very much so. 23 Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first 24 paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</pre>	19	evangelical community's preferences.
<ul> <li>A. Very much so.</li> <li>Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	20	<b>Q.</b> Is it a group that has been known to be politically
<ul> <li>Q. And going back to page 1 of the document, the first</li> <li>paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.</li> </ul>	21	active?
24 paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.	22	A. Very much so.
	23	${f Q}$ . And going back to page 1 of the document, the first
25 (Document displayed.)	24	paragraph of the document, if we could pull that up.
	25	(Document displayed.)

1	Could you could you read just read that
2	paragraph, just into the record, so we have it.
3	A. Okay. (As read)
4	"I spoke with" person redacted "in your
5	office, who suggested I send along some
6	information. I serve as the CEO of the
7	California Family Council. Our 501c4
8	organization, California Renewal, is the
9	sponsoring organization for the marriage
10	amendment that is attempting to qualify in
11	California. Thus, I serve as the volunteer
12	chairman of ProtectMarriage.com steering
13	committee."
14	<b>Q.</b> What is it about this document that relates to your
15	opinions on political powerlessness of gay men and lesbians?
16	A. Well, I drew from this two things. First of all, was the
17	very early involvement of the organized religious communities
18	in the signature and petition gathering phase of the campaign.
19	And the second thing I drew from it was that this
20	was, really, a national political campaign; that Focus on the
21	Family is, of course, a national organization; and, therefore,
22	communities, organizations, and leaders far and wide were
23	involved in the effort.
24	${f Q}$ . Adding Focus on the Family and the organizations the
25	other organizations mentioned in this document, to the LDS

1 Church and the Catholic Church, that was discussed in the 2 document, is that a coalition you've seen before, arrayed	prior
2 document, is that a coalition you've seen before, arrayed	
3 against a particular minority group in the United States :	in a
4 political battle?	
5 <b>A.</b> I would say that there's probably one other issue pos	sition
6 against which such a coalition might emerge, and that would	ld be
7 a Pro Choice abortion rights position.	
8 Apart from that, I can't think of a minority gro	oup
9 against whom such a coalition has been raised.	
10 Q. Thank you.	
11 I would like you to now turn to Plaintiffs' Exh:	ibit
12 2554. And I'm going to ask you some general questions abo	out
13 this document.	
14 I would like you to refrain from mentioning any	of
15 the names, but because, as you can see by the approach	of
16 Mr. Thompson, there's an objection to admission of this	
17 document.	
18 But have you seen this document before?	
19 <b>A.</b> I have.	
20 Q. Does this document shed any light on the powerful	
21 political forces arrayed against gay men and lesbians in	
22 connection with the Proposition 8 campaign?	
23 MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, if I may object, this	-
24 <b>THE COURT:</b> Let's get an answer to the question	
25 first.	

MR. PUGNO: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: Yes, it sheds light.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pugno.
MR. PUGNO: Yes. Your Honor, this is still under
attorneys' eyes only confidentiality.
As the Court can probably see from the body of the
message, the "to" and the "cc" designations, from all
appearances, this is an internal communication among leadership
of a particular church.
I don't see anything that connects it to the Prop 8
campaign, or that it was disclosed beyond the church leadership
officials that are listed there.
So we would object to testimony about this document.
THE COURT: Mr. Boutrous.
MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
First, without revealing anything other than the
following, I think I can reveal that it references the fact
that the effort being discussed in the in this e-mail is in
concert with the leaders of many other faiths and community
groups forming part of the ProtectMarriage.com Coalition.
This is a document that we received in production
from the proponents of Proposition 8 in this case, in the wake
of the order rejecting their First Amendment claims and
defining the core group.
So I think it's not subject to a First Amendment

1 privilege. It was clearly disseminated more widely than those who saw it. And, therefore, I believe it should be admissible. 2 3 MR. PUGNO: Your Honor --4 THE COURT: This Mr. Bentley is not one of the 5 individuals that has been designated -- that have been 6 designated as in the core group. Is that correct? 7 MR. BOUTROUS: That's correct, Your Honor. MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I really must clarify, this 8 9 document -- how do I say this? Whether or not any of these individuals are in the 10 11 core of ProtectMarriage.com is completely a different issue. 12 This is a document in the possession of one of our 13 clients who is -- or, at the time, was a church official and had a document in his possession revealing communications with 14 15 other church officials. And that's the body of this. Now, it may relate to Prop 8, but there is -- I think 16 that we are -- it would be very troublesome to say that 17 religious organizations, churches, lose their ability to 18 communicate within their leadership in the church because the 19 church works with other churches and other organizations, even 20 21 in a cooperative way in a campaign. 22 THE COURT: But, as I understand it, this is a 23 document that was in ProtectMarriage.com's files. 24 MR. PUGNO: No, Your Honor. In the file of an 25 individual who is an official proponent.

1	<b>THE COURT:</b> One of the parties?
2	MR. PUGNO: One of the intervenor individuals, not
3	the campaign committee.
4	THE COURT: But it was in that individual's files?
5	MR. PUGNO: That's right.
6	THE COURT: That individual is not part of this
7	religious organization, correct?
8	MR. PUGNO: He is, Your Honor. That individual is a
9	member of the organization a member of the religious
10	denomination, and at the time had a position of authority in
11	that church and was sent this e-mail in that in
12	connection with his in other words, Your Honor, someone can
13	be on the executive committee and be an official proponent, and
14	they can also be involved with their church.
15	And this is a communication about Prop 8 among church
16	officials with one of our proponents who was also a church
17	official.
18	In other words
19	THE COURT: Well, but he's one of the proponents.
20	He's an individual intervenor-defendant in the case.
21	The document relates to the Prop 8 campaign. If
22	there has been a disclosure
23	MR. PUGNO: It relates to the churches' support for
24	Prop 8.
25	This is not a publication of the campaign. This is

not a document produced by ProtectMarriage.com. This is an
internal church communication.
THE COURT: I don't understand how, if it is a
document that relates to the Prop 8 campaign, in the files and
possession of one of the defendant-intervenors, how it can have
some kind of privilege attached to it.
MR. PUGNO: Well, there's there's
THE COURT: It obviously falls outside the
First Amendment privilege as defined by the Ninth Circuit.
MR. PUGNO: Absolutely agree with that, Your Honor,
because that First Amendment privilege articulated by the Ninth
Circuit was with regard to the campaign's internal formulation
of messaging strategy.
We are on a completely different field here. We're
dealing with the religious association of a religious
denomination and their ability to communicate with one another
within the walls of the church.
THE COURT: Mr. Boutrous.
MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I would make a couple of
points.
First, I don't see how Mr. Pugno and
ProtectMarriage.com have standing to assert this
First Amendment privilege on behalf of the people who wrote
this document, number one
THE COURT: Well, he can assert it on behalf of the

1	~	1	$\sim$
	n		9

1	individual that he represents.
2	MR. PUGNO: Right, the individually-named defendant.
3	MR. BOUTROUS: Mr. Jansson.
4	THE COURT: Which named defendant are we talking
5	about?
6	MR. BOUTROUS: Mr. Jansson.
7	THE COURT: Mr.?
8	MR. BOUTROUS: Jannson.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Jansson.
10	MR. BOUTROUS: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. BOUTROUS: So assuming there is some level of
13	standing, the I would direct the Court to the first
14	sentence. And, again, I think I can read this without bringing
15	down the First Amendment.
16	MR. PUGNO: I am going to object, Your Honor, to
17	this this internal church communication being read aloud in
18	court.
19	MR. BOUTROUS: Let me try it this way, Your Honor.
20	The document, on its face, says it relates to the role in
21	Public Affairs of the Prop 8 campaign.
22	And then in the third or the fourth paragraph, it
23	talks all about the campaign and the fact that certain
24	officials who I won't name, even though I don't think that
25	name is confidential who report directly to the

ProtectMarriage.com Coalition leaders.

1

So it seems to me this is a classic -- it's a 2 document that's in the files of a person who sat on the 3 4 executive committee of ProtectMarriage.com precisely to play this role in this broad coalition that breaches and breaks down 5 6 any limits between these groups for this effort in the 7 political sphere, and then arrays against the folks on the other side of Proposition 8. 8 9 So I think it -- it's hardly the kind of sensitive religious tract that might otherwise be subject to protection 10 11 under the First Amendment. MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, Mr. Jansson testified in his 12 13 deposition that he, at this time, was a member of -- forgive I don't remember the exact name. But it was the Public 14 me. 15 Affairs something something office of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. 16 17 That was a role that he played independent of the executive committee of ProtectMarriage.com. 18 The references in capital letters, in title caps, to 19 "Public Affairs" are a reference to those church officials. 20 21 And there's also a mention in the cc to -- to -- I won't say it aloud, but other leadership in the church. 22 So this is an internal communication of the church. 23 It certainly refers to the larger Prop 8 effort. But unless 24 there is a communication from Mark Jannson to another 25

organization in his capacity as an official proponent or as an 1 executive committee member -- which this is not -- then we 2 3 really are not having to be even concerned with what the core 4 is, and so on. 5 This is an individually-asserted First Amendment 6 protected right of Mr. Jansson to have in his possession an 7 internal church memo that he does not have to produce in court. THE COURT: The content of the document appears to 8 9 relate to the messaging of the campaign. Mr. Jansson is a party to the litigation. The issue 10 11 of his role in the campaign is very much an issue in the case. He intervened to serve as a party in the case. And I think it 12 13 is appropriate that the plaintiffs have sought from and obtained discovery concerning his role. And, apparently, his 14 15 role relates to his religious affiliation. I'm not aware of any privilege that attaches to that, under these circumstances. 16 17 And the objection will be overruled. 18 MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor. With that, I would like to publish the original 19 20 version as redacted. Not any other version, but the original version to the screen. Thank you. 21 22 (Document displayed.) BY MR. BOUTROUS: 23 24 Q. Professor Segura, I'd like you to look at the very first 25 paragraph of this document.

	Π
1	MR. BOUTROUS: And enlarge that on the screen so we
2	can take a look at it.
3	(Document displayed)
4	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
5	Q. If you could read that into the record, and then give me
6	your views on any the significance, if any, of that
7	statement to your opinions.
8	A. (As read)
9	"Since the first Presidency letter was read
10	in every ward throughout California last
11	month, I have been frequently asked what our
12	role in Public Affairs will be in the Prop 8
13	campaign."
14	Q. And in the third paragraph, that begins "as you know," if
15	you could read that. And then maybe you can talk about the
16	significance, more generally, with respect to the entire
17	document, rather than going through it one by one, paragraph by
18	paragraph.
19	A. Sure. (As read)
20	"As you know from the first Presidency
21	letter, this campaign is entirely under
22	priesthood direction - in concert with
23	leaders of many other faiths and community
24	groups forming part of the
25	ProtectMarriage.com Coalition. I believe"

Ī	T
1	name redacted "will be the LDS chair for
2	all of California, with the help in Southern
3	California from"
4	Q. Why don't you just skip
5	A. Skip that.
6	Q that for now.
7	A. I will.
8	"All of us working in public affairs will
9	simply stand by and prepare to be anxiously
10	engaged, like all citizens and lay members,
11	when that time comes."
12	${f Q}$ . And then if we jump down to the next the paragraph that
13	begins, "What is the necessary step in this campaign?" Could
14	you read read that into the record, and then tell me your
15	views about this document.
16	A. (As read)
17	"What is the next step in this campaign? I
18	understand that all grass roots organizing
19	efforts in OC will be led by"
20	I believe his name is not protected?
21	Q. I believe that's correct.
22	A. (As read)
23	" Gary Lawrence, who will report directly
24	to the ProtectMarriage.com Coalition
25	leaders."

1	
1	MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, somewhat after the fact, I
2	need to object that Mr. Lawrence's role in another capacity was
3	not protected, and was disclosed, and has been open open and
4	public in this whole trial.
5	His capacity here has been, as far as I know,
6	protected, not disclosed.
7	THE COURT: Well, recall that the Ninth Circuit
8	MR. PUGNO: It would have been nice to have a chance
9	to redact this, maybe, with regard
10	THE COURT: Well, the Ninth Circuit protected
11	communications, internal communications involving the core
12	group.
13	This would appear to be a communication that mentions
14	Mr. Lawrence, but it's outside a communication among the core
15	group. So the mere fact that an individual is in the core
16	group does not mean that his or her name cannot come out in
17	some other way in the course of discovery.
18	MR. PUGNO: I don't disagree with that at all, Your
19	Honor. Just that individuals have privacy rights to be able to
20	be involved in a campaign and to not have their name become
21	part of the public record, against their will, in connection
22	with things that they did not do in a public way.
23	THE COURT: Well, but Mr. Lawrence has been afforded
24	core group protection for his internal communications. This is
25	not one of those communications.
-	

,	Π
1	MR. PUGNO: He was a polling and researcher for the
2	campaign, polling and so on. Actually, the protection was
3	Lawrence Research, the company. And Judge Spero's order of
4	January 8 says that the protection is given to Lawrence
5	Research, the company through which the campaign did polling.
6	This is attempting to reveal, without an opportunity
7	to redact, a completely different role that he had, that was
8	not a public role.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Boutrous.
10	MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, Mr. Lawrence's name was
11	public. He was publicly associated with the campaign.
12	And this paragraph talks about the fact that the
13	efforts in OC which I guess is Orange County will be led
14	by Gary Lawrence, who will report directly to
15	ProtectMarriage.com Coalition leaders.
16	So it's talking about his role in a campaign, a
17	public campaign to pass a law in California. And the fact that
18	religious organizations participate in the political debate is
19	a perfectly fine thing.
20	But once they do, and they're public, there's no
21	support for the notion that we suddenly keep people's names
22	secret even though they are associated publicly.
23	And from a First Amendment perspective, probably one
24	of the most basic principles is that once something is public,
25	courts and other governmental bodies aren't allowed to keep it

secret without a compelling reason. 1 2 So Mr. Lawrence's name is public. He has been well-known to be associated with the campaign. I can't see a 3 4 First Amendment interest in not noting that he was playing a 5 principal role and liaison role here, with this broad-based group of coalition leaders. 6 7 THE COURT: Last word, Mr. Pugno. MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I realize the nuance that his 8 9 company did research and polling. He had a completely 10 different hat that he wore in this campaign, that was not a 11 public hat. 12 And if we're going to start revealing those, I don't 13 see a distinction between this and production of a list of every volunteer who helped in the campaign. 14 15 THE COURT: Well, this individual appears not to fit the category of the famous Mrs. McIntyre, who was the subject 16 17 of a good deal of litigation in the Supreme Court. It's important to bear in mind, this is a public 18 campaign. This is a political campaign. It was out in the 19 open. And the people who advocate on either side, as a result 20 21 of their advocacy, and particularly their participation in the litigation that follows, inevitably subject themselves to 22 disclosures of the kind that are contained in this document. 23 24 So I don't see, frankly, Mr. Pugno, that there is a 25 privilege or protection that applies to this document, or that

1applies to Mr. Lawrence's role in this communication. An2internal communication with other members of the core group is3a different matter, entirely.4Very well. Proceed, Mr. Boutrous.5MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.6BY MR. BOUTROUS:7Q. Professor Segura, if you could finish reading that8paragraph, and then we can we can move on to your opinions9regarding this document as it relates to political power.10A. Okay. (As read)11"He has also been hired by the coalition to12do polling work for Prop 8. The main13California grass roots leaders are in the14process of being called as, quote, area15directors, end quote, with the responsibility16for areas that generally correspond to each17of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the18LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,19priesthood leaders will call local prop20coordinators over each stake and leaders by21zip code within each ward - potentially22working not only with LDS, but also LDS23volunteers."24Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document25relate to, in analyzing the degree of political power of gay	т	
<ul> <li>a different matter, entirely.</li> <li>Very well. Proceed, Mr. Boutrous.</li> <li>MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> <li>BY MR. BOUTROUS:</li> <li>Q. Professor Segura, if you could finish reading that</li> <li>paragraph, and then we can we can move on to your opinions</li> <li>regarding this document as it relates to political power.</li> <li>A. Okay. (As read)</li> <li>"He has also been hired by the coalition to</li> <li>do polling work for Prop 8. The main</li> <li>California grass roots leaders are in the</li> <li>process of being called as, quote, area</li> <li>directors, end quote, with the responsibility</li> <li>for areas that generally correspond to each</li> <li>of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the</li> <li>LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,</li> <li>priesthood leaders will call local prop</li> <li>coordinators over each stake and leaders by</li> <li>zip code within each ward - potentially</li> <li>working not only with LDS, but also LDS</li> <li>volunteers."</li> <li>Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document</li> </ul>	1	applies to Mr. Lawrence's role in this communication. An
<ul> <li>Very well. Proceed, Mr. Boutrous.</li> <li>MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> <li>FY MR. BOUTROUS:</li> <li>Q. Professor Segura, if you could finish reading that</li> <li>paragraph, and then we can we can move on to your opinions</li> <li>regarding this document as it relates to political power.</li> <li>A. Okay. (As read)</li> <li>"He has also been hired by the coalition to</li> <li>do polling work for Prop 8. The main</li> <li>California grass roots leaders are in the</li> <li>process of being called as, quote, area</li> <li>directors, end quote, with the responsibility</li> <li>for areas that generally correspond to each</li> <li>of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the</li> <li>LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,</li> <li>priesthood leaders will call local prop</li> <li>coordinators over each stake and leaders by</li> <li>zip code within each ward - potentially</li> <li>working not only with LDS, but also LDS</li> <li>volunteers."</li> <li>Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document</li> </ul>	2	internal communication with other members of the core group is
<ul> <li>MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> <li>BY MR. BOUTROUS:</li> <li>Q. Professor Segura, if you could finish reading that</li> <li>paragraph, and then we can we can move on to your opinions</li> <li>regarding this document as it relates to political power.</li> <li>A. Okay. (As read)</li> <li>"He has also been hired by the coalition to</li> <li>do polling work for Prop 8. The main</li> <li>California grass roots leaders are in the</li> <li>process of being called as, quote, area</li> <li>directors, end quote, with the responsibility</li> <li>for areas that generally correspond to each</li> <li>of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the</li> <li>LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,</li> <li>priesthood leaders will call local prop</li> <li>coordinators over each stake and leaders by</li> <li>zip code within each ward - potentially</li> <li>working not only with LDS, but also LDS</li> <li>volunteers."</li> <li>Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document</li> </ul>	3	a different matter, entirely.
<ul> <li>6 BY MR. BOUTROUS:</li> <li>7 Q. Professor Segura, if you could finish reading that</li> <li>8 paragraph, and then we can we can move on to your opinions</li> <li>9 regarding this document as it relates to political power.</li> <li>10 A. Okay. (As read)</li> <li>11 "He has also been hired by the coalition to</li> <li>12 do polling work for Prop 8. The main</li> <li>13 California grass roots leaders are in the</li> <li>14 process of being called as, quote, area</li> <li>15 directors, end quote, with the responsibility</li> <li>16 for areas that generally correspond to each</li> <li>17 of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the</li> <li>18 LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,</li> <li>19 priesthood leaders will call local prop</li> <li>20 coordinators over each stake and leaders by</li> <li>21 zip code within each ward - potentially</li> <li>22 working not only with LDS, but also LDS</li> <li>23 volunteers."</li> <li>24 Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document</li> </ul>	4	Very well. Proceed, Mr. Boutrous.
<ul> <li>Q. Professor Segura, if you could finish reading that</li> <li>paragraph, and then we can we can move on to your opinions</li> <li>regarding this document as it relates to political power.</li> <li>A. Okay. (As read)</li> <li>"He has also been hired by the coalition to</li> <li>do polling work for Prop 8. The main</li> <li>California grass roots leaders are in the</li> <li>process of being called as, quote, area</li> <li>directors, end quote, with the responsibility</li> <li>for areas that generally correspond to each</li> <li>of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the</li> <li>LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,</li> <li>priesthood leaders will call local prop</li> <li>coordinators over each stake and leaders by</li> <li>zip code within each ward - potentially</li> <li>working not only with LDS, but also LDS</li> <li>volunteers."</li> <li>Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document</li> </ul>	5	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
<ul> <li>B paragraph, and then we can we can move on to your opinions</li> <li>paragraph, and then we can we can move on to your opinions</li> <li>regarding this document as it relates to political power.</li> <li>A. Okay. (As read)</li> <li>"He has also been hired by the coalition to</li> <li>do polling work for Prop 8. The main</li> <li>California grass roots leaders are in the</li> <li>process of being called as, quote, area</li> <li>directors, end quote, with the responsibility</li> <li>for areas that generally correspond to each</li> <li>of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the</li> <li>LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,</li> <li>priesthood leaders will call local prop</li> <li>coordinators over each stake and leaders by</li> <li>zip code within each ward - potentially</li> <li>working not only with LDS, but also LDS</li> <li>volunteers."</li> <li>Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document</li> </ul>	6	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
<ul> <li>9 regarding this document as it relates to political power.</li> <li>10 A. Okay. (As read) <ul> <li>"He has also been hired by the coalition to</li> <li>do polling work for Prop 8. The main</li> </ul> </li> <li>13 California grass roots leaders are in the <ul> <li>process of being called as, quote, area</li> <li>directors, end quote, with the responsibility</li> <li>for areas that generally correspond to each</li> <li>of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the</li> <li>LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,</li> <li>priesthood leaders will call local prop</li> <li>coordinators over each stake and leaders by</li> <li>zip code within each ward - potentially</li> <li>working not only with LDS, but also LDS</li> <li>volunteers."</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	7	Q. Professor Segura, if you could finish reading that
<ul> <li>A. Okay. (As read)</li> <li>"He has also been hired by the coalition to</li> <li>do polling work for Prop 8. The main</li> <li>California grass roots leaders are in the</li> <li>process of being called as, quote, area</li> <li>directors, end quote, with the responsibility</li> <li>for areas that generally correspond to each</li> <li>of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the</li> <li>LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,</li> <li>priesthood leaders will call local prop</li> <li>coordinators over each stake and leaders by</li> <li>zip code within each ward - potentially</li> <li>working not only with LDS, but also LDS</li> <li>volunteers."</li> <li>Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document</li> </ul>	8	paragraph, and then we can we can move on to your opinions
<ul> <li>"He has also been hired by the coalition to</li> <li>do polling work for Prop 8. The main</li> <li>California grass roots leaders are in the</li> <li>process of being called as, quote, area</li> <li>directors, end quote, with the responsibility</li> <li>for areas that generally correspond to each</li> <li>of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the</li> <li>LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,</li> <li>priesthood leaders will call local prop</li> <li>coordinators over each stake and leaders by</li> <li>zip code within each ward - potentially</li> <li>working not only with LDS, but also LDS</li> <li>volunteers."</li> <li>In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document</li> </ul>	9	regarding this document as it relates to political power.
12do polling work for Prop 8. The main13California grass roots leaders are in the14process of being called as, quote, area15directors, end quote, with the responsibility16for areas that generally correspond to each17of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the18LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,19priesthood leaders will call local prop20coordinators over each stake and leaders by21zip code within each ward - potentially22working not only with LDS, but also LDS23volunteers."24Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document	10	A. Okay. (As read)
13California grass roots leaders are in the14process of being called as, quote, area15directors, end quote, with the responsibility16for areas that generally correspond to each17of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the18LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,19priesthood leaders will call local prop20coordinators over each stake and leaders by21zip code within each ward - potentially22working not only with LDS, but also LDS23volunteers."24Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document	11	"He has also been hired by the coalition to
<ul> <li>14 process of being called as, quote, area</li> <li>15 directors, end quote, with the responsibility</li> <li>16 for areas that generally correspond to each</li> <li>17 of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the</li> <li>18 LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,</li> <li>19 priesthood leaders will call local prop</li> <li>20 coordinators over each stake and leaders by</li> <li>21 zip code within each ward - potentially</li> <li>22 working not only with LDS, but also LDS</li> <li>23 volunteers."</li> <li>24 Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document</li> </ul>	12	do polling work for Prop 8. The main
15 directors, end quote, with the responsibility 16 for areas that generally correspond to each 17 of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the 18 LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter, 19 priesthood leaders will call local prop 20 coordinators over each stake and leaders by 21 zip code within each ward - potentially 22 working not only with LDS, but also LDS 23 volunteers." 24 Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document	13	California grass roots leaders are in the
16 for areas that generally correspond to each 17 of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the 18 LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter, 19 priesthood leaders will call local prop 20 coordinators over each stake and leaders by 21 zip code within each ward - potentially 22 working not only with LDS, but also LDS 23 volunteers." 24 Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document	14	process of being called as, quote, area
17of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the18LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,19priesthood leaders will call local prop20coordinators over each stake and leaders by21zip code within each ward - potentially22working not only with LDS, but also LDS23volunteers."24Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document	15	directors, end quote, with the responsibility
18 LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter, 19 priesthood leaders will call local prop 20 coordinators over each stake and leaders by 21 zip code within each ward - potentially 22 working not only with LDS, but also LDS 23 volunteers." 24 Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document	16	for areas that generally correspond to each
19 priesthood leaders will call local prop 20 coordinators over each stake and leaders by 21 zip code within each ward - potentially 22 working not only with LDS, but also LDS 23 volunteers." 24 Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document	17	of the 17 LDS coordinating councils for the
20 coordinators over each stake and leaders by 21 zip code within each ward - potentially 22 working not only with LDS, but also LDS 23 volunteers." 24 Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document	18	LDS mission boundaries. Thereafter,
21 zip code within each ward - potentially 22 working not only with LDS, but also LDS 23 volunteers." 24 Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document	19	priesthood leaders will call local prop
<ul> <li>working not only with LDS, but also LDS</li> <li>volunteers."</li> <li>Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document</li> </ul>	20	coordinators over each stake and leaders by
<ul> <li>23 volunteers."</li> <li>24 Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document</li> </ul>	21	zip code within each ward - potentially
24 <b>Q.</b> In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document	22	working not only with LDS, but also LDS
	23	volunteers."
25 relate to, in analyzing the degree of political power of gay	24	Q. In your opinion, Professor Segura, what does this document
	25	relate to, in analyzing the degree of political power of gay

1	and lesbians, and particularly with respect to the Prop 8
2	campaign?
3	A. There are at least two things worthy of note.
4	The first is that there is a very close coordination
5	between people involved in the church and the campaign, from an
6	organizational standpoint.
7	Phrases like, you know, "This entire campaign is
8	entirely under priesthood direction" are are notable.
9	The other thing that I take notice of is the term
10	"called." So it is customary, in the practice of the LDS
11	Church, for volunteers to be solicited through encouragement.
12	So it appears that there was an LDS volunteer in
13	every zip code, to coordinate those activities. Which is, once
14	again, a very enviable political organization. I think any
15	political candidate would be pleased to have such a thing.
16	Q. Thank you.
17	MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I wanted to make sure that
18	with all the back and forth, that Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2554 had
19	been admitted into evidence.
20	THE COURT: It has.
21	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2554 received in evidence.)
22	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
24	Q. Professor Segura, let's move on to Plaintiffs' Exhibit
25	2555.

т	
1	Is this a document that you have reviewed over the
2	past week, in connection with your work on this case and your
3	analysis of the issues in the case?
4	A. It is.
5	MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I apologize, but I must lodge
6	another objection. And perhaps I could just lodge what will be
7	a standing objection.
8	These are the minutes of a church meeting. I cannot
9	imagine how this is not protected from disclosure in a federal
10	court trial, especially Your Honor, this has got to be
11	protected information.
12	THE COURT: Well, I think we need a foundation for
13	this document.
14	MR. BOUTROUS: I will I will establish one, Your
15	Honor. Thank you.
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
18	Q. Professor Segura, did you you reviewed this document.
19	And did it shed any light on your analysis of political power?
20	THE COURT: Well, is this witness able to lay a
21	foundation for the document?
22	MR. BOUTROUS: This witness can testify that this was
23	a document we represented to him was produced by proponents in
24	this case pursuant to the production order, and that he
25	reviewed it, and that it's relevant to his opinion.

1 THE COURT: And your representation is, from what 2 source did the document come? 3 MR. BOUTROUS: This document was produced by the 4 defendant-intervenors in response to our request for 5 production, after they began to comply with Judge Spero's o 6 rejecting their First Amendment claim. 7 So I think it falls into the same category as the 8 last document, 2554. 9 THE COURT: It does appear to be the minutes of a	
<ul> <li>MR. BOUTROUS: This document was produced by the</li> <li>defendant-intervenors in response to our request for</li> <li>production, after they began to comply with Judge Spero's o</li> <li>rejecting their First Amendment claim.</li> <li>So I think it falls into the same category as the</li> <li>last document, 2554.</li> <li>THE COURT: It does appear to be the minutes of a</li> </ul>	
4 defendant-intervenors in response to our request for 5 production, after they began to comply with Judge Spero's o 6 rejecting their First Amendment claim. 7 So I think it falls into the same category as the 8 last document, 2554. 9 THE COURT: It does appear to be the minutes of a	
5 production, after they began to comply with Judge Spero's o 6 rejecting their First Amendment claim. 7 So I think it falls into the same category as the 8 last document, 2554. 9 THE COURT: It does appear to be the minutes of a	
<pre>6 rejecting their First Amendment claim. 7 So I think it falls into the same category as the 8 last document, 2554. 9 THE COURT: It does appear to be the minutes of a</pre>	
<ul> <li>So I think it falls into the same category as the</li> <li>last document, 2554.</li> <li>THE COURT: It does appear to be the minutes of a</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>8 last document, 2554.</li> <li>9 THE COURT: It does appear to be the minutes of a</li> </ul>	
9 <b>THE COURT:</b> It does appear to be the minutes of a	
10 Stake meeting.	
11 I gather, Mr. Pugno, this is a document that came	
12 from the files of ProtectMarriage.com, or one of the indivi-	dual
13 intervenor-defendants?	
14 MR. PUGNO: I can say, Your Honor, it was one or	the
15 other. I'm not certain which. But I suspect it was	
16 THE COURT: Well, if it is, in fact, what it appe	ars
17 to be, and that is minutes of a Stake meeting, but it was,	
18 nonetheless, in the files of an organization other than a	
19 religious organization, I can't see how it would enjoy any	
20 religious institution privilege, if there is one.	
21 MR. PUGNO: Well, Your Honor, just to clarify, I'm	n
22 almost certain this came from Mr. Jansson's file.	
23 As far as the named defendant-intervenors, he's t	he
24 only one that I'm aware of that is a member of the LDS chur	
25 These are the minutes of a meeting of church memb	

1and officials. And the fact that it was in Mr. Jansson's2possession, I cannot imagine, abrogates the privilege to be3able to communicate with with there really is no4First Amendment protection here, Your Honor, if having a copy5of your correspondence with other members of your church in6your possession becomes abrogates abrogates your7First Amendment rights.8THE COURT: Well, it's rather lengthy attorney-client9privilege. Confidentiality must be maintained. And it appears10that that was not done in connection with this document.11Mr. Jansson may have had multiple roles, but his role12here is his role in the campaign. And this document apparently13relates to that activity.14MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, there's no evidence here that15this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in16Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a17meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see18whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I19know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of20this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a21ideeral court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and22this to court.23THE COURT: Shoebox?	T	
<ul> <li>able to communicate with with there really is no</li> <li>First Amendment protection here, Your Honor, if having a copy</li> <li>of your correspondence with other members of your church in</li> <li>your possession becomes abrogates abrogates your</li> <li>First Amendment rights.</li> <li>THE COURT: Well, it's rather lengthy attorney-client</li> <li>privilege. Confidentiality must be maintained. And it appears</li> <li>that that was not done in connection with this document.</li> <li>Mr. Jansson may have had multiple roles, but his role</li> <li>here is his role in the campaign. And this document apparently</li> <li>relates to that activity.</li> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, there's no evidence here that</li> <li>this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in</li> <li>Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a</li> <li>meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see</li> <li>whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I</li> <li>know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of</li> <li>the campaign.</li> <li>But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send</li> <li>this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a</li> <li>federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and</li> <li>bring it in to court.</li> </ul>	1	and officials. And the fact that it was in Mr. Jansson's
<ul> <li>First Amendment protection here, Your Honor, if having a copy</li> <li>of your correspondence with other members of your church in</li> <li>your possession becomes abrogates abrogates your</li> <li>First Amendment rights.</li> <li>THE COURT: Well, it's rather lengthy attorney-client</li> <li>privilege. Confidentiality must be maintained. And it appears</li> <li>that that was not done in connection with this document.</li> <li>Mr. Jansson may have had multiple roles, but his role</li> <li>here is his role in the campaign. And this document apparently</li> <li>relates to that activity.</li> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, there's no evidence here that</li> <li>this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in</li> <li>Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a</li> <li>meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see</li> <li>whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I</li> <li>know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of</li> <li>the campaign.</li> <li>But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send</li> <li>this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a</li> <li>federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and</li> <li>bring it in to court.</li> </ul>	2	possession, I cannot imagine, abrogates the privilege to be
<ul> <li>of your correspondence with other members of your church in</li> <li>your possession becomes abrogates abrogates your</li> <li>First Amendment rights.</li> <li>THE COURT: Well, it's rather lengthy attorney-client</li> <li>privilege. Confidentiality must be maintained. And it appears</li> <li>that that was not done in connection with this document.</li> <li>Mr. Jansson may have had multiple roles, but his role</li> <li>here is his role in the campaign. And this document apparently</li> <li>relates to that activity.</li> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, there's no evidence here that</li> <li>this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in</li> <li>Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a</li> <li>meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see</li> <li>whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I</li> <li>know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of</li> <li>the campaign.</li> <li>But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send</li> <li>this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a</li> <li>federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and</li> </ul>	3	able to communicate with with there really is no
<ul> <li>your possession becomes abrogates abrogates your</li> <li>First Amendment rights.</li> <li>THE COURT: Well, it's rather lengthy attorney-client</li> <li>privilege. Confidentiality must be maintained. And it appears</li> <li>that that was not done in connection with this document.</li> <li>Mr. Jansson may have had multiple roles, but his role</li> <li>here is his role in the campaign. And this document apparently</li> <li>relates to that activity.</li> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, there's no evidence here that</li> <li>this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in</li> <li>Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a</li> <li>meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see</li> <li>whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I</li> <li>know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of</li> <li>this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a</li> <li>federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and</li> <li>bring it in to court.</li> </ul>	4	First Amendment protection here, Your Honor, if having a copy
<ul> <li>First Amendment rights.</li> <li>THE COURT: Well, it's rather lengthy attorney-client</li> <li>privilege. Confidentiality must be maintained. And it appears</li> <li>that that was not done in connection with this document.</li> <li>Mr. Jansson may have had multiple roles, but his role</li> <li>here is his role in the campaign. And this document apparently</li> <li>relates to that activity.</li> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, there's no evidence here that</li> <li>this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in</li> <li>Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a</li> <li>meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see</li> <li>whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I</li> <li>know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of</li> <li>this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a</li> <li>federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and</li> <li>bring it in to court.</li> </ul>	5	of your correspondence with other members of your church in
<ul> <li>THE COURT: Well, it's rather lengthy attorney-client</li> <li>privilege. Confidentiality must be maintained. And it appears</li> <li>that that was not done in connection with this document.</li> <li>Mr. Jansson may have had multiple roles, but his role</li> <li>here is his role in the campaign. And this document apparently</li> <li>relates to that activity.</li> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, there's no evidence here that</li> <li>this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in</li> <li>Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a</li> <li>meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see</li> <li>whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I</li> <li>know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of</li> <li>this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a</li> <li>federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and</li> <li>bring it in to court.</li> </ul>	6	your possession becomes abrogates abrogates your
<ul> <li>9 privilege. Confidentiality must be maintained. And it appears</li> <li>10 that that was not done in connection with this document.</li> <li>11 Mr. Jansson may have had multiple roles, but his role</li> <li>12 here is his role in the campaign. And this document apparently</li> <li>13 relates to that activity.</li> <li>14 MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, there's no evidence here that</li> <li>15 this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in</li> <li>16 Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a</li> <li>17 meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see</li> <li>18 whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I</li> <li>19 know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of</li> <li>20 the campaign.</li> <li>21 But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send</li> <li>22 this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a</li> <li>23 federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and</li> <li>24 bring it in to court.</li> </ul>	7	First Amendment rights.
<ul> <li>that that was not done in connection with this document.</li> <li>Mr. Jansson may have had multiple roles, but his role</li> <li>here is his role in the campaign. And this document apparently</li> <li>relates to that activity.</li> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, there's no evidence here that</li> <li>this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in</li> <li>Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a</li> <li>meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see</li> <li>whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I</li> <li>know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of</li> <li>the campaign.</li> <li>But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send</li> <li>this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a</li> <li>federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and</li> <li>bring it in to court.</li> </ul>	8	THE COURT: Well, it's rather lengthy attorney-client
<ul> <li>Mr. Jansson may have had multiple roles, but his role</li> <li>here is his role in the campaign. And this document apparently</li> <li>relates to that activity.</li> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, there's no evidence here that</li> <li>this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in</li> <li>Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a</li> <li>meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see</li> <li>whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I</li> <li>know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of</li> <li>the campaign.</li> <li>But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send</li> <li>this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a</li> <li>federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and</li> <li>bring it in to court.</li> </ul>	9	privilege. Confidentiality must be maintained. And it appears
12 here is his role in the campaign. And this document apparently 13 relates to that activity. 14 MR. FUGNO: Your Honor, there's no evidence here that 15 this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in 16 Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a 17 meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see 18 whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I 19 know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of 10 the campaign. 21 But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send 22 this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a 23 federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and 24 bring it in to court.	10	that that was not done in connection with this document.
13 relates to that activity. 14 MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, there's no evidence here that 15 this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in 16 Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a 17 meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see 18 whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I 19 know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of 20 the campaign. 21 But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send 22 this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a 23 federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and 24 bring it in to court.	11	Mr. Jansson may have had multiple roles, but his role
<ul> <li>MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, there's no evidence here that</li> <li>this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in</li> <li>Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a</li> <li>meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see</li> <li>whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I</li> <li>know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of</li> <li>the campaign.</li> <li>But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send</li> <li>this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a</li> <li>federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and</li> <li>bring it in to court.</li> </ul>	12	here is his role in the campaign. And this document apparently
15 this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in 16 Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a 17 meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see 18 whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I 19 know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of 20 the campaign. 21 But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send 22 this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a 23 federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and 24 bring it in to court.	13	relates to that activity.
Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of the campaign. But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and bring it in to court.	14	MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, there's no evidence here that
17 meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see 18 whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I 19 know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of 20 the campaign. 21 But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send 22 this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a 23 federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and 24 bring it in to court.	15	this was sent to anyone, by Mr. Jansson. This is in
18 whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I 19 know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of 20 the campaign. 21 But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send 22 this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a 23 federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and 24 bring it in to court.	16	Mr. Jansson's shoebox under his bed. This is the minutes of a
19 know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of 20 the campaign. 21 But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send 22 this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a 23 federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and 24 bring it in to court.	17	meeting that I don't I don't even I haven't looked to see
20 the campaign. 21 But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send 22 this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a 23 federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and 24 bring it in to court.	18	whether he was in attendance at the meeting. But this I
But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and bring it in to court.	19	know that he was a a Public Affairs official at the time of
22 this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a 23 federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and 24 bring it in to court.	20	the campaign.
<pre>23 federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and 24 bring it in to court.</pre>	21	But my point is, is that Mr. Jansson did not send
24 bring it in to court.	22	this to anybody. The only reason this is here is because a
	23	federal court order told him to take it out of his shoebox and
25 <b>THE COURT:</b> Shoebox?	24	bring it in to court.
	25	THE COURT: Shoebox?

1 (Laughter) 2 MR. PUGNO: It illustrates the point, Your Honor. did not send this to his neighbors. These are his private 3 4 records of his private political religious associations. How 5 in the world can that be compelled to be brought into court and laid bare in the public record? 6

7 THE COURT: But this appears to relate to the Prop 8 campaign. 8

9 MR. PUGNO: It clearly relates to this religious denomination's Public Affairs meeting, part of which included a 10 11 discussion of a ballot measure and the efforts of their members, in which anyone on either side of the issue has a 12 13 fundamental right to associate with others, including in their religious organizations --14

15 THE COURT: Of course. Of course, no one is questioning the fundamental right of association. No one is 16 17 questioning the right of Mr. Jansson to participate in the political campaign. 18

But that does not afford a right against the 19 disclosure of his role, what he did. 20

21 MR. PUGNO: Well, Your Honor, then I would suggest that unless there is something in this document for which a 22 23 foundation can be laid that he had anything to do with the 24 matters discussed in here, then I have to object on a lack of foundation. 25

He

1 MR. BOUTROUS: I think I can lay that foundation, 2 Your Honor. 3 **THE COURT:** I beg your pardon? 4 MR. BOUTROUS: I think I can lay that foundation. 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 MR. BOUTROUS: On page 10685, which would be the 7 second page -- and this is, really, the -- the paragraph that begins "Legislative Update"? 8 9 THE COURT: Yes. MR. BOUTROUS: That's really -- the remaining 10 11 portions of this document I think we could probably redact even more, if it goes into the record. 12 13 But this is the key part, the part that says, "Mark Jannson reported on the California Constitutional Amendment 14 15 Proposition 8." 16 And then it goes on to describe the public activities with ProtectMarriage.com, this broad-based coalition. That's, 17 really, what I would like to direct the witness's attention to. 18 THE COURT: Very well. The objection will be 19 20 overruled, and 2555 is admitted. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2555 received in evidence.) 21 22 MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 Please publish Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2555. Focus on --24 if we can go right to page 10685, the paragraph that is entitled "Legislative Update." 25

1	(Document displayed.)
2	MR. BOUTROUS: And, actually, if you can put the
3	paragraph that follows that, as well.
4	(Document displayed.)
5	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
6	Q. And while that's happening, Professor Segura, give me your
7	impression as to what this document, this portion of the
8	document, relating to Legislative Update, is doing in terms of
9	this memorandum.
10	A. As I read it, Mr. Jansson is reiterating the strategy
11	that's to be employed, with how church leaders and church
12	members should present themselves with respect to the Prop 8
13	campaign.
14	<b>Q.</b> And in what what is it about this document that leads
15	you to that conclusion?
16	A. Particularly, the first two sentences of the second
17	paragraph. Quote:
18	"Brother Jannson emphasized that we are not
19	to take the lead on this proposition but to
20	join in coalition with ProtectMarriage.com.
21	Salt Lake City conducted a teleconference
22	with 159 of 161 Stake presidents in the State
23	of California, and told the presidents LDS
24	are involved in this issue but are not to
25	take the lead; teach youth and young adults

1	the doctrine of marriage by using the" I
2	assume that's "letter read in sacrament
3	meetings, and LDS are encouraged to
4	contribute the fund-raising \$30 suggested
5	donation. Brother Jannson announced that
6	5 million is the projected goal in addition
7	to general fund-raising. Donations are best
8	provided to ProtectMarriage.com."
9	<b>Q.</b> Then, if we could turn to the next page, 10686, which is
10	the stamped number on the bottom of the page, the paragraph
11	begins, "We were asked."
12	A. Uh-huh.
13	Q. I would like to focus you on the first and the last
14	sentence of that paragraph. And then tell me your views on the
15	connection between this document and political power.
16	(Document displayed.)
17	A. (As read)
18	"We were asked to wait patiently for talking
19	points from the Coalition."
20	Q. And then how about the last sentence?
21	A. (As read)
22	"Director Holland highlighted the luxury of
23	having Mark Jannson on key committees, and
24	that he will receive direct communications,"
25	I assume, "from him."

1	<b>Q.</b> As a political scientist, what is it about this document
2	and these statements that is relevant to analyzing the balance
3	of political power between gay men and lesbians and religious
4	organizations to the extent they're involved in political
5	activities in California?
6	A. Well, with respect to the Proposition 8 campaign, it makes
7	it clear that there was a sort of two-way flow of information,
8	where strategic talking points were being provided to religious
9	leaders by the campaign. And, in turn, the religious leaders
10	were providing volunteers to the campaign.
11	But there was this cautious strategic
12	not-to-take-the-lead notion so as to provide a I don't know,
13	plausible deniability or respectable distance between the
14	church organization per se and the actual campaign.
15	Q. And does that have an impact on how the power of gay and
16	lesbians is viewed by public officials and in the public, that
17	kind of approach to political advocacy?
18	A. Well, certainly, because as we're looking at the political
19	opportunity structure, sort of how fertile the ground is for
20	political action, and how strong your opponents might be, we
21	might look at the religious belief as a source of opposition to
22	homosexuality and say some number of religious adherents went
23	out and voted their their beliefs on election day. And I
24	think that that's, in fact, kind of the end of it.
25	But, in fact, this appears to suggest fairly close

1 c	coordination between hierarchy and officials within church
2 c	organizations as in some of the past documents have
3 i	illustrated and the leaders of the ballot initiative.
4	2. Have you ever, in your studies, in your review of the
5 1	literature, and your analysis of political activity in the
6 U	United States, ever seen this kind of structure constructed and
7 0	deployed in an effort to eliminate a fundamental state
8 c	constitutional right of a community group?
9 <b>A</b>	A. This is new in my experience.
10	2. Let's let's jump ahead to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2557.
11 <b>A</b>	A. Okay.
12	MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, I need to lodge an objection
13 t	to the use of this document. Again, it is under attorneys'
14 e	eyes only confidential privilege.
15	This is a post-election document. And it refers to
16 a	activities and financial activities post-election.
17	And so the the relevance is a problem, and it
18 t	to the extent this reveals confidential inner-workings of
19 r	relationships between organization that supported Prop 8, this
20 i	is highly, highly revealing and and confidential, and we
21 c	object.
22	THE COURT: Well, not to make light of that, usually
23 W	why people want to introduce documents is because they are
24 r	revealing.
25	(Laughter)

1	But it does appear that this is a communication
2	amongst individuals who are part of the core group. Is that
3	not correct, Mr. Boutrous?
4	MR. BOUTROUS: It's a communication from someone
5	outside the core group, to people who are in the core group.
6	Which
7	THE COURT: Oh, I see.
8	MR. BOUTROUS: Yes.
9	THE COURT: I see.
10	MR. BOUTROUS: The "from" line.
11	THE COURT: The "from" line.
12	MR. BOUTROUS: And, Your Honor, the foundation
13	there is no question this is an authentic document. It was
14	sent to the you know, the entire basically, the entire
15	executive committee, Mr. Dolejsi, Mr. Pugno himself,
16	Mr. Jansson, Mr. Schubert, who is the political consultant,
17	Jeff Flint, another political consultant. And it it and
18	they are all copied on it.
19	And the reason that it's relevant, without disclosing
20	the full contents, is that it really shows the degree of
21	connection between ProtectMarriage.com and the organization
22	that sent this document, in terms of the funding.
23	And the ProtectMarriage.com in this court before Your
24	Honor, and in the depositions, and in other context, have taken
25	the position that these other organizations were not really

1 that connected to ProtectMarriage.com and the official campaign. 2 This document goes directly to that. And, as 3 4 relevant to Professor Segura's testimony, demonstrates this 5 significant, broad coalition that was connected by not only the 6 same views about Proposition 8, but by an incredible 7 fund-raising mechanism and relationships. So I think it's directly relevant. It was produced 8 9 by proponents of Proposition 8. It includes people outside the core group. So I think it should -- it should be admitted. 10 MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, maybe we can confirm the 11 sender. If I could see an unredacted copy of this. 12 13 MR. BOUTROUS: I can show you that right now. Your Honor, I could --14 15 THE COURT: Sure. MR. PUGNO: Your Honor, the sender is a person 16 identified in Judge Spero's order of January 8 as a member of 17 the core group. Otherwise, we'll just state our continuing 18 objection. 19 20 THE COURT: You say he was identified as part of the 21 core group, the sender? MR. PUGNO: Well, he was under seal. And he was 22 identified by the -- by Judge Spero, by a reference to 23 24 paragraph and line number, as a member of the core group. Not by his -- his name doesn't appear in the order. It refers to a 25

sealed declaration. 1 2 THE COURT: You've lost me. MR. PUGNO: There are six members of the --3 4 THE COURT: Did Spero include this individual as a 5 member of the core group? б MR. PUGNO: Yes, Your Honor. 7 MR. BOUTROUS: That one, Your Honor, I don't -- there is, I think, one -- maybe a core group member or two that I 8 9 don't even know about. But I would suggest there --10 THE COURT: Is this John Doe? 11 MR. PUGNO: No, it's not, Your Honor. Well, this morning's John Doe? 12 13 (Laughter) **THE COURT:** John Doe 1. John Doe 2. 14 15 MR. PUGNO: I believe, Your Honor, that there were as many as six individuals --16 17 THE COURT: How many? MR. PUGNO: As many as six individuals that were 18 19 identified to Judge Spero, by John Doe 1, John Doe 2, or something in that nature, under a sealed declaration. 20 21 And the order which lists the members of the core group includes those six individuals. And that is the 22 individual who sent this communication. 23 24 THE COURT: My inclination is to do this. I'm not 25 sure I follow the John Doe business. But accepting Counsel's

1	representation that the sender is a member of the core group,
2	the document is a post-election document. The document is
3	being offered to establish a connection between the religious
4	organization and the campaign; that being a subject that I
5	think, Mr. Boutrous, you have pursued and have introduced
б	significant evidence on.
7	So I will sustain an objection, basically, on
8	cumulative grounds.
9	MR. PUGNO: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	And I just have a couple more documents here, Your
12	Honor, that I think the next document, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
13	2561, this is one to which there is no objection, I'm pleased
14	to announce.
15	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
16	Q. Professor Segura, is this a document that you have
17	reviewed?
18	A. It is.
19	Q. And did did it did you consider it in forming your
20	opinions, the opinions that you're giving here today?
21	A. I did.
22	MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I move admission of
23	Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2561.
24	MR. THOMPSON: No objection, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Very well. Music to my ears,

1	Mr. Thompson.
2	(Laughter)
3	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2561 received in evidence.)
4	MR. BOUTROUS: That was refreshing.
5	If we could publish Plaintiffs' 561 please.
6	(Document displayed.)
7	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
8	Q. Professor Segura, briefly, what is it about this document
9	that reflects on political power, in your view?
10	A. Going to the heart of the matter, the last sentence of the
11	first paragraph reads, quote:
12	"You may know that the Mormons have been out
13	walking neighborhoods the past two Saturdays,
14	with about 20,000 total volunteers."
15	Q. And why is that important in evaluating the political
16	power in this context?
17	A. Again, I I would suggest that any political consultant
18	would be thrilled to have 20,000 precinct walkers on any given
19	Saturday.
20	So I think it speaks to the the breadth and size
21	of the opposition to gay and lesbian interests.
22	Q. Please turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2562.
23	Is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2562 a document you reviewed
24	over the last week, in connection with your testimony?
25	A. It is.

1	MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I move admission of this
2	document, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2562. It's another document that
3	was produced by the proponents over the last week.
4	MR. THOMPSON: No objection beyond our standing
5	objections, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Thompson. Very well.
7	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2562 received in evidence.)
8	MR. BOUTROUS: Please publish this exhibit.
9	(Document displayed.)
10	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
11	${\tt Q}$ . And I would like to direct, the witness just to expedite
12	things, to the second page. Actually, let me ask, go to the
13	first page.
14	What is this document, Professor, in your
15	understanding, if you could describe it?
16	A. Uhm, it appears to be an e-mail from the chair of
17	ProtectMarriage.com, to others, dealing with some issue
18	regarding how designated gifts take place to the campaign.
19	Q. Then, let's go to the next page. And are there is
20	there anything on this page that caught your eye as you
21	evaluated the issue of political power?
22	A. There are three things. The first would be everything
23	under the numeral 1.
24	Q. What is it about that portion of the document that's
25	relevant to what you're talking about today?

1	A. Numeral 1 recounts the early organizational efforts,
2	largely among evangelicals to get church leadership involved in
3	the campaign, and reports that in these very, very large
4	teleconferences when sort of message the message that the
5	campaign wanted to send through the pulpit was was
6	discussed, there were 1700 participants in June, and 3,000
7	participants in July of 2008.
8	And the third bullet point suggests that their goal
9	was to have as many as 5,000 California pastors participate in
10	one of these calls.
11	Q. Thank you. And, finally, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2598.
12	Please turn to that exhibit.
13	MR. BOUTROUS: And, Your Honor, in conversations with
14	Mr. Pugno, there are a couple of names in this that I'm happy
15	to redact, that we missed. I will refrain from publishing
16	them. But, other than that, I don't believe there's an
17	objection to this document, and would move its admission.
18	It's another one, a document produced by the
19	proponents.
20	MR. THOMPSON: Subject to the redaction, no
21	objection, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Very well. 2598 is admitted.
23	Yes, go ahead.
24	(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2598 received in evidence.)
25	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.

I
BY MR. BOUTROUS:
Q. Professor Segura, since there's this redaction issue, I'm
not going to put this up on the screen.
What is this document, and what is it about it that
sheds light, in your view, on the political power issue?
A. It appears to be a fund-raising letter. And it's to
someone who has given generously to the Family Research Council
in the past.
And the reason I found it interesting was it it
suggests a a coordination of potential donor bases, a sort
of sharing of sort of people with capacity, as endowment folks
like to say, who who are potentially able to give.
<b>Q.</b> In that regard, could you turn to the very last page,
which is stamped 009699.
A. Uh-huh.
${\tt Q}$ . At the top of the page, the sentence that begins, "We have
the."
A. Yes.
${\tt Q}$ . Could you read that, and then give me your impressions on
that, in terms of political power.
A. (As read)
"We have the political and financial support
of groups such as Focus on the Family, Family
Research Council, American Family
Association, The Arlington Group, and many

1	others."
2	Q. And are those significant groups, in terms of political
3	power in the United States, when banded together?
4	A. Separately and together, each of them are a fairly
5	powerful interest group representing the evangelical movement
б	in national politics.
7	Q. Based on the factors that you've described today, as well
8	as the manifestations that you described earlier this morning,
9	relating to political powerlessness, what is your opinion
10	regarding the political powerlessness of gay men and lesbians
11	in the United States and in California?
12	<b>A.</b> My opinion is that when we take together the moments of
13	legislative victory, the moments of legislative defeat, the
14	presence of ballot initiatives, the absence of statutory or
15	constitutional protection, the presence of statutory or
16	constitutional disadvantage, and a host of circumstances,
17	including small numbers, public hostility, hostility of elected
18	officials, and a clearly well-integrated, nationally prominent,
19	organized opposition, I conclude that gays and lesbians lack
20	the sufficient power necessary to protect themselves in the
21	political system.
22	Q. Now, I have two principal lines of questioning for you, as
23	we finish things off here.
24	The first is, I would like you to explain whether you
25	conducted any comparison with the political power of gay men

-	
1	and lesbians with other other groups in society, including
2	women, African Americans.
3	A. I did.
4	Q. What were your conclusions regarding the comparison, in
5	terms of the relative political power between gay men and
6	lesbians, on the one hand, and women in the 1970s, for example?
7	A. So I I'll begin with the conclusion. I concluded that,
8	relative to the position of women in the early 1970s, gay men
9	and lesbians are more disadvantaged today than women were in
10	the 1970s.
11	For starters, women constituted then and constitute
12	today a majority of the population. And were they so
13	motivated, they could determine most if not all political
14	outcomes.
15	Second, while there were certainly sexism and I
16	wouldn't want to, you know, understate the importance of that
17	historically being a woman is not inherently controversial.
18	Families don't hate their daughters. In fact, women are quite
19	beloved by many, many people.
20	Third, there were women in public office.
21	(Laughter)
22	Some of whom are men, some of whom are women.
23	(Laughter)
24	There were women in public office.
25	But, perhaps most importantly, there was already

T	
1	statutory protection. The 1963 Equal Pay Act, certain
2	provisions of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, clearly protected
3	women at the federal level.
4	So, in addition to having more political power, more
5	votes, less or no hostility, there is also the matter that they
6	enjoyed statutory protection.
7	Q. What were your conclusions regarding the relative
8	political power between gay men and lesbians on the one hand,
9	and African Americans on the other hand, before the Civil
10	Rights Act of 1964?
11	A. This is a this is a comparison which is a little bit
12	more complex to explain, so I would want to try to separate out
13	the political circumstances on the one hand from the social and
14	economic circumstances on the other.
15	Let me begin by saying that being an African American
16	prior to the enactment of civil rights legislation was a very
17	difficult thing to do in this country. And the quality of life
18	and the day-to-day experiences of African Americans,
19	particularly in the south, is something that we should take
20	quite seriously as historically quite damaging.
21	That notwithstanding, I would turn my focus to the
22	political circumstances, which is what I was asked to evaluate.
23	At the time that suspect classification was extended
24	to cover racial and ethnic minorities, there were three
25	amendments to the United States Constitution that formally

T	
1	established civil equality for racial and ethnic minorities.
2	Admittedly, these were not enforced. Admittedly,
3	there was all sorts of statutory nonsense that took place in
4	the wake of those amendments. But the establishment, at the
5	Constitutional level, of equality was complete.
б	There were any number of statutes that had taken
7	place to protect the interest of African Americans. All of the
8	New Deal legislation, for example, was explicitly race neutral,
9	and made a point of of making it clear that
10	African Americans were entitled to the activities of the New
11	Deal.
12	Immediately prior to the second World War,
13	President Roosevelt issued Executive Order 8803, which
14	prohibited the government contracting, the War Department
15	especially, with any business that was engaged in
16	discriminatory practices against African Americans.
17	And, of course, the government is the largest single
18	purchaser of all products in this society, so that had a fairly
19	substantial ripple effect in the manufacturing sector.
20	And in 1948, President Truman desegregated the
21	United States military.
22	So, again, I think it would be fair to say that
23	socioeconomic conditions were very bad for African Americans in
24	the middle part of the 20th century. But there were a number
25	of instances of statutory protection and even

1	constitutionally-established equality that African Americans
2	enjoyed. And, at that point, it was the the civil rights
3	movement was an effort to bring the social reality in
4	countenance with the constitutional establishment.
5	By contrast, gays and lesbians are in a different
6	position. So they're subject to statutory disadvantage.
7	Some would suggest that gays and lesbians aren't as
8	oppressed as African Americans were, and there might be good
9	reason to suggest that that's true for at least some gays and
10	lesbians in more open social environments.
11	But the hour is moving in the opposite direction. So
12	in 1990, there was not a single constitutional establishment of
13	inequality for gays and lesbians, and today there are in
14	about three-fifths of the states, there is
15	constitutionally-established inequality.
16	So as a constitutional matter, gays and lesbians are
17	moving in the opposite direction than African Americans were in
18	the 1940s.
19	${f Q}$ . How about group size, in terms of African Americans and
20	gay men and lesbians, in terms of populating jurisdictions?
21	A. So, African Americans in the 1940s were approximately 10
22	or 11 percent of the national population. Today, that number
23	is closer to 13 percent.
24	That varies quite widely by jurisdiction. There are
25	a number of southern states where the black population is well

1 north of 30 percent. In some cases around 40 percent. Certainly, many cities in which African Americans are a 2 3 majority of the population. 4 By contrast, there is no jurisdiction with which I'm 5 familiar -- there might be, you know, a small resort town here 6 or there, but there's no jurisdiction of any size, with which I 7 am familiar, that has a gay majority. Bringing us forward to today, how do the manifestations of 8 Q. 9 political powerlessness of African Americans compare to the manifestations of political powerlessness of gay men and 10 11 lesbians? So thinking a little bit more broadly about the subject 12 Α. matter of race and ethnicity, there are now 69 persons of color 13 serving in the House of Representatives. There have been as 14 many as four senators. 15 That's not the case right now. Some people left to 16 join the administration. 17 And so, obviously, that compares favorably to the six 18 gay and lesbians who have ever served, and the three who 19 currently serve in the House of Representatives. 20 Minorities are elected to public office in many parts 21 of the United States. The 1965 Voting Rights Act, and 22 23 particularly the judicial implementation of Section 2, as it 24 was amended in 1982, have provided numerous opportunities for persons of color to elect members of their community to public 25

1	office.
2	And, in fact, have even been interpreted as an
3	affirmative responsibility, particularly under
4	Section-5-covered jurisdictions, that they have to provide
5	opportunities for racial and ethnic minorities to vote for
6	first-choice candidates.
7	Q. How about the presidency?
8	A. Oh, yeah, there's that.
9	(Laughter)
10	We do have our first Hawaiian president. But,
11	obviously, the election of an African American to the
12	presidency is a big deal.
13	I would also go so far as to say, however and I
14	don't want to provide the impression that I don't think
15	African Americans and the category of race and ethnicity isn't
16	still of significant concern in our society. And, indeed, a
17	significant portion of my scholarship addresses that. Just
18	that in terms of political power today, compared to gays and
19	lesbians, they are doing quite well.
20	Q. Finally, I would like to display demonstrative number 8,
21	and ask, Professor Segura, whether you have any opinions
22	regarding the deposition and report and opinions expressed by
23	proponents' proffered expert on political power, Dr. Miller.
24	A. So I do.
25	MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, we would object that the

1653	
------	--

т	
1	witness has not put in any report that addresses
2	Professor Miller's analysis. And we haven't had an opportunity
3	to depose him on it.
4	THE COURT: Well, I assume, Mr. Boutrous, you had the
5	witness read Mr. Miller's deposition.
6	MR. BOUTROUS: He attended it, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: He attended it?
8	MR. BOUTROUS: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Objection overruled.
10	BY MR. BOUTROUS:
11	Q. Professor Segura, if you could give us kind of the broad
12	outlines of your critique of Dr. Miller's opinions and
13	approach.
14	A. Sure.
15	Professor Miller approached the question of political
16	power of gays and lesbians somewhat differently than I did.
17	And in his deposition, a number of things became clear about
18	both his analytical structure and the breadth of the
19	information that he considered.
20	The first point is that Professor Miller, frankly,
21	doesn't know anything about gay and lesbian politics.
22	During the course of his deposition, he could not
23	identify many of the critical historical figures in the early
24	part of the movement; was not familiar with political science
25	work, including very prominent political science work that had

T	
1	focused on gays and lesbians.
2	He was aware of some judicial scholarship on gays and
3	lesbians because that is is his field of endeavor, but, even
4	there, wasn't familiar with some of the key pieces on on how
5	political science would address gays and lesbians.
б	It was also curious that he was unfamiliar, at all,
7	with the political science work on prejudice; of which there is
8	an enormous amount, and of which was well-known.
9	Q. How about his sorry.
10	A. Go ahead.
11	Q. How about his knowledge concerning the presence or absence
12	of legal protections relating to gay men and lesbians?
13	A. Uhm, I think it's fair to say that Professor Miller did
14	not look beyond the boundaries of California.
15	He focused exclusively on California statute. And
16	when he was asked about other states, he had almost no answer
17	for anything. And, in fact, even proceeded to suggest that he
18	would be shocked if it were the case that a majority of the
19	states have no legal protections for gays and lesbians. Which,
20	of course, is the case.
21	When asked how many of the top ten states don't have
22	any protection, he didn't know the answer.
23	With respect to the protections that have been passed
24	in California, he actually didn't really know the legislative
25	history of most of those, as well.

1	
1	So it was it was really quite striking how little
2	information he had on this.
3	To put it in starkest terms, in 29 states, there is
4	no anti-discrimination protection for gays and lesbians. And
5	Professor Miller concluded that gays and lesbians possessed
6	political power, without being aware of that fact.
7	Q. Did you agree with Professor Miller's definition of
8	political power as he applied it in reaching his opinions?
9	A. There were a couple of problems with Miller's definition
10	of power. First, what there was of a definition was actually
11	quite vague. And he was asked about it in deposition.
12	He arrived at a definition that said that a group had
13	political power if they received a fair hearing from the
14	lawmakers.
15	But he there was no investigation in his report as
16	to whether or not gays and lesbians had in fact received a fair
17	hearing from the lawmakers.
18	And, of course, this is an initiative process. So
19	who are the lawmakers? The lawmakers are the proponents of the
20	ballot initiative and the voters.
21	So in the absence of any investigation, I don't
22	understand how, even under his definition, he could conclude
23	that the threshold for political power had been met.
24	But in the case of the statutory enactments, where he
25	wanted to say, well, these these pieces of legislation

т	
1	constituted evidence of political power, some of those pieces
2	of legislation were actually pursuant to court cases that
3	decisions that had already been handed down.
4	And the attorney deposing him actually asked him, so,
5	are are favorable court decisions an element of political
6	power? And he said yes.
7	Well, judicial intervention on on behalf of
8	insular minorities cannot be considered an element of political
9	power, in a fair sense, if the measure of political power is
10	what we're using to decide whether or not judicial intervention
11	is appropriate.
12	Q. Finally, Professor Segura, how did Dr. Miller's testimony
13	and report square with his own writings regarding ballot
14	initiatives?
15	A. Professor Miller's scholarship focuses on how the
16	judiciary has reacted to ballot initiatives. So he spent a
17	fair amount of time researching those.
18	In his actual published research, he has suggested,
19	first, that ballot initiatives are very likely to result in bad
20	law because they are not as deliberative as the legislative
21	process, and that ballot initiatives frequently target
22	minorites.
23	And on both of those things, I'm highly inclined to
24	agree with him.
25	MR. BOUTROUS: No further questions, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Thompson, you may	
2	cross-examine.	
3	CROSS EXAMINATION	
4	BY MR. THOMPSON:	
5	Q. Good afternoon, Professor.	
6	A. Mr. Thompson.	
7	Q. Nice to see you, sir.	
8	Now, of the ten largest cities in the United States,	
9	how many of them have protections against discrimination,	
10	against gays and lesbians; do you know?	
11	A. I I don't have an encyclopedic knowledge of that, but I	
12	would suspect the number is probably in the eight or nine	
13	range; that it's quite common. Municipal enactments, of	
14	course, have limitations.	
15	Q. Now, you live in California; is that correct?	
16	A. I do.	
17	Q. And you donated money to the No On 8 campaign; is that	
18	correct?	
19	A. I did.	
20	<b>Q.</b> And do you recall that about eight days after the Prop 8	
21	campaign, you participated in a panel discussion at Stanford	
22	with Simon Jackson?	
23	A. Simon Jackman.	
24	Q. Jackman?	
25	A. I participated in a course lecture with Simon Jackman.	

1657

1	Q. Okay. And do you recall that the subject of Prop 8 came
2	up during that discussion?
3	A. It certainly did.
4	Q. And do you recall your colleague saying that you felt very
5	strongly about Prop 8?
6	A. I don't remember exactly what he said. I don't have it
7	committed to memory. It wouldn't surprise me.
8	Q. You do feel very strongly about Prop 8, don't you?
9	A. I believe in the equality of persons under the law. And
10	as a consequence, the constitutional establishment of
11	inequality is something I find deeply offensive.
12	Q. And I'd like to nail down some terms that you used during
13	your direct.
14	When an individual answering a poll is asked about
15	gays or lesbians, a variety of things might enter their mind;
16	is that correct?
17	A. Presumably, yes.
18	Q. It might mean sexual conduct to some, correct?
19	A. It may.
20	Q. Or it could be some sort of behavioral trappings of what
21	the person might stereotypically believe to be gay or lesbian,
22	correct?
23	A. It may.
24	Q. Or it may be associated with an individual person, a
25	member of the family or co-worker, correct?
1	

1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. It is really hard to say what jumps into someone's mind
3	when they hear the term "gay or lesbian," correct?
4	A. Hard to say in the sense that there's more than one notion
5	that could enter their mind. We could define the universe of
б	likely items that would be considered by a respondent, so
7	that's not particularly hard to say.
8	But to ask what any individual is thinking of when he
9	or she answers the question, it could be a fairly limited set
10	of options.
11	<b>Q.</b> All right. Now let's talk about the definition of
12	political power. The exercise of power, in your opinion, is
13	moving someone from opposition or fence-sitting into your own
14	column, correct?
15	A. Uhm, that would be part of it. Another possibility would
16	be persuading them to stand down, to no longer oppose, even if
17	they themselves haven't changed their opinion. Or it may be
18	mustering the political forces necessary to circumvent them.
19	Q. And under your definition of power, if the group had
20	power, it would be able to cajole or compel members of the
21	legislature to produce an outcome that they may not have been
22	predisposed to produce, correct?
23	A. That would be part of it. I would also want to be
24	concerned about secure. So it's not just achieving an outcome
25	but sort of securing it from likely reversal.

1	Q. And you believe that gays and lesbians have to rely almost
2	exclusively on allies who are regularly shown to be
3	insufficiently strong or reliable to achieve or protect their
4	interests, correct?
5	<b>A.</b> I believe as a general proposition that that's true, that
6	there are allies, even reliable allies. But that if we looked
7	across the universe of potential allies, that the number of
8	allies is smaller than is necessary, and that many of those
9	allies are unreliable.
10	Q. And applying your definition of political power, you
11	believe the NAACP had had a meaningful degree of political
12	power even when Newt Gingrich was the Speaker of the House,
13	correct?
14	A. I think it is the case that they had less power when Newt
15	Gingrich was the Speaker than when the Democrats controlled the
16	House of Representatives. But even under those circumstances,
17	I would say that they had a fair degree of influence.
18	Q. All right.
19	MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, we'd like to pass out some
20	binders, if we may?
21	THE COURT: Very well.
22	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: I wondered where the binders were.
24	(Laughter)
25	MR. THOMPSON: May I approach, Your Honor?

1	
1	THE COURT: You may.
2	BY MR. THOMPSON:
3	Q. Professor, I'd like to direct your attention to tab 7.
4	And in particular this is the 2007 annual report of the
5	Human Rights Campaign. It's DIX1330.
6	And the Human Rights Campaign is a leading gay rights
7	advocacy group; is that correct?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. And I'd like to direct your attention to page 4 of this
10	document. It's actually the sixth page of the exhibit. It has
11	a little 4 in the bottom left-hand column.
12	A. I'm there.
13	${f Q}$ . Okay. And directing your attention to the third
14	paragraph, it says in the second sentence:
15	"We were named by the well-respected national
16	journal the single most effective nonunion
17	progressive organization working in the 2006
18	midterm elections."
19	Using your definition of political power, do you
20	think the Human Rights Campaign had a meaningful degree of
21	political power in the 2006 midterm elections?
22	A. I do not.
23	${f Q}$ . All right. And in the next sentence the annual report
24	says:
25	"We played a decisive role in electing

Ī	
1	fair-minded majorities to the U.S. House and
2	Senate, and to legislatures from Oregon to
3	New Hampshire."
4	But using your definition of political power, you
5	don't believe the Human Rights Campaign has a meaningful degree
б	of political power, correct?
7	A. I don't.
8	Q. And in California the incoming speaker is John Perez; is
9	that correct?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. Of the Assembly?
12	A. Yes.
13	<b>THE COURT:</b> I think he's already taken office; hasn't
14	he?
15	THE WITNESS: He may have, actually.
16	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	BY MR. THOMPSON:
18	<b>Q.</b> And Mr. Perez is openly gay; is that correct?
19	A. That's my understanding.
20	Q. And he was unanimously elected to the speakership of the
21	California Assembly, is that correct, by the Democratic caucus?
22	
23	Q. And but under your definition of political power, gays
24	and lesbians do not have a meaningful degree of political power
25	in the California Assembly, even though the speaker is openly

1	0.91V	correct?
2	Α.	That's correct, because, again, outcome does not reveal
3	proce	ess.
4	Q.	Applying your definition of political power, Biblical
5	lite	ralists have more political power in the California
6	legis	slature than the gay and lesbian community, correct?
7	А.	If we looked at their representation among the elected
8	offic	cials, that would be my conclusion.
9	Q.	And if we look at outcomes, would that be your conclusion,
10	as we	ell?
11	А.	Again, outcomes are a particularly difficult thing to rely
12	upon	because we have to understand how the outcome came about.
13	Q.	Well, if we look at domestic partnerships, the gay and
14	lesb	ian community supported those in 1999 in California,
15	corre	ect?
16	А.	That would be my assumption, yes.
17	Q.	And the Biblical literalists opposed it, correct?
18	А.	That's correct.
19	Q.	And the gay and lesbian community won that fight, correct?
20	А.	Again, process matters. But, yes, the answer to that is:
21	That	's correct.
22	Q.	And then in 2003, there was an expansion of the domestic
23	parti	nership law in California, correct?
24	А.	That's what I understand.
25	Q.	And the gay and lesbian community supported that

1663

1	expansion?
2	A. I'm sure they did.
3	Q. And the Biblical literalists opposed it?
4	A. I would assume.
5	Q. And even though the gay and lesbian community won that
6	fight, you say they have less power in the California
7	legislature than Biblical literalists, correct?
8	A. I say that they have less power in the California
9	legislature because they're less represented. Their
10	representation is augmented by Democratic control. Should
11	there be Republican control, they would have no power,
12	whatsoever.
13	Q. If a group is successful in getting legal protections
14	against discrimination aimed at that group, that would be a
15	positive factor that you would weigh in assessing political
16	power, correct?
17	A. It would be a positive factor with the consideration that
18	the discrimination exists in the first place.
19	Q. And gays and lesbians in California have many legal
20	protections against discrimination, correct?
21	A. Uhm, I'm sorry, "many"? I don't under you'd have to
22	be specific about what that term means.
23	Q. Well, haven't there been over 50 pieces of legislation
24	over the last ten years, that have sought to protect the legal
25	rights of gays and lesbians in California?

1	<b>A.</b> I don't think it would be a fair statement to say that in
2	50 cases, the interests of gays and lesbians were codified into
3	law.
4	I think it would be fair to say that there are
5	anti-discrimination lines in at least 50 pieces of legislation.
б	Now, you are correct that some of those pieces of
7	legislation did, in fact, grant protection from discrimination
8	to gays and lesbians. And as I indicated in my direct, some of
9	that was in response to court decisions.
10	${f Q}$ . Can you identify any state in the union that has more
11	legal protections for gays and lesbians than California?
12	A. I cannot.
13	Q. Using your definition of political power, can you give any
14	examples of the Hispanic community in Congress exercising
15	political power during the last ten years?
16	A. Uhm, well, I could think of the role they may have played
17	in attempting to stop immigration legislation when the current
18	minority was the majority.
19	They certainly played a role in rallying forces to
20	stop the attempt to criminalize the presence in the
21	United States of undocumented persons. That would be an
22	example.
23	Q. Any other examples?
24	A. Uhm, I'm sure if I thought for a while, I could.
25	Q. Now, in New Hampshire, gays and lesbians have secured

1 through the legislative process the right to same-sex marriage, 2 correct?

3 **A.** That's my understanding, yes.

But using your definition of political power, your initial 4 Q. 5 reaction would be that gays and lesbians do not have a 6 meaningful degree of political power in New Hampshire, correct? 7 Α. Uhm, that would be my initial reaction because I would need to understand the legislative history and the legislative 8 9 circumstances surrounding the enactment of that protection. I would also want to know whether or not that protection is 10 11 likely to be subject to reversal.

12 Q. And so do you have an opinion on whether gays and lesbians
13 in New Hampshire have a meaningful degree of political power?
14 A. I don't have sufficient information in my hand to answer
15 that.

16 Q. And in Vermont, gays and lesbians have secured through the 17 legislative process the right to same-sex marriage, correct? 18 A. Uhm, again, I'm uncomfortable with this notion that gays 19 and lesbians have "secured." The Vermont legislature has, in 20 fact, passed same-sex marriage legislation. That's my 21 understanding.

Q. At the urging of the gay and lesbian community?
A. Well, certainly not with their opposition, but they
weren't in a position to compel the legislature to do so, but
certainly they asked.

1	Q. But using your definition of political power, you would
2	suggest that gays and lesbians do not have a meaningful degree
3	of political power in Vermont, correct?
4	A. Again, it would be difficult for me to make a full-length
5	statement about the circumstances in Vermont without knowing
6	the legislative history and the circumstances of gays and
7	lesbians in the various state and county governments.
8	I also want to reiterate that my understanding of
9	political power is very nationally oriented. That is, that
10	those gays and lesbians newly enfranchised with the right to
11	marry in New Hampshire and Vermont don't have those marriages
12	recognized by the federal government.
13	Nor can the domestic partners registered in
14	California visit ill domestic partners in Nevada or Louisiana.
15	There's no guarantee those rights are accepted.
16	So we need to think of this not solely on a
17	jurisdiction-by-jurisdiction basis, but also across layers of
18	government.
19	Q. Well, is a jurisdiction-by-jurisdiction basis irrelevant
20	to your analysis?
21	A. It's not irrelevant, but we certainly would have to
22	consider both.
23	${f Q}$ . Okay. Now, gays and lesbians have the right to marry in
24	Massachusetts, correct?
25	A. That is correct.

1	Q. And gays and lesbians were able to defeat an effort to
2	restore the traditional definition of marriage in
3	Massachusetts, correct?
4	<b>A.</b> "Defeat" is an interesting term. My understanding was
5	that in the legislature there was some maneuvering to prevent
6	it from coming up for a vote.
7	I'm sorry, I don't have an exhaustive command of the
8	Massachusetts legislature.
9	${f Q}$ . And at present in Massachusetts, there is no effort to
10	repeal same-sex marriage, because any such effort would be
11	futile, correct?
12	A. Again, I don't know if I could conclude that. I would
13	think as long as the Democrats retained the majority in the
14	commonwealth lower house, that it would be difficult to do
15	that. But I I can't say for sure that there would be none.
16	Q. But using your definition of political power, gays and
17	lesbians do not have a meaningful degree of political power in
18	Massachusetts, correct?
19	<b>A.</b> To the extent that they, mustering their own resources,
20	cannot defend their basic rights and that those rights do not
21	travel with them across state lines, no, they do not.
22	Q. And would the same answer obtain for Connecticut, that
23	even though there's same-sex marriage, under your definition,
24	gays and lesbians do not have a meaningful degree of political
25	power in Connecticut?

1	A. And Iowa.
2	(Laughter)
3	Q. Okay. Thank you.
4	In Washington, D.C, the D.C. City Council passed a
5	bill that would legalize same-sex marriage in the District of
6	Columbia, correct?
7	A. That's my understanding.
8	Q. But using your definition of political power, gays and
9	lesbians do not have a meaningful degree of political power in
10	Washington, D.C, correct?
11	A. Again, thinking of the context moving across levels of
12	government, I would say that no gay and lesbian in the
13	United States enjoys a meaningful degree of political power.
14	Q. And in Houston, where there's an openly lesbian mayor,
15	your opinion would be that there's not a meaningful degree of
16	political power in Houston for gays and lesbians; is that
17	correct?
18	A. Nor are there even domestic partner benefits for city
19	employees, so that is correct.
20	Q. Now, Mayor Sanders has testified that two out of the eight
21	city council members in San Diego are openly gay. Are you
22	aware of that?
23	A. Iam.
24	Q. And Mayor Sanders, himself, is an ally of the LGBT
25	community, correct?

1669

т	
1	A. I would say that he is today, yes.
2	Q. And using your definition of political power, gays and
3	lesbians do not have a meaning full degree of political power
4	in San Diego, correct?
5	A. That is correct, because gays and lesbians in San Diego
6	in two ways. Gays and lesbians in San Diego remain
7	constitutionally established as second-class citizens.
8	And, as Mayor Sanders testified, the gay and lesbian
9	group in San Diego is not sufficiently powerful to prompt fear
10	or any sort of compliance from its legislators.
11	Q. And turning your attention to tab 15, please, in your
12	binder.
13	A. Uh-huh.
14	Q. There is a New York Times
15	MR. THOMPSON: Oh, and, by the way, Your Honor, I
16	believe I neglected to request permission to move into evidence
17	DIX1330, which is the Human Rights Campaign annual report of
18	2007.
19	MR. BOUTROUS: No objection, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Very well. 1330 is admitted.
21	(Defendants' Exhibit 1330 received in evidence.)
22	BY MR. THOMPSON:
23	Q. And turning your attention to tab 13 in your binder,
24	Professor, it's DIX2554. This is a New York Times article
25	entitled "Gay Candidates Get Support That Causes May Not." And

1	it's dated December 28, 2009.
2	And in the fifth paragraph, it states:
3	"There are currently at least 445 openly gay
4	and lesbian people holding elected office in
5	the United States, up from 257 eight years
6	ago."
7	And are those numbers accurate, to the best of your
8	knowledge?
9	A. I have no basis on which to evaluate them. I have no
10	reason to believe that they're inaccurate.
11	<b>Q.</b> Didn't you have numbers like that in your opening report?
12	A. I did, but my numbers were disaggregated by level of
13	government.
14	${f Q}$ . And turning to the third paragraph from the bottom, it
15	talks about:
16	"Charles Pugh, an openly gay former
17	broadcaster, swept to victory as city council
18	president in Detroit in his first bid for
19	public office."
20	Using your definition of "political power," gays and
21	lesbians do not have a meaningful degree of political power in
22	Detroit, even though the president of the city council is
23	openly gay, correct?
24	A. That would be correct, because I would look at the
25	preferences of the remaining members of the city council, the

T	
1	attitudes of the State of Michigan's legislature, the absence
2	of any form of non-discrimination legislation in Michigan, and
3	the absence of protective legislation at the federal level.
4	So residents of the City of Detroit reside not just
5	in Detroit, but in Wayne County, in Michigan, in the United
6	States.
7	Q. Now, if we turn to the second page of this article, which
8	is actually the third page behind the tab, we can see that in
9	the seventh paragraph it starts, "In Detroit."
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. It says:
12	"In Detroit Mr. Pugh's sexuality never became
13	an issue in his race for city council.
14	Quote, I thought I would be attacked during
15	the campaign for being gay, close quote, he
16	said in an interview. I wasn't. It was a
17	pleasant surprise."
18	Isn't it true that in many big cities it's in
19	increasingly irrelevant whether a candidate is gay or lesbian?
20	A. I think that would depend on which big city. So I
21	would I would respond to this in two ways.
22	First, the candidate did expect to be attacked for
23	his sexuality.
24	And, second, in the previous example you mentioned in
25	the City of Houston, her sexuality was very much at issue. Her

1	opponent used it to try to diminish her support.
2	So I don't think it is the case that being gay or
3	lesbian is a footnote of no interest to voters in many big
4	cities. There are certainly big cities where it is less
5	important than it might previously have been or than in other
6	cities, but I don't think it's fair to say that it is an
7	insignificant element of a candidate's identity today.
8	MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, we would move the
9	admission of DIX-2554.
10	MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I'm going to object on
11	hearsay grounds. I have no objection to it coming in for the
12	fact of the article, but not for the truth of the matter
13	asserted.
14	MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, it relates to a
15	legislative fact.
16	THE COURT: I think the witness has opened the door
17	to this. 2554 will be admitted.
18	(Defendants' Exhibit 2554 received in evidence.)
19	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, your Honor.
20	BY MR. THOMPSON:
21	Q. Turning your attention, Professor, to tab 16, this is a
22	story in the Atlanta Journal Constitution dated October 10,
23	2009. It's entitled "Gay Votes Can Make A Difference." And
24	it's an interview with Jeff Graham, the executive director of
25	Georgia Equality, one of the largest gay advocacy and lobbying

Ī	
1	groups in the state.
2	And if you turn your attention to the fourth
3	paragraph from the bottom, he provides the following answer to
4	a question:
5	"I think we have certainly seen in the last
6	20 years that I have lived here there have
7	been a number of close elections and runoff
8	scenarios when both the winners and the
9	losers have conceded that strength of the
10	LGBT vote was a deciding factor in those
11	races. When you have a voting history that
12	goes back 20 years or more, the political
13	establishment begins to realize that it
14	actually is a vote that can make a
15	difference."
16	But using your definition of political power, gays
17	and lesbians don't have a meaningful degree of political power
18	in Atlanta, correct?
19	A. That's correct. And I would actually go on to suggest
20	that there are a number of problems with the claims being made
21	here.
22	The first problem is that this claim is being made by
23	an advocate for a gay and lesbian action organization. Not
24	surprisingly, as you can imagine yourself, advocates for
25	organizations want to present the power of their organization

<ul> <li>in the most positive light, because their job is to raise money</li> <li>and to mobilize forces on behalf of the group.</li> <li>People historically don't give money to the, "Donate</li> <li>to us, we are very unlikely to make a difference." <ul> <li>(Laughter.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. So the strategy that he would use and, indeed, any</li> <li>advocate would use would be to overstate to the extent</li> <li>possible the political influence you have.</li> <li>Now, actually, I know a little bit about Atlanta. So</li> <li>Atlanta, of course, was one of the locations where there were</li> <li>violent attacks on a gay bar by an individual who was</li> <li>subsequently identified as potentially involved in the Olympics</li> <li>bombing, you will all recall.</li> <li>I also know that Georgia is one of the top 10 states</li> <li>that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its</li> <li>state statute.</li> <li>So I don't think we can look at the certainly</li> <li>well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that</li> <li>this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in</li> <li>the City of Atlanta's politics.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor,</li> <li>both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT</li> <li>community?</li> <li>A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</li> </ul>	1		
<ul> <li>People historically don't give money to the, "Donate</li> <li>to us, we are very unlikely to make a difference."</li> <li>(Laughter.)</li> <li>A. So the strategy that he would use and, indeed, any</li> <li>advocate would use would be to overstate to the extent</li> <li>possible the political influence you have.</li> <li>Now, actually, I know a little bit about Atlanta. So</li> <li>Atlanta, of course, was one of the locations where there were</li> <li>violent attacks on a gay bar by an individual who was</li> <li>subsequently identified as potentially involved in the Olympics</li> <li>bombing, you will all recall.</li> <li>I also know that Georgia is one of the top 10 states</li> <li>that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its</li> <li>state statute.</li> <li>So I don't think we can look at the certainly</li> <li>well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that</li> <li>this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in</li> <li>the City of Atlanta's politics.</li> <li><b>Q.</b> Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor,</li> <li>both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT</li> <li>community?</li> <li>A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</li> </ul>	1	in the most positive light, because their job is to raise money	
<pre>4 to us, we are very unlikely to make a difference." 5 (Laughter.) 6 A. So the strategy that he would use and, indeed, any 7 advocate would use would be to overstate to the extent 8 possible the political influence you have. 9 Now, actually, I know a little bit about Atlanta. So 10 Atlanta, of course, was one of the locations where there were 11 violent attacks on a gay bar by an individual who was 12 subsequently identified as potentially involved in the Olympics 13 bombing, you will all recall. 14 I also know that Georgia is one of the top 10 states 15 that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its 16 state statute. 17 So I don't think we can look at the certainly 18 well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that 19 this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in 20 the City of Atlanta's politics. 21 Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor, 22 both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT 23 community? 24 A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</pre>	2	and to mobilize forces on behalf of the group.	
<ul> <li>(Laughter.)</li> <li>A. So the strategy that he would use and, indeed, any advocate would use would be to overstate to the extent possible the political influence you have.</li> <li>Now, actually, I know a little bit about Atlanta. So</li> <li>Atlanta, of course, was one of the locations where there were violent attacks on a gay bar by an individual who was</li> <li>subsequently identified as potentially involved in the Olympics bombing, you will all recall.</li> <li>I also know that Georgia is one of the top 10 states</li> <li>that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its</li> <li>state statute.</li> <li>So I don't think we can look at the certainly</li> <li>well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that</li> <li>this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in</li> <li>the City of Atlanta's politics.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor, both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT</li> <li>community?</li> <li>A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</li> </ul>	3	People historically don't give money to the, "Donate	
<ul> <li>A. So the strategy that he would use and, indeed, any advocate would use would be to overstate to the extent</li> <li>possible the political influence you have.</li> <li>Now, actually, I know a little bit about Atlanta. So Atlanta, of course, was one of the locations where there were</li> <li>violent attacks on a gay bar by an individual who was</li> <li>subsequently identified as potentially involved in the Olympics</li> <li>bombing, you will all recall.</li> <li>I also know that Georgia is one of the top 10 states</li> <li>that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its</li> <li>state statute.</li> <li>So I don't think we can look at the certainly</li> <li>well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that</li> <li>this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in</li> <li>the City of Atlanta's politics.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor,</li> <li>both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT</li> <li>community?</li> <li>A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</li> </ul>	4	to us, we are very unlikely to make a difference."	
7 advocate would use would be to overstate to the extent possible the political influence you have. 9 Now, actually, I know a little bit about Atlanta. So 10 Atlanta, of course, was one of the locations where there were 11 violent attacks on a gay bar by an individual who was 12 subsequently identified as potentially involved in the Olympics 13 bombing, you will all recall. 14 I also know that Georgia is one of the top 10 states 15 that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its 16 state statute. 17 So I don't think we can look at the certainly 18 well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that 19 this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in 20 the City of Atlanta's politics. 21 Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor, 22 both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT 23 community? 24 A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the	5	(Laughter.)	
<ul> <li>possible the political influence you have.</li> <li>Now, actually, I know a little bit about Atlanta. So</li> <li>Atlanta, of course, was one of the locations where there were</li> <li>violent attacks on a gay bar by an individual who was</li> <li>subsequently identified as potentially involved in the Olympics</li> <li>bombing, you will all recall.</li> <li>I also know that Georgia is one of the top 10 states</li> <li>that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its</li> <li>state statute.</li> <li>So I don't think we can look at the certainly</li> <li>well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that</li> <li>this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in</li> <li>the City of Atlanta's politics.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor,</li> <li>both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT</li> <li>community?</li> <li>A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</li> </ul>	6	A. So the strategy that he would use and, indeed, any	
<ul> <li>Now, actually, I know a little bit about Atlanta. So</li> <li>Atlanta, of course, was one of the locations where there were</li> <li>violent attacks on a gay bar by an individual who was</li> <li>subsequently identified as potentially involved in the Olympics</li> <li>bombing, you will all recall.</li> <li>I also know that Georgia is one of the top 10 states</li> <li>that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its</li> <li>state statute.</li> <li>So I don't think we can look at the certainly</li> <li>well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that</li> <li>this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in</li> <li>the City of Atlanta's politics.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor,</li> <li>both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT</li> <li>community?</li> <li>A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</li> </ul>	7	advocate would use would be to overstate to the extent	
<ul> <li>Atlanta, of course, was one of the locations where there were</li> <li>violent attacks on a gay bar by an individual who was</li> <li>subsequently identified as potentially involved in the Olympics</li> <li>bombing, you will all recall.</li> <li>I also know that Georgia is one of the top 10 states</li> <li>that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its</li> <li>state statute.</li> <li>So I don't think we can look at the certainly</li> <li>well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that</li> <li>this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in</li> <li>the City of Atlanta's politics.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor,</li> <li>both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT</li> <li>community?</li> <li>A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</li> </ul>	8	possible the political influence you have.	
<ul> <li>11 violent attacks on a gay bar by an individual who was</li> <li>12 subsequently identified as potentially involved in the Olympics</li> <li>13 bombing, you will all recall.</li> <li>14 I also know that Georgia is one of the top 10 states</li> <li>15 that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its</li> <li>16 state statute.</li> <li>17 So I don't think we can look at the certainly</li> <li>18 well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that</li> <li>19 this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in</li> <li>20 the City of Atlanta's politics.</li> <li>21 Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor,</li> <li>22 both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT</li> <li>23 community?</li> <li>24 A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</li> </ul>	9	Now, actually, I know a little bit about Atlanta. So	
<ul> <li>12 subsequently identified as potentially involved in the Olympics</li> <li>bombing, you will all recall.</li> <li>14 I also know that Georgia is one of the top 10 states</li> <li>15 that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its</li> <li>16 state statute.</li> <li>17 So I don't think we can look at the certainly</li> <li>18 well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that</li> <li>19 this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in</li> <li>20 the City of Atlanta's politics.</li> <li>21 Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor,</li> <li>22 both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT</li> <li>23 community?</li> <li>24 A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</li> </ul>	10	Atlanta, of course, was one of the locations where there were	
<ul> <li>bombing, you will all recall.</li> <li>I also know that Georgia is one of the top 10 states</li> <li>that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its</li> <li>state statute.</li> <li>So I don't think we can look at the certainly</li> <li>well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that</li> <li>this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in</li> <li>the City of Atlanta's politics.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor,</li> <li>both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT</li> <li>community?</li> <li>A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</li> </ul>	11	violent attacks on a gay bar by an individual who was	
14I also know that Georgia is one of the top 10 states15that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its16state statute.17So I don't think we can look at the certainly18well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that19this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in20the City of Atlanta's politics.21Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor,22both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT23community?24A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the	12	subsequently identified as potentially involved in the Olympics	
15 that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its state statute. 17 So I don't think we can look at the certainly 18 well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that 19 this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in 20 the City of Atlanta's politics. 21 Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor, 22 both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT 23 community? 24 A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the	13	bombing, you will all recall.	
16 state statute. 17 So I don't think we can look at the certainly 18 well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that 19 this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in 20 the City of Atlanta's politics. 21 Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor, 22 both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT 23 community? 24 A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the	14	I also know that Georgia is one of the top 10 states	
<ul> <li>So I don't think we can look at the certainly</li> <li>well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that</li> <li>this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in</li> <li>the City of Atlanta's politics.</li> <li>Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor,</li> <li>both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT</li> <li>community?</li> <li>A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</li> </ul>	15	that does not have an anti-discrimination provision in its	
<ul> <li>18 well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that</li> <li>19 this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in</li> <li>20 the City of Atlanta's politics.</li> <li>21 Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor,</li> <li>22 both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT</li> <li>23 community?</li> <li>24 A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</li> </ul>	16	state statute.	
19 this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in 20 the City of Atlanta's politics. 21 Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor, 22 both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT 23 community? 24 A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the	17	So I don't think we can look at the certainly	
20 the City of Atlanta's politics. 21 Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor, 22 both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT 23 community? 24 A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the	18	well-intended boast of a political advocate and conclude that	
21 Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor, 22 both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT 23 community? 24 A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the	19	this is a convincing analysis of the political circumstances in	
<pre>22 both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT 23 community? 24 A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</pre>	20	the City of Atlanta's politics.	
<pre>23 community? 24 A. That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the</pre>	21	Q. Isn't it true that in the most recent runoff for mayor,	
24 <b>A.</b> That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the	22	both candidates were actively seeking the vote of the LGBT	
	23	community?	
25 group is determinative of the outcome or that they have	24	<b>A.</b> That may well be the case. It still doesn't mean that the	
<u></u>	25	group is determinative of the outcome or that they have	

1	particular important input on matters of city policy.
2	Q. Let's look at the sources of political power. I think you
3	identified several.
4	One of them would be money, correct? That's a source
5	of political power in the United States?
6	A. Yes.
7	${f Q}$ . And that's one of the ways to cajole a legislator, is to
8	make campaign contributions to him or her, correct?
9	<b>A.</b> Or threaten to make contributions to his or her potential
10	opponent.
11	Q. Either way, you can get power that way?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And for some groups their biggest political resource is
14	their cash, correct?
15	<b>A.</b> Yes, but I think that that varies a little bit by group.
16	So, for example, things like trade associations. When we think
17	of groups representing groups of corporations, they don't
18	really have voters to mobilize. So money is their contribution
19	to the political system.
20	In other cases votes are actually a much bigger deal.
21	So we can think of some demographic groups who turn out to vote
22	in large numbers, even though they don't have a particularly
23	great amount of resources.
24	So it's an uneven balance, and it just depends on the
25	type of group. It varies from group to group.

Ī	
1	Q. And some groups have a meaningful degree of political
2	power largely because of their financial resources, correct?
3	A. I would I would be willing to agree with that, yeah.
4	Q. In assessing the political power of a group, the size of
5	the group is clearly an important factor, correct?
6	A. Clearly.
7	${f Q}$ . And in terms of other factors that might be as important,
8	one such other factor would be financial resources because they
9	play such a large role in the political system, correct?
10	A. That's correct.
11	${f Q}$ . And the LGBT community and their allies outraised the Yes
12	On 8 groups, correct?
13	<b>A.</b> In nominal dollars donated to the campaign fund tracked by
14	the FPPC, that's correct.
15	Q. They raised approximately 43 million, the No On 8 groups
16	did, is that correct?
17	A. That's my understanding.
18	Q. And the Yes On 8 groups raised approximately 40 million,
19	is that correct?
20	<b>A.</b> In nominal dollars, yes, that's my understanding.
21	Q. All right. And now let's turn to tab 18, which is
22	DIX-1329. It's the 2008 annual report for the human rights
23	campaign.
24	And I would like to direct your attention to page 14.
25	The page numbers appear at the bottom left-hand part of the
25	The page numbers appear at the bottom left-hand part of the

1	page	in microscopic font?
2	А.	Microscopic print.
3	Q.	Tell me when you are there, sir.
4	А.	To the extent I can see it, I am there.
5	Q.	And turning your attention to the right-hand series of
6	numb	ers under "Revenue and Support," it lists total revenue and
7	supp	ort, \$45.97 million for the year 2008; do you see that?
8	А.	Yes.
9	Q.	All right. And that's a lot more than the NAACP raised in
10	2008	, isn't it?
11	Α.	I have no idea.
12		MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, we would move the
13	admission of DIX-1329.	
14		MR. BOUTROUS: No objection, your Honor.
15		THE COURT: 1329 is admitted.
16		(Defendants' Exhibit 1329 received in evidence.)
17	BY M	R. THOMPSON:
18	Q.	Political participation in the form of resource
19	cont	ributions is a luxury item in economic terms, correct?
20	А.	Yes. So if you have scarce resources and you need to
21	allo	cate them across food, rent, health insurance, then
22	poli	tical contributions for most people would rank lower on the
23	list	
24	Q.	Than food?
25	Α.	Than food.

Ī		
1	2. You would want to look at the disposable income of	
2	individuals in a group to know their ability to contribute	
3	financially, correct?	
4	A. That would be one issue you would look at, that's correct.	
5	Q. But you have not undertaken an economic analysis of what	
6	the disposable income available to gays and lesbians is in the	
7	United States, correct?	
8	A. I have not.	
9	<b>Q.</b> You do not have an opinion as to what the median income is	
10	for gay men in the United States, correct?	
11	A. I do not.	
12	<b>Q.</b> And you do not have an opinion as to what the median	
13	income is for lesbians in the United States, correct?	
14	A. No.	
15	<b>Q.</b> And you do not have an opinion on whether gays and	
16	lesbians have less disposable income than heterosexuals,	
17	correct?	
18	A. I don't have an opinion on that.	
19	Q. But one factor that affects the level of disposable income	
20	is the number of dependents in a household, because that	
21	dependents absorb resources, correct?	
22	A. That's true.	
23	<b>Q.</b> And it's true that on average gay male couples are less	
24	likely to have children in their household than heterosexual	
25	couples, correct?	

1 Α. That seems likely. 2 And, in fact, I'd like to direct your attention to tab 19, Q. 3 which is DIX-1162. This is a report authored by Lee Badgett 4 and others, March, 2009. 5 And directing your attention to page six, top of the 6 page, it states: 7 "About half, 48.7, of married couples have children under 18 years old, compared to 8 9 27.3 percent of lesbian couples and 11.3 percent of gay male couples." 10 And you don't have any reason to doubt those numbers, 11 do you? 12 13 I don't know their source, so I can't speak to them in any Α. 14 way. 15 MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, we would move the admission of DIX-1162. 16 17 MR. BOUTROUS: No objection, your Honor. THE COURT: Very well. 1162 is admitted. 18 (Defendants' Exhibit 1162 received in evidence.) 19 BY MR. THOMPSON: 20 But you would agree that the number of gays and lesbians 21 Q. 22 who actually make contributions to political causes is quite 23 high, correct? 24 I would have to ask, quite high with respect to what? So Α. if you are asking as a percentage of the known population of 25

1	the group, while I haven't undertaken an analysis of that, my
2	suspicion would be that that's correct. That among gays and
3	lesbians we could observe, a higher proportion of them would
4	give money to politics, for all the reasons we have already
5	discussed here today, the frequency with which their identity
6	is a source of political contestation.
7	The relative size of the contributions and the
8	relative numbers of individuals giving contributions, I'm less
9	confident about. Because gays are such a small portion of the
10	population, a very small group of people has to make an awful
11	lot of donations.
12	Q. Now, you would agree though that the internet has made it
13	easier for gays and lesbians to mobilize politically, correct?
14	A. I believe that the internet has made it easier for
15	everyone to mobilize politically.
16	Political scientists have seldom observed such a
17	change in political circumstances as we have in the last 20
18	years.
19	Q. But isn't it the internet particularly useful for
20	groups who wish to remain invisible?
21	A. I would think that it certainly makes life a little bit
22	simpler for them, that's true.
23	Q. Now, with the \$43 million that the No On 8 groups were
24	able to raise, did they spend a lot of that on TV ads?
25	A. I actually don't know the internal workings on the No

1	On 8 campaign. My own perusal of the television suggests that			
2	they spent a fair amount of money on television, yes.			
3	${f Q}$ . And they were able to get their message out to the voters,			
4	to get the voters' attention; is that fair to say?			
5	A. They were able to get a message out. The reason I'm a			
6	little bit caution here is that campaign activities take place			
7	in a variety of different contexts. So some of them are an			
8	advertising. Some of them are precinct walking. Some of them			
9	are get-out-to-vote efforts immediately before and on election			
10	day. Some of them are absentee ballot efforts.			
11	So when I look at the total amount of effort put			
12	forward, it's much harder to say whether or not the campaign			
13	feels it was conducted effectively or whether they would do			
14	things differently or whatever.			
15	I think it is fair to say that many Californians saw			
16	a commercial on the subject of Prop 8 from the No campaign.			
17	Q. Now, let's turn our attention to access.			
18	You would agree that it's a good thing for a group in			
19	terms of its political power if it has regular access to			
20	important political figures, correct?			
21	A. That would depend on a definition of "access," which I			
22	believe we have to be careful in defining.			
23	So "access" implies the meaningful opportunity to			
24	strongly signal to a decision maker what your preferences are			
25	and to have that decision maker responded to that cue.			
-				

1	Nevertheless, certainly meeting with an elected	
2	official is better for the group than not meeting with the	
3	elected official.	
4	Q. Access to federal officeholders is the most valuable favor	
5	that a party is able to give in exchange for large donations,	
6	correct?	
7	A. Hmm, I'm inclined to agree with that, but I'm trying to	
8	think if there are other things within the law boundaries of	
9	the law that a party could agree to provide in exchange for a	
10	contribution. So, it seems reasonable.	
11	Q. All right. And access in itself shows that in a general	
12	sense an officeholder favors someone or that someone has	
13	influence on the officeholder, correct?	
14	MR. BOUTROUS: Objection, your Honor. Compound	
15	question.	
16	MR. THOMPSON: All right. I'll break it apart.	
17	BY MR. THOMPSON:	
18	Q. Access in itself shows that in a general sense an	
19	officeholder favors someone, correct?	
20	A. No.	
21	Q. Or, access shows in a general sense that someone has	
22	influence on the officeholder, correct?	
23	A. I'm going to say no again. And the reason I'm resistant	
24	to both of those things is that there's a fine tradition in	
25	both Washington and Sacramento of providing access to both	

1	sides in order to accept their contributions, et cetera.
2	So it's not clear that just access alone suggests
3	that the person favors your viewpoint.
4	Q. You can't identify a single issue on which the leaders of
5	the LGBT community have been unable to get a hearing before
6	Nancy Pelosi on, correct, during her speakership?
7	A. Of course, I don't know the private communications in
8	Speaker Pelosi's office, so as a factual matter I don't know
9	the answer to the question.
10	If you are asking me do I believe that gays and
11	lesbians are able to meet with Speaker Pelosi, I would assume
12	that that is so. She's a Democratic representative
13	representing the City and County of San Francisco, so it would
14	seem unlikely that she would refuse to meet them.
15	And she has been vocally supportive of a number of
16	gay issues, though. She is now she is resisting bringing
17	some things to a vote, but I think that that's a that's what
18	I know about that.
19	Q. All right. Now, I would like to direct your attention to
20	tab 20 in your binder.
21	A. Okay.
22	Q. And do you have a chart before you?
23	A. I do.
24	Q. And you spoke in terms of political power, that one thing
25	you need to assess is the feeling that the general public has

1	towa	rds a group, correct?
2	А.	Correct.
3	Q.	And you made reference to a feeling thermometer, correct?
4	А.	That's correct.
5	Q.	And if we look at this chart, we can see that in the sixth
6	colu	mn it says "GL Mean Temp." And that's the temperature for
7	the	gay and lesbian community, correct?
8	А.	That's correct.
9	Q.	And in 1984 it stood at 30, is that right?
10	А.	Because I can't see the wording of the questions, I don't
11	know	for sure that it's always the same question. But if you
12	represent to me that it is, then, yes, it appears that the mean	
13	ther	mometer score was 30 in 1984.
14	Q.	And today it's at 49.4, correct?
15	А.	That's correct.
16	Q.	And so that we see that there's been a consistent trend in
17	term	s of a more favorable, more warm feeling towards gays and
18	lesb	ians in the United States over the last 25 years, correct?
19	А.	Correct, with a footnote; and that is that there is a
20	poss	ibility of a secular trend in the feeling thermometers of
21	all	respondents on all groups. So I would want to net that
22	out.	Because I don't have all that data at my hands, I
23	can'	t I can't do it out of thin air.
24		But there could be a secular trend in favor of
25	warm	ness; but it is the case that those numbers have gone up,

1	yes.
2	THE COURT: Is that in the form of global warming?
3	(Laughter.)
4	THE WITNESS: Among the electorate, your Honor, yes.
5	We like everybody better now.
6	BY MR. THOMPSON:
7	Q. Now, let's talk about allies. You referenced the
8	importance of allies during your direct testimony, correct?
9	A. I did.
10	Q. And you would agree that allies can be a source of
11	political power, correct?
12	A. Umm, yes, with constraints. So not every ally is in the
13	position to provide the assistance of the group needs at a
14	particular time. So it's going to be particular to the area of
15	contestation.
16	For example, a state legislative ally can't help you
17	in Congress, as a simple example.
18	And, then, the second would be that some allies are
19	more reliable than others, as I have indicated.
20	Q. And, in your opinion, an ally is a group or individual who
21	is repeatedly embracing the gay position from the perspective
22	of gays and lesbians, correct?
23	A. Umm, I would go a step further and say that an ally is an
24	individual or group who are willing to expend political capital
25	on behalf of that position, not merely embrace it.

1	Q. And I would like to actually I think this will be the
2	only time I make you do this go back in your binder, for
3	which I apologize, but back to tab 14.
4	And this is a book entitled Gays And Lesbians In the
5	Democratic Process. And you contributed a chapter to this
6	book, is that right?
7	A. I did.
8	Q. And we can see it on the third page, behind the tab, your
9	chapter is called "Institutions Matter, Local Electoral Laws,
10	Gay and Lesbian Representation and Coalition Building Across
11	Minority Communities, " correct?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. And I would like to direct your attention to page 236.
14	A. Okay.
15	Q. And the last paragraph on the page starts:
16	"The value of coalition building is clearly
17	not lost on the gay and lesbian leadership,
18	who have worked for years to build
19	partnerships with racial and ethnic groups,
20	friendly religious groups, such as Jews,
21	organized labor, and other organized
22	interests."
23	And that's a true statement, correct?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay. Now, I would like to direct your attention to tab

	1	6	8	8
--	---	---	---	---

1	21 in your binder, which is DIX-1331
2	A. I'm sorry. Tab number again?
3	Q. Tab 21.
4	A. Thank you.
5	Q. It's DIX-1331, which is the Human Rights Campaign Annual
6	Report for 2009.
7	A. I'm there.
8	Q. And it starts on the second page of this document in all
9	caps:
10	"FINALLY, with strong allies in the White
11	House and Capitol Hill and across the
12	country."
13	Would you agree that the Obama administration is more
14	favorable to the political interests of gays and lesbians than
15	the Bush administration was?
16	A. So that that was a nice little switch.
17	So I would agree, yes, that Obama is more favorable
18	than Bush, though I think the degree of difference is far
19	smaller than most progressive voters anticipated.
20	I would not agree with the capitalized notion that
21	finally there are strong allies, et cetera.
22	Q. Let's turn to page five of this document, and to the first
23	bullet point which says:
24	"The President launched a national Aids
25	strategy and set key goals to lower the

1	
1	number of new HIV infections, increase the
2	number of people receiving care and reduce
3	racial disparities."
4	And that's evidence that President Obama is an ally
5	of the LGBT community, correct?
6	A. It strikes me that that is not a particularly persuasive
7	point, because for a number of reasons.
8	The first is that an equally plausible explanation is
9	that the president is an ally of public health.
10	Second, and this is going to sound strange, but
11	actually HIV prevention, particularly in the lesser developed
12	world, was an area of strength for President Bush. In fact,
13	it's one of the more laudable aspects of his administration
14	that groups both gay and straight gave him substantial credit
15	for.
16	So I don't I'm not able to evaluate the Bush
17	administration's anti-HIV strategy vis-a-vis the Obama's, but
18	it's not clear on its face that this is necessarily a big step
19	on behalf of gays.
20	Q. Do you know what this is talking about? Are you familiar
21	with the initiatives and strategies that are referenced here?
22	A. The specifics of them, no, I'm not.
23	<b>Q.</b> Okay. And now let's turn to the second bullet point:
24	"We are on our way to eliminating the HIV
25	travel ban. To get here HRC lobbied Congress

1689

SECONA = ONOSS EXAMINATION / INOMISON	SEGURA -	CROSS	EXAMINATION.	/ THOMPSON
---------------------------------------	----------	-------	--------------	------------

as
35
35
зs
35
35
аѕ
as
е
to

1	pressure on this issue prior to the proposed regulation change,
2	which did, in fact, go into effect.
3	Q. And turning to the next bullet point, it says:
4	"We advocated for the administration to ban
5	discrimination on the basis of gender
6	identity in the nation's largest work force,
7	the federal government, and they did."
8	And that's something that the LGBT community has
9	sought, correct?
10	A. It is something that they sought.
11	Q. And it's evidence of the political power of the LGBT
12	community, correct?
13	A. It is certainly one outcome that would weigh positively.
14	My understanding was that this was done in the form of a
15	presidential directive, so I'm not sure of the legislative
16	vibrancy of this, if it will survive this administration; but
17	it's certainly a positive consideration.
18	MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, would it be possible to
19	take a short break?
20	THE COURT: You mention lunch and breaks and so
21	forth, Mr. Thompson. I think we are all grateful for your
22	suggestions.
23	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Why don't we take 10 minutes? Is that
25	enough?
	I

Г	
1	MR. THOMPSON: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.
2	(Whereupon there was a recess in the proceedings
3	from 3:10 p.m. until 3:25 p.m.)
4	THE COURT: Very well, Mr. Thompson. I trust the
5	break helped shorten your cross-examination.
6	MR. THOMPSON: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
7	Now, we would like to move the admission of DIX-1331,
8	which was the document we were just discussing, the Human
9	Rights Campaign Annual Report.
10	MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, I just I want to
11	object. I'm a little concerned that at some point proponents
12	are going to cite these documents as somehow stating truthful
13	facts. They haven't called witnesses on these issues,
14	statements in these documents. They are opinion. They are
15	hearsay.
16	I don't think we have an objection to judicial notice
17	so they are available to the Court to refer to, but I do object
18	to this type of document going into substantive evidence.
19	THE COURT: I understand your position. The witness
20	is being asked about these documents.
21	I think in fairness for all parties and the
22	completeness of the record, it's appropriate to admit these.
23	The testimony is what it is. And I realize that
24	these statements are not do not necessarily establish the
25	truth of the content, but they certainly provide a basis for of

1 the witness's testimony and the witness's cross-examination by 2 Mr. Thompson, so I think it's appropriate. 3 And however they are characterized, as either 4 admitted or judicial notice, I think is essentially immaterial. 5 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, your Honor. 6 (Defendants' Exhibit 1331 received in evidence) 7 BY MR. THOMPSON: 8 Q. So we would like to, with the Court's permission, play a 9 short clip from a speech from President Obama. I would like 10 you to watch this clip and react. 11 THE COURT: Well, this is the 20th of January, isn't
And however they are characterized, as either admitted or judicial notice, I think is essentially immaterial. MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, your Honor. (Defendants' Exhibit 1331 received in evidence) BY MR. THOMPSON: Q. So we would like to, with the Court's permission, play a short clip from a speech from President Obama. I would like you to watch this clip and react.
<pre>4 admitted or judicial notice, I think is essentially immaterial. 5 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, your Honor. 6 (Defendants' Exhibit 1331 received in evidence) 7 BY MR. THOMPSON: 8 Q. So we would like to, with the Court's permission, play a 9 short clip from a speech from President Obama. I would like 10 you to watch this clip and react.</pre>
<ul> <li>5 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, your Honor.</li> <li>6 (Defendants' Exhibit 1331 received in evidence)</li> <li>7 BY MR. THOMPSON:</li> <li>8 Q. So we would like to, with the Court's permission, play a</li> <li>9 short clip from a speech from President Obama. I would like</li> <li>10 you to watch this clip and react.</li> </ul>
<pre>6 (Defendants' Exhibit 1331 received in evidence) 7 BY MR. THOMPSON: 8 Q. So we would like to, with the Court's permission, play a 9 short clip from a speech from President Obama. I would like 10 you to watch this clip and react.</pre>
7 BY MR. THOMPSON: 8 Q. So we would like to, with the Court's permission, play a 9 short clip from a speech from President Obama. I would like 10 you to watch this clip and react.
8 Q. So we would like to, with the Court's permission, play a 9 short clip from a speech from President Obama. I would like 10 you to watch this clip and react.
9 short clip from a speech from President Obama. I would like 10 you to watch this clip and react.
10 you to watch this clip and react.
11 <b>THE COURT:</b> Well, this is the 20th of January, isn't
12 it?
13 (Videotape played in open court.)
14 BY MR. THOMPSON:
15 Q. Using your definition of a political ally, does President
16 Obama, does he count as a political ally to the gay and lesbiar
17 community?
18 <b>A.</b> Given my concerns about the unreliability of allies in the
19 illustrations I have used, I think President Obama is, perhaps,
20 the best illustration of an ally who cannot be counted upon, an
21 ally whose rhetoric far exceeds his actions.
22 Surely, you would agree that there's a difference
23 between giving a nice speech and actually accomplishing some
24 sort of policy change.
25 Q. You believe, in fact, that President Obama is, at best,

_	-
1	lukewarm and maybe even indifferent to gay rights, correct?
2	A. I believe that he has some significant reservations about
3	the issue, particularly on same-sex marriage. He articulated
4	repeatedly during the 2008 campaign that he was not in favor of
5	same-sex marriage.
6	Since his inauguration into office, there has been no
7	administrative action on suspending discharges under "Don't
8	ask, Don't tell."
9	His words notwithstanding, the Employment
10	Nondiscrimination Act is not on its way to final passage.
11	He has his administration has defended the Defense
12	of Marriage Act in court and continues to do so in multiple
13	lawsuits.
14	When the Prop 8 sister resolution emerged in the
15	State of Maine, he Organizing For America, which is the
16	remnants of his campaign from a year ago, sent emails into
17	Maine asking for them to make calls into the New Jersey and
18	Virginia gubernatorial races, but without a single mention of
19	the same-sex ballot initiative that was on the ballot at the
20	very same time. The same was true for the Washington state
21	initiative.
22	So, in fact, most gay activists with whose work I am
23	familiar and the leadership of most gay organizations, with the
24	possible exception of the Human Rights Campaign notably, feel
<u> </u>	

25 that President Obama has been particularly disappointing as an

T	
1	erstwhile ally.
2	Q. Now, he did sign the hate crimes legislation, correct?
3	A. He did sign the Defense Authorization Act on which hate
4	crimes was an amendment, yes.
5	Q. And then he had a signing ceremony in the rose garden,
6	correct?
7	A. He did.
8	Q. And in determining whether President Obama was an ally of
9	gays and lesbians, one thing you would look to is whether he
10	has spoken publicly about the adverse treatment of gays and
11	lesbians in society, correct?
12	A. That's correct. And on that dimension, President Obama is
13	a very good speechmaker.
14	Q. And another factor you would consider in assessing whether
15	President Obama was an ally of gays and lesbians is whether he
16	had introduced legislation on behalf of LGBT political goals,
17	correct?
18	<b>A.</b> Well, strictly speaking, of course, the administration
19	doesn't introduce legislation; but, yes, if his administration
20	was working with an author on the Hill to submit a piece of
21	legislation that he pledges upfront to sign, that would be a
22	positive factor to consider.
23	Q. And he has pledged to sign the Employment
24	Nondiscrimination Act, correct?
25	A. He has. I heard the video.

1	Q. And that's passed the House of Representatives, correct?					
2	A. It has.					
3	Q. Now, using your definition of ally, Senator Feinstein is					
4	only a soft ally of gays and lesbians, correct?					
5	A. I think given her the record over the course of her					
6	political career, that's the way I would describe it.					
7	<b>Q.</b> And in considering whether gays and lesbians have reliable					
8	allies, you would define a reliable ally as one who, when faced					
9	with political threat, when faced with alternative agenda					
10	items, is willing to set side alternative items and sale into					
11	stiff winds in order to act on behalf of gays and lesbians,					
12	correct?					
13	A. I think that that would be one aspect I would want to					
14	consider.					
15	For example, as the opinion polls in support of the					
16	Democratic administration have waned over the course of the					
17	last 12 months, the speaker who, as we recall from the					
18	earlier question represents the City and County of					
19	San Francisco the speaker has indicated that particularly					
20	controversial social matters, including gay rights issues, that					
21	the House of Representatives would not take them up until the					
22	Senate acted first. That's an example of not wanting to sale					
23	into stiff winds.					
24	Another example might be, for example, the setting					
25	aside of any question of the "Don't ask, Don't tell" or Defense					

ī						
1	of Marriage Act issues until after the economy or healthcare is					
2	dealt with, et cetera.					
3	So one of the tropes that people would use to not					
4	enact protections for gays and lesbians is that there are other					
5	priorities or to do so would endanger our coalition behind Bill					
6	X or Bill Y.					
7	And so this is a fairly constant refrain, that gay					
8	and lesbian advocacy leaders hear when they are asking for					
9	legislation to be moved forward.					
10	Q. Now, using your definition of "reliable political ally,"					
11	you are not even sure the ACLU would qualify as a reliable					
12	ally, correct?					
13	<b>A.</b> Well, I'm actually willing to concede that the ACLU is a					
14	pretty reliable ally, because sailing into stiff winds appears					
15	to be what they are willing to do.					
16	That's not to say that they have never shrank from an					
17	issue, they may have. But I think they are probably more					
18	reliable than most other groups.					
19	Q. But when I asked you during your deposition and I would					
20	like to direct your attention to, I believe, it's tab three of					
21	your binder and page 88.					
22	We were talking about the definition of reliability					
23	on 88, line six. And then towards end of 88 you mention that					
24	there may be some allies who are willing to pay costs to					
25	support gay and lesbian causes.					

1						
1	And then when we go to 89, I said:					
2	"QUESTION: Well, now, you said very few.					
3	"ANSWER: Well, I said there could be					
4	individuals in my answer.					
5	"QUESTION: Okay.					
6	"ANSWER: But in terms of large organized					
7	forces in the society, maybe the American					
8	Civil Liberties Union, I don't know. I mean,					
9	I'm struggling. I'm sure if I spent all day,					
10	I could probably think of an ally or two."					
11	And you gave that testimony, correct?					
12	A. I did, which I believe is consistent with what I just					
13	said; that the ACLU is probably an ally, yeah.					
14	Q. Now, you don't have sufficient information to know whether					
15	Speaker Pelosi meets your definition of a reliable ally,					
16	correct?					
17	A. I don't have maybe all the pertinent information. I would					
18	see her as more likely than not an ally, but her level of					
19	reliability I would condition by her responsibility and desire					
20	to protect the Democratic majority and, perhaps, put off					
21	controversial votes.					
22	Q. And that could be a sound strategy even from the					
23	perspective of the LGBT community, correct?					
24	A. Well, that depends. It could be a sound strategy if it					
25	creates the opportunity for the majority to live to fight					

-						
1	another day.					
2	Alternatively, it could be and I think there is					
3	some evidence to suggest that by delaying certain priorities					
4	of court constituencies or groups that you have a long-term					
5	representational relationship with, that, in fact, on the claim					
6	that you do so to live to fight another day, that when the next					
7	day comes, you are no longer there and so the the					
8	legislative priority was sacrificed for no gain.					
9	So I think it remains an open question, whether this					
10	is a sound strategy or not.					
11	Q. All right. Now, let's talk about the importance of the					
12	media.					
13	The media can be important in terms of figuring out					
14	the political power of a group, correct? It's relationship to					
15	the media?					
16	A. You would have to be a little bit more specific, I'm					
17	afraid, to which media you are speaking.					
18	Q. Well, television could be important to the way in which					
19	a group is portrayed on television could be important?					
20	A. Entertainment television or news?					
21	Q. News.					
22	A. Television news?					
23	Q. Yes.					
24	A. Yes. I would say that TV news might be relevant.					
25	Q. Okay. And one of the materials you deemed relevant in					

-						
1	this case is a book by John Zaller entitled The Nature and					
2	Origins of Mass Opinion, correct?					
3	A. That's correct.					
4	${f Q}$ . And I would like to direct your attention to tab 23, which					
5	has the first few chapters of that book, which is DIX-296.					
6	And the thesis of Mr. Zaller is that public opinion					
7	responds more directly to lead cues than bubbling up from the					
8	masses, correct?					
9	A. That's a fair version, yeah.					
10	Q. And you would agree that he is right in the general sense					
11	that the stories that the media covers raises the salience of					
12	an issue, correct?					
13	A. Within constraints, yes. There are some issues that,					
14	whether the media covers or not, remain present; and then there					
15	are some issues that the media might devote a lot of attention					
16	to, and even political leads might cure a great deal that the					
17	public never buys on to. So it's certainly not a perfect					
18	relationship.					
19	But, in general, if the media covers a story more					
20	frequently and with greater intensity, the public is likely to					
21	respond by thinking it more important.					
22	MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, we move the admission of					
23	DIX-296.					
24	MR. BOUTROUS: No objection, your Honor.					
25	THE COURT: Very well. DIX-296 is admitted.					
1						

1(Defendants' Exhibit 296 received in evidence.)2MR. THOMPSON: Excellent.3BY MR. THOMPSON:4Q. And turning to the next tab, Professor. This is an5article entitled Minority Group Interests and Political6Representation - Gay Elected Officials in the Policy Process.7And it's DIX-1102.8And this was an article you considered?9A. I'm sorry. I my next tab is a 2005 report by the HRC.10Q. Okay. Let me and if the binder is missing that11information, we can provide that later.12A. Okay. So you are under tab A.13Q. Yes, sir.14A. Okay. I'm with you.15Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your16opinions in this case, correct?17A. One of several, yes.18Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to19page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here:20"Most important, gay political representation21significantly influences the adoption of22domestic partner benefits. However, unlike23the registration model, it is not the most24influential factor. Instead, elite support25has the greatest influence."	T						
<ul> <li>BY MR. THOMPSON:</li> <li>Q. And turning to the next tab, Professor. This is an article entitled Minority Group Interests and Political</li> <li>Representation - Gay Elected Officials in the Policy Process.</li> <li>And it's DIX-1102.</li> <li>And this was an article you considered?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. I my next tab is a 2005 report by the HRC.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me and if the binder is missing that</li> <li>information, we can provide that later.</li> <li>A. Okay. So you are under tab A.</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. Okay. I'm with you.</li> <li>Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your</li> <li>opinions in this case, correct?</li> <li>A. One of several, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to</li> <li>page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here:</li> <li>"Most important, gay political representation</li> <li>significantly influences the adoption of</li> <li>domestic partner benefits. However, unlike</li> <li>the registration model, it is not the most</li> <li>influential factor. Instead, elite support</li> </ul>	1	(Defendants' Exhibit 296 received in evidence.)					
<ul> <li>Q. And turning to the next tab, Professor. This is an article entitled Minority Group Interests and Political Representation - Gay Elected Officials in the Policy Process.</li> <li>And it's DIX-1102.</li> <li>And this was an article you considered?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. I my next tab is a 2005 report by the HRC.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me and if the binder is missing that</li> <li>information, we can provide that later.</li> <li>A. Okay. So you are under tab A.</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. Okay. I'm with you.</li> <li>Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your opinions in this case, correct?</li> <li>A. One of several, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here:</li> <li>"Most important, gay political representation</li> <li>significantly influences the adoption of domestic partner benefits. However, unlike the registration model, it is not the most influential factor. Instead, elite support</li> </ul>	2	MR. THOMPSON: Excellent.					
<ul> <li>article entitled Minority Group Interests and Political</li> <li>Representation - Gay Elected Officials in the Policy Process.</li> <li>And it's DIX-1102.</li> <li>And this was an article you considered?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. I my next tab is a 2005 report by the HRC.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me and if the binder is missing that</li> <li>information, we can provide that later.</li> <li>A. Okay. So you are under tab A.</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. Okay. I'm with you.</li> <li>Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your</li> <li>opinions in this case, correct?</li> <li>A. One of several, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to</li> <li>page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here:</li> <li>"Most important, gay political representation</li> <li>significantly influences the adoption of</li> <li>domestic partner benefits. However, unlike</li> <li>the registration model, it is not the most</li> <li>influential factor. Instead, elite support</li> </ul>	3	BY MR. THOMPSON:					
<ul> <li>Representation - Gay Elected Officials in the Policy Process.</li> <li>And it's DIX-1102.</li> <li>And this was an article you considered?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. I my next tab is a 2005 report by the HRC.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me and if the binder is missing that</li> <li>information, we can provide that later.</li> <li>A. Okay. So you are under tab A.</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. Okay. I'm with you.</li> <li>Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your</li> <li>opinions in this case, correct?</li> <li>A. One of several, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to</li> <li>page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here:</li> <li>"Most important, gay political representation</li> <li>significantly influences the adoption of</li> <li>domestic partner benefits. However, unlike</li> <li>the registration model, it is not the most</li> <li>influential factor. Instead, elite support</li> </ul>	4	Q. And turning to the next tab, Professor. This is an					
<ul> <li>And it's DIX-1102.</li> <li>And this was an article you considered?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. I my next tab is a 2005 report by the HRC.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me and if the binder is missing that</li> <li>information, we can provide that later.</li> <li>A. Okay. So you are under tab A.</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. Okay. I'm with you.</li> <li>Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your</li> <li>opinions in this case, correct?</li> <li>A. One of several, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to</li> <li>page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here:</li> <li>"Most important, gay political representation</li> <li>significantly influences the adoption of</li> <li>domestic partner benefits. However, unlike</li> <li>the registration model, it is not the most</li> <li>influential factor. Instead, elite support</li> </ul>	5	article entitled Minority Group Interests and Political					
8And this was an article you considered?9A. I'm sorry. I my next tab is a 2005 report by the HRC.10Q. Okay. Let me and if the binder is missing that11information, we can provide that later.12A. Okay. So you are under tab A.13Q. Yes, sir.14A. Okay. I'm with you.15Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your16opinions in this case, correct?17A. One of several, yes.18Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to19page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here:20"Most important, gay political representation21significantly influences the adoption of22domestic partner benefits. However, unlike23influential factor. Instead, elite support	6	Representation - Gay Elected Officials in the Policy Process.					
<ul> <li>A. I'm sorry. I my next tab is a 2005 report by the HRC.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Let me and if the binder is missing that</li> <li>information, we can provide that later.</li> <li>A. Okay. So you are under tab A.</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. Okay. I'm with you.</li> <li>Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your</li> <li>opinions in this case, correct?</li> <li>A. One of several, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to</li> <li>page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here:</li> <li>"Most important, gay political representation</li> <li>significantly influences the adoption of</li> <li>domestic partner benefits. However, unlike</li> <li>the registration model, it is not the most</li> <li>influential factor. Instead, elite support</li> </ul>	7	And it's DIX-1102.					
<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Let me and if the binder is missing that</li> <li>information, we can provide that later.</li> <li>A. Okay. So you are under tab A.</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. Okay. I'm with you.</li> <li>Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your</li> <li>opinions in this case, correct?</li> <li>A. One of several, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to</li> <li>page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here:</li> <li>"Most important, gay political representation</li> <li>significantly influences the adoption of</li> <li>domestic partner benefits. However, unlike</li> <li>the registration model, it is not the most</li> <li>influential factor. Instead, elite support</li> </ul>	8	And this was an article you considered?					
11 information, we can provide that later. 12 A. Okay. So you are under tab A. 13 Q. Yes, sir. 14 A. Okay. I'm with you. 15 Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your 16 opinions in this case, correct? 17 A. One of several, yes. 18 Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to 19 page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here: 20 "Most important, gay political representation 21 significantly influences the adoption of 22 domestic partner benefits. However, unlike 23 influential factor. Instead, elite support	9	A. I'm sorry. I my next tab is a 2005 report by the HRC.					
<ul> <li>A. Okay. So you are under tab A.</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. Okay. I'm with you.</li> <li>Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your</li> <li>opinions in this case, correct?</li> <li>A. One of several, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to</li> <li>page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here:</li> <li>"Most important, gay political representation</li> <li>significantly influences the adoption of</li> <li>domestic partner benefits. However, unlike</li> <li>the registration model, it is not the most</li> <li>influential factor. Instead, elite support</li> </ul>	10	Q. Okay. Let me and if the binder is missing that					
13 Q. Yes, sir. 14 A. Okay. I'm with you. 15 Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your 16 opinions in this case, correct? 17 A. One of several, yes. 20 All right. And I would like to direct your attention to 19 page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here: 20 "Most important, gay political representation 21 significantly influences the adoption of 22 domestic partner benefits. However, unlike 23 the registration model, it is not the most 24 influential factor. Instead, elite support	11	information, we can provide that later.					
<ul> <li>A. Okay. I'm with you.</li> <li>Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your</li> <li>opinions in this case, correct?</li> <li>A. One of several, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to</li> <li>page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here:</li> <li>"Most important, gay political representation</li> <li>significantly influences the adoption of</li> <li>domestic partner benefits. However, unlike</li> <li>the registration model, it is not the most</li> <li>influential factor. Instead, elite support</li> </ul>	12	A. Okay. So you are under tab A.					
15 Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your opinions in this case, correct? 17 A. One of several, yes. Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here: 20 "Most important, gay political representation significantly influences the adoption of domestic partner benefits. However, unlike the registration model, it is not the most influential factor. Instead, elite support	13	Q. Yes, sir.					
<pre>16 opinions in this case, correct? 17 A. One of several, yes. 18 Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to 19 page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here: 20 "Most important, gay political representation 21 significantly influences the adoption of 22 domestic partner benefits. However, unlike 23 the registration model, it is not the most 24 influential factor. Instead, elite support</pre>	14	A. Okay. I'm with you.					
A. One of several, yes. Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here: "Most important, gay political representation significantly influences the adoption of domestic partner benefits. However, unlike the registration model, it is not the most influential factor. Instead, elite support	15	Q. And this is an article you considered in reaching your					
18 Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to 19 page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here: 20 "Most important, gay political representation 21 significantly influences the adoption of 22 domestic partner benefits. However, unlike 23 the registration model, it is not the most 24 influential factor. Instead, elite support	16	opinions in this case, correct?					
19 page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here: 20 "Most important, gay political representation 21 significantly influences the adoption of 22 domestic partner benefits. However, unlike 23 the registration model, it is not the most 24 influential factor. Instead, elite support	17	A. One of several, yes.					
20 "Most important, gay political representation 21 significantly influences the adoption of 22 domestic partner benefits. However, unlike 23 the registration model, it is not the most 24 influential factor. Instead, elite support	18	Q. All right. And I would like to direct your attention to					
21 significantly influences the adoption of 22 domestic partner benefits. However, unlike 23 the registration model, it is not the most 24 influential factor. Instead, elite support	19	page 575, the second paragraph. And the article states here:					
22 domestic partner benefits. However, unlike 23 the registration model, it is not the most 24 influential factor. Instead, elite support	20	"Most important, gay political representation					
<ul> <li>the registration model, it is not the most</li> <li>influential factor. Instead, elite support</li> </ul>	21	significantly influences the adoption of					
24 influential factor. Instead, elite support	22	domestic partner benefits. However, unlike					
	23	the registration model, it is not the most					
25 has the greatest influence."	24	influential factor. Instead, elite support					
	25	has the greatest influence."					

T						
1	Is that consistent with the point Zaller is making?					
2	A. It would be consistent, but unless I look at the results					
3	directly, I'm going to have a tough time giving you a sort of					
4	specific response to the claim.					
5	MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, we would move the					
б	admission of DIX-1102.					
7	MR. BOUTROUS: No objection, your Honor.					
8	Though I will make a standing objection concerning					
9	the hearsay nature of these documents, but I will not make it					
10	again.					
11	THE COURT: Very well. 1102 is admitted.					
12	(Defendants' Exhibit 1102 received in evidence.)					
13	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, your Honor.					
14	BY MR. THOMPSON:					
15	Q. Now, Professor, you would agree that the frequency of					
16	media coverage has increased in recent years for issues					
17	relating to gays and lesbians, correct?					
18	A. I would. I'm still grappling with your last question.					
19	But, yes, I would agree that media coverage is higher.					
20	${f Q}$ . And, therefore, the salience or the visibility of the gay					
21	and lesbian community, at least on that dimension, has					
22	increased, correct?					
23	A. That's probably true, yes.					
24	${f Q}$ . And I would like to direct your attention to tab 24. This					
25	is the Human Rights Campaign 2005 Annual Report. It's					

•				
1	DIX-1327.			
2	And I would like to direct your attention to page 19.			
3	And the pagination appears in the upper right-hand corner of			
4	the even pages. We did not paginate it.			
5	A. I see.			
6	Q. Are you there, sir?			
7	A. I'm with you.			
8	Q. In the second paragraph on page 19 it states.			
9	"In 2005 our message of fairness has reached			
10	90 percent of Americans with a quote in at			
11	least one newspaper every day."			
12	And that would be an indication that the gay and			
13	lesbian community is able to get its message out through the			
14	media on a regular basis, correct?			
15	<b>A.</b> It would be a claim that the gay and lesbian community can			
16	get its message out on a regular basis.			
17	Q. Do you have any basis to dispute that claim?			
18	A. Oh, yes.			
19	(Laughter.)			
20	${f Q}$ . You don't think that they are getting a quote in the paper			
21	once a day?			
22	<b>A.</b> I believe they are getting a quote in the paper once a			
23	day.			
24	Q. Okay. That's what they claim here.			
25	A. Well, no. Their claim is that 90 percent of Americans			

1	have seen the quote.					
2	Q. It has reached 90 percent of Americans now?					
3	A. Newspaper readership in the United States is significantly					
4	below 50 percent of the population.					
5	So newspaper media is certainly and, certainly,					
6	news stories about gays and lesbians is not likely to yield a					
7	90 percent contact rate.					
8	Now, does that mean that newspapers serving					
9	localities that have 90 percent of the population have					
10	published at least one quote about gays and lesbians?					
11	Absolutely.					
12	But does it mean that 90 percent of Americans have					
13	been reached with the HRC message? I think we have political					
14	advocates again advertising their importance.					
15	MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, we would move the					
16	admission of DIX-1327.					
17	THE COURT: Very well. 1327 is admitted.					
18	(Defendants' Exhibit 1327 received in evidence.)					
19	BY MR. THOMPSON:					
20	Q. And during the year and a half that you have lived in this					
21	area, you can't recall any editorial from the San Francisco					
22	Chronicle that was hostile to the interests of gays and					
23	lesbians, correct?					
24	A. I do not read the Chronicle every day, but I would find it					
25	unlikely.					

1	Q. But you do read the New York Times, correct?						
2	<b>A.</b> Again, not every day, but I read it fairly frequently,						
3	yes.						
4	Q. And in the last 10 years you don't recall any instance in						
5	which the New York Times took a hostile position to the						
6	interests of gays and lesbians, correct?						
7	A. I don't recall one.						
8	Q. You do recall editorials in the New York Times advocating						
9	for the dissolution of "Don't ask, Don't tell," correct?						
10	A. I do.						
11	Q. And advocating for the Employment Nondiscrimination Act,						
12	correct?						
13	A. Yes.						
14	<b>Q.</b> And I would like to direct your attention to the next tab,						
15	which is DIX-1323. And it's the Human Rights Campaign Annual						
16	Report for the year ended March 31, 2000.						
17	And turning your attention to page three, which is						
18	the fifth page behind the tab, the third paragraph from the						
19	bottom, the second sentence states:						
20	"Reporters and editorial boards view our						
21	advocacy as common sense rather than special						
22	interest."						
23	Is that a true statement that editorial boards view						
24	the positions of the gay and lesbian political community as						
25	common sense?						

1 It's a blanket statement. And like most academics, I am Α. deeply uncomfortable with blanket statement. 2 3 I would be willing to represent that it would be my 4 belief, my belief in the absence of analysis, that the majority 5 of editorial boards, with some regional variation accounted 6 for, probably tend to favor some protections for gays and 7 lesbians. I don't believe that that's uniform across the issue. 8 9 So you would have more editorial boards, for example, favoring a hate crimes law than a nondiscrimination law, and more 10 favoring a nondiscrimination law than same-sex marriage, for 11 12 example. 13 I also think that there would be dramatic variation by region. So there would be parts of the country where this 14 15 would clearly not be true. So I quess I'm -- I'm at a loss for information to 16 17 evaluate this, but it strikes me as particularly overbroad. 18 MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, we would move of the admission of DIX-1323. 19 THE COURT: Very well. 1323 is admitted. 20 (Defendants' Exhibit 1323 received in evidence) 21 22 THE COURT: And remind me, Mr. Thompson, what page 23 were you looking at? 24 MR. THOMPSON: I was looking at page three, your 25 Honor.

1	THE COURT: Page?					
2	MR. THOMPSON: Page three. We have Xeroxed the					
3	cover, so it's actually the fifth page in the exhibit.					
4	THE COURT: But it's marked page three?					
5	MR. THOMPSON: Yes, your Honor.					
6	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.					
7	MR. THOMPSON: Certainly.					
8	BY MR. THOMPSON:					
9	Q. And now directing your attention, Professor Segura, to tab					
10	26.					
11	This is an editorial from the New York Times dated					
12	September 29, 2008, and it's entitled "Preserving California's					
13	Constitution."					
14	And in the third paragraph it and it's addressing					
15	Proposition 8.					
16	And in the third paragraph the third sentence says:					
17	"It is our fervent hope that Californians					
18	will reject this mean-spirited attempt to					
19	embed second-class treatment of one group of					
20	citizens in the state constitution."					
21	Is it fair to say that the New York Times					
22	emphatically supports the rights of gays and lesbians to marry?					
23	<b>A.</b> Well, I would certainly conclude from this editorial that					
24	they certainly fervently oppose Proposition 8.					
25	I would assume, in the absence of an editorial to the					

T/00	1	7	0	8
------	---	---	---	---

-	-
1	contrary, that they would extend that to other states as well.
2	Q. And let's turn your attention to tab 33.
3	A. I'm there.
4	<b>Q.</b> And this is a document that appeared on the <i>L.A. Times</i> ,
5	one of their blogs.
6	And in the first bullet point under the picture there
7	is a quote from the L.A. times editorial, and it says:
8	"It's the same sentence as in 2000, only
9	marriage between a man and a woman is valid
10	or recognized in California. Yet, the issue
11	that will be put before voters November 4th
12	is radically different. This time the
13	wording would be used to rescind an existing
14	constitutional right to marry. We fervently
15	hope that voters, whatever their personal or
16	religious convictions, will shudder at such a
17	step and vote no on Proposition 8."
18	And is this a reflects that the L.A. Times
19	emphatically supported the No On 8 campaign?
20	<b>A.</b> It would appear to be a reflection of both the <i>L.A.</i>
21	Times's support for the No On 8 campaign and the fondness for
22	the word "fervently" in editorials.
23	(Laughter.)
24	Q. Now, another factor that is a source of political power is
25	the cohesion and size of a political group, correct?

1 Α. I think that's true. And you believe that four to seven percent of the U.S. 2 Q. 3 population is openly gay or lesbian, correct? 4 Umm, as you and I discussed during my deposition, there is Α. 5 a broad scholarly disagreement over the size of the gay and 6 lesbian population. 7 And I believe my answer in deposition is the same one I will give now, which is that it is my belief that the answer 8 9 is somewhere between four and seven percent based on some marketing polling that I have observed, places that are not 10 particularly political, so we tend to get a few more honest 11 12 answers. 13 I have seen numbers as low as two and as high as ten, both of which I think to be unlikely, were sort of too 14 conservative and too permissive. 15 So I think four to seven is a more accurate estimate, 16 but, again, that's based on reading literally dozens of stabs 17 at this in both the scholarly's and the marketing literature. 18 19 All right. And that doesn't include bisexuals, correct? Q. 20 No, no. Α. 21 With respect to the percentage of the U.S. population that Q. 22 is predominantly bisexual, you've only seen numbers in the 23 neighborhood of two percent, correct? 24 Two percent or even less. But, again, that's another Α. 25 number that has some definitional problems with it.

Ī	
1	So I know the Court heard some testimony yesterday on
2	this issue, but is a bisexual someone who has only engaged in
3	sex with alternating partners or do are we defining it in
4	with their sexual behavior in the last year or the last five
5	years?
6	So I think that's a little bit more of a porous
7	category, a little bit harder to define.
8	Q. In terms of cohesion, 23 percent of gays and lesbians are
9	estimated to have voted in favor of George W. Bush in 2004,
10	correct?
11	A. That's correct, yes.
12	Q. So, in fact, gays and lesbians are much less politically
13	cohesive than African-Americans, correct?
14	A. As a practical matter, it's hard to imagine a single
15	political group that is not less cohesive than
16	African-Americans, who vote Democratic somewhere between 89 and
17	95 percent in most elections.
18	So 77 percent voting Democrat and 23 percent voting
19	Republican would be less cohesive than African-Americans, a
20	significant degree more cohesive than Latinos, and certainly
21	than Anglos.
22	Q. A small group can be politically powerful, correct?
23	A. It depends on what you mean by "group." Are we talking
24	about a demographic group here? Are we talking about, like, an
25	organization or association?

1	Q. Well, a small demographic group can be politically
2	powerful. The Jewish community has a meaningful degree of
3	political power in the United States, correct?
4	A. I would say the Jewish community has a meaningful degree
5	of political power based on their representation in public
6	office and their resources.
7	But I'm not sure I haven't undertaken an analysis
8	of that community, but that would be my conclusion, at least,
9	at the of without anything about it a lot.
10	Q. And a small group could be powerful in a closely divided
11	electorate, correct?
12	A. Well, that's always true. So the closer an election, the
13	more likely it is that smaller segments of the population can
14	make a difference. For a group to make a credible claim that
15	they played a role in the outcome of the election, the margin
16	within the group would have to exceed the margin of victory
17	overall.
18	Q. So when then Senator Obama and Senator Clinton were
19	battling it out for the Democratic primary, they both actively
20	sought the support of the gay and lesbian community, correct?
21	<b>A.</b> I think it's fair to say that that's true. I think it's
22	also fair to say that in the very close primary contest, they
23	actively sought the support of every person with a pulse and a
24	voter registration card.
25	Q. But they had special attention to the gay and lesbian

1	community because of the financial resources, correct?
2	A. By "special attention," are you suggesting that they paid
3	more attention to gays and lesbians than they did to other
4	Democratic constituencies? Because I actually don't know that
5	to be true.
6	<b>Q.</b> Well, to anyone with a pulse. In other words, they
7	weren't indifferent. You just said, Oh, well they wanted
8	everyone with a pulse.
9	Isn't it true that they were more focused on the gay
10	and lesbian community than just anyone with a pulse off the
11	street?
12	A. I don't have evidence of that. I mean, I I think it
13	would certainly would be fair to say that both then Senator
14	Obama and then Senator Clinton actively courted organized
15	labor, actively courted gays and lesbians, actively courted
16	environmentalists, African-Americans, Latinos, et cetera.
17	It's the term "special" that I'm reacting to, I'm
18	afraid, because the term "special" appears to suggest that they
19	paid more attention to gays and lesbians than to other
20	Democratic constituencies, and I actually just don't know that
21	to be true.
22	${f Q}$ . Are the gay and lesbian community, is that a Democratic
23	constituency?
24	A. I think by any measure it's a majority Democratic
25	constituency, yes.

All right. Now, persuasion can also be a source of 1 Q. political power, correct? 2 3 Α. That's a more complex question. So Dahl speaks about 4 persuasion and he identifies persuasion as one of the weakest 5 forms of political power. 6 Persuasion has multiple components to it. So it is 7 more than merely a group saying, Please, pass a piece of legislation for us, or, It's the right thing to do. 8 9 Persuasion involves -- particularly for this instance -- the need to identify an external deeply-held norm 10 in the society to which you can appeal. 11 So, for example, this society has norms of equality 12 13 or norms of fairness. And in order for persuasion to be used, what a group would have to do is say, you know, We all believe 14 in equality. We all believe in fairness. Those norms should 15 apply to us. And if you apply those norms to us, then you 16 should change your vote and be persuaded of the rightness of 17 our position. 18 So persuasion actually relies not only on the 19 20 oratorical skills of the group, but, also, the degree to which 21 the audience holds the deeply-internalized norms about what the society thinks and is willing to assign the -- include the 22 23 subject group in those norms.

It's a much more tenuous undertaking and for that purpose, I think, that's why Dahl sees it as the weakest form 23

1	of power.
2	Q. But you would agree a group might cajole a legislator by
3	appealing to a societal norm of justice or fairness, correct?
4	<b>A.</b> I would if believe that a group would certainly make the
5	effort and may actually yield some number of changed cards,
6	yes.
7	<b>Q.</b> And the abolitionists in the 19th century were able to
8	make claims on norms of fairness, correct?
9	A. They were, but I wouldn't look at that as the principal
10	source of power for the abolitionists.
11	Q. But you would agree that among the strategies that the
12	black civil rights movement used was an intellectual or
13	idea-based appeal to the internalized national norm of
14	fairness, correct?
15	A. I believe that that was a strategy, but it would hardly be
16	the most important or even the most frequently used.
17	If we peruse the history of the black civil rights
18	movement, African-American activists fighting for their civil
19	rights engaged in a whole host of strategies, including
20	boycotts of businesses, boycotts of the Montgomery bus line,
21	sit-down strikes at southern lunch counters, freedom rides
22	where northerners northern blacks and northern whites

24 of southern facilities; a strategy that took them into the

boarded buses and road into the south to defy the segregation

25 courts, a strategy that took them into the television sets.

ī	
1	Serendipity, frankly, played a role. One of the
2	reasons we have the Voting Rights Act is that the attack by
3	Alabama officials on African-American activists at the Edmund
4	Pettus Bridge happened to be televised live by CBS and spill
5	into people's living rooms.
6	So there are many more strategies and tactics used by
7	the black civil rights movement. Certainly, the idea of
8	justice played an important role. It was not the only role.
9	Q. And gays and lesbians make appeals to the norm of fairness
10	in pursuing their political agenda, correct?
11	A. Yes, they do.
12	Q. And such appeals to fairness may, in fact, persuade some
13	number of people, correct?
14	A. They may, in fact, persuade some number of people, yes.
15	Q. Now, in terms of sources of political power, another would
16	be tactics. The tactics that a group uses can have
17	ramifications for the amount of power they have, correct?
18	A. Yes, with the following qualification. Sometimes
19	tactic you are suggesting that tactics shape how much power
20	a group has, and I would think that normally the causal arrow
21	is in the reverse; that how much power a group has really
22	shapes the tactics that they choose.
23	So I wouldn't put a causal relationship in the way
24	that you are suggesting.
25	Q. Are you saying that tactics are irrelevant to the

1	political power of a group?
2	A. I did not. I said that there was a non-recursive
3	relationship.
4	Q. Well, you've read press reports suggesting that the
5	No On 8 people themselves felt like they did not do a
6	particularly good job on reaching out to blacks and Latinos,
7	correct?
8	<b>A.</b> I have read reports suggesting that they felt like they
9	had maybe not done the best outreach there, yes.
10	Q. And you have no reason to doubt those reports, correct?
11	A. I don't know enough about the internal organization of the
12	Prop 8 campaign, but I have no reason to question it.
13	Q. All right. Now, let's talk about how violence pertains to
14	the political power of a group.
15	In the Democratic process, violence is usually
16	negatively perceived, correct?
17	A. That is usually the case, yes.
18	Q. And within a Democratic process, violence historically
19	backfires, correct?
20	A. Yes, depending on the willingness of external authorities
21	to become involved.
22	So there have been moments, frankly, when violence
23	was effectively used, for example, by segregationists around
24	the turn of the century, the Klan and others, to disenfranchise
25	large numbers of people.

1	So there have been unfortunate moments in our history
2	when political forces have used violence to actually achieve
3	their goals.
4	As a general question, I think I would certainly not
5	favor it and I think it's a fundamentally anti-Democratic thing
б	to do.
7	Q. Sympathy is a tool to help secure political outcomes by
8	seeking to activate internalized norms of fairness and equity,
9	correct?
10	A. Again, a qualified yes. And the reason is that certainly
11	the audience, in this case the electorate, being sympathetic to
12	your goals or to your personal circumstances is better than
13	their being hostile.
14	But the way you say sympathy as a tactic suggests
15	that there is sort of a premeditation that a particular
16	political force goes out and maybe, I don't know, cries
17	"Whoa is me" on the corner.
18	Sympathy as a tactic is something I'm having a little
19	bit of trouble wrapping my mind around.
20	Q. Well, if a group is trying to use an appeal to an
21	internalized norm of fairness or equity, the moment it engages
22	in violence, much of that appeal dissipates; "yes" or "no"?
23	A. Well, again, these are matters of degrees. So it was
24	certainly of the case during the black civil rights movement,
25	that there was a very strong emphasis on non-violence in order

1	
1	to retain the positive support of white northerners, for
2	example.
3	So in general, if the group is enjoying sympathy,
4	then violence could ameliorate that sympathy to some agree. It
5	would depend on how much violence and who it was directed at
6	and the circumstances under which it arose and whatnot.
7	Q. Well, I would like to direct your attention to your
8	deposition in this case, and to page 190, line 13. This should
9	appear behind tab three in your binder.
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. And so if we look at page 190, line 13, you
12	said:
13	"ANSWER: In the Democratic process violence
14	is usually negatively received; that in some
15	respects" if we go back to your question
16	about ideas "if a group is trying to use
17	an appeal to an internalized norm of fairness
18	or equity, the moment it engages in violence,
19	much of that appeal dissipates."
20	And you gave that testimony, correct?
21	A. I did.
22	Q. Okay. Now
23	THE COURT: How are you doing on time, Mr. Thompson?
24	MR. THOMPSON: Well, your Honor, I appreciate
25	Professor Segura's fulsome answers. I'm not getting "yes" or

1	"no" answers, so I'm running behind schedule and I'm afraid
2	there is no possible way that I could finish this evening.
3	THE COURT: Well, can you get in another half hour?
4	MR. THOMPSON: I'm happy to keep going, your Honor.
5	Absolutely.
6	BY MR. THOMPSON:
7	${f Q}$ . Now, so one of the political resources that a group may
8	have is goodwill, correct?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. All right. And if we are looking at sources or barriers
11	to political power, you would also want to look to see if a
12	group had been disenfranchised, correct?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. All right. And you are not aware of any specific form of
15	intimidation aimed at prohibiting gays and lesbians from
16	voting, correct?
17	A. With the caveat that there may have been some number of
18	gays and lesbians who were kept out of the franchise as a
19	consequence of felony charges associated with gay harassment in
20	the 50's and 60's. It is possible under those circumstances
21	that some number of gay and lesbian voters lost their right to
22	vote as a consequence of anti-gay enforcement policies in the
23	states.
24	With that caveat, in the recent sense, there may have
25	been an isolated incidence of intimidation with which I'm not
-	

T	
1	familiar, but I don't know of a concerted effort to drive down
2	the gay electorate.
3	Q. You can't point to any such instance, let's say, in the
4	last 30 years of any government official anywhere in the United
5	States targeting gays and lesbians to disenfranchise them so
6	they can't vote, correct?
7	A. I don't have an example off the top of my head, so, no, I
8	cannot recall any.
9	Q. All right. Now, let's talk we have talked about the
10	sources of political power. Let's talk about some of the
11	indicia of political power.
12	And would you agree that one reflection of political
13	power is a group's ability to convince Congress to allocate
14	funds to issues that are important to the group?
15	A. Again, with the footnote that I would want to see evidence
16	that the group was bringing resources and pressure to bear in
17	order to get Congress to allocate funds it otherwise wouldn't
18	have, yes. That would be a positive outcome that I would want
19	to look at.
20	Q. And in assessing the political power of a group, another
21	factor you would look at would be the presence of statutory
22	protections for their equality, correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And you would also want to look at whether they had the
25	ability to elect candidates of their choice, correct?

<ul> <li>A. That would be a measure, yes.</li> <li>Q. All right. And with respect to the gay and lesbian</li> <li>community, you have not assumed that only a gay or lesbian</li> <li>could be a candidate of choice for the gay and lesbian</li> <li>community, correct?</li> <li>A. No, I am not.</li> <li>Q. And the voting rights literature has always focused on the</li> <li>notion of first choice without regard to the demographic</li> <li>characteristics of the candidate, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And in the California legislature there are four openly</li> <li>gay officials, correct?</li> <li>A. To the best of my knowledge, that number is correct.</li> <li>Q. And so that's out of 120, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but</li> <li>if you represent that, I will take that on</li> <li>Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.</li> <li>Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	T	
<ul> <li>3 community, you have not assumed that only a gay or lesbian</li> <li>4 could be a candidate of choice for the gay and lesbian</li> <li>5 community, correct?</li> <li>6 A. No, I am not.</li> <li>7 Q. And the voting rights literature has always focused on the</li> <li>8 notion of first choice without regard to the demographic</li> <li>9 characteristics of the candidate, correct?</li> <li>10 A. That's correct.</li> <li>11 Q. And in the California legislature there are four openly</li> <li>12 gay officials, correct?</li> <li>13 A. To the best of my knowledge, that number is correct.</li> <li>14 Q. And that's out of 120, correct?</li> <li>15 A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>16 Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right?</li> <li>17 A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but</li> <li>18 if you represent that, I will take that on</li> <li>19 Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.</li> <li>20 Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>21 And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>22 gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>23 A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>24 mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	1	A. That would be a measure, yes.
<pre>4 could be a candidate of choice for the gay and lesbian 5 community, correct? 6 A. No, I am not. 7 Q. And the voting rights literature has always focused on the 8 notion of first choice without regard to the demographic 9 characteristics of the candidate, correct? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. And in the California legislature there are four openly 12 gay officials, correct? 13 A. To the best of my knowledge, that number is correct. 14 Q. And that's out of 120, correct? 15 A. Yes, that's correct. 16 Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right? 17 A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but 18 if you represent that, I will take that on 19 Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me. 20 Now, let's talk about political power in California. 21 And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading 22 gay rights groups in the State of California? 23 A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you 24 mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of </pre>	2	Q. All right. And with respect to the gay and lesbian
<ul> <li>5 community, correct?</li> <li>A. No, I am not.</li> <li>Q. And the voting rights literature has always focused on the notion of first choice without regard to the demographic</li> <li>9 characteristics of the candidate, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And in the California legislature there are four openly</li> <li>12 gay officials, correct?</li> <li>A. To the best of my knowledge, that number is correct.</li> <li>Q. And that's out of 120, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but</li> <li>if you represent that, I will take that on</li> <li>Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.</li> <li>Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	3	community, you have not assumed that only a gay or lesbian
<ul> <li>A. No, I am not.</li> <li>Q. And the voting rights literature has always focused on the notion of first choice without regard to the demographic</li> <li>characteristics of the candidate, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And in the California legislature there are four openly</li> <li>gay officials, correct?</li> <li>A. To the best of my knowledge, that number is correct.</li> <li>Q. And that's out of 120, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but</li> <li>if you represent that, I will take that on</li> <li>Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.</li> <li>Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	4	could be a candidate of choice for the gay and lesbian
<ul> <li>Q. And the voting rights literature has always focused on the notion of first choice without regard to the demographic</li> <li>characteristics of the candidate, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And in the California legislature there are four openly</li> <li>gay officials, correct?</li> <li>A. To the best of my knowledge, that number is correct.</li> <li>Q. And that's out of 120, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but</li> <li>if you represent that, I will take that on</li> <li>Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.</li> <li>Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	5	community, correct?
<pre>8 notion of first choice without regard to the demographic 9 characteristics of the candidate, correct? 10 A. That's correct. 11 Q. And in the California legislature there are four openly 12 gay officials, correct? 13 A. To the best of my knowledge, that number is correct. 14 Q. And that's out of 120, correct? 15 A. Yes, that's correct. 16 Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right? 17 A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but 18 if you represent that, I will take that on 19 Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me. 20 Now, let's talk about political power in California. 21 And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading 22 gay rights groups in the State of California? 23 A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you 24 mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</pre>	6	A. No, I am not.
<ul> <li>9 characteristics of the candidate, correct?</li> <li>10 A. That's correct.</li> <li>11 Q. And in the California legislature there are four openly</li> <li>12 gay officials, correct?</li> <li>13 A. To the best of my knowledge, that number is correct.</li> <li>14 Q. And that's out of 120, correct?</li> <li>15 A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>16 Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right?</li> <li>17 A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but</li> <li>18 if you represent that, I will take that on</li> <li>19 Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.</li> <li>20 Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>21 And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>22 gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>23 A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>24 mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	7	Q. And the voting rights literature has always focused on the
<ul> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. And in the California legislature there are four openly</li> <li>gay officials, correct?</li> <li>A. To the best of my knowledge, that number is correct.</li> <li>Q. And that's out of 120, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but</li> <li>if you represent that, I will take that on</li> <li>Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.</li> <li>Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	8	notion of first choice without regard to the demographic
<ul> <li>11 Q. And in the California legislature there are four openly</li> <li>12 gay officials, correct?</li> <li>13 A. To the best of my knowledge, that number is correct.</li> <li>14 Q. And that's out of 120, correct?</li> <li>15 A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>16 Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right?</li> <li>17 A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but</li> <li>18 if you represent that, I will take that on</li> <li>19 Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.</li> <li>20 Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>21 And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>22 gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>23 A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>24 mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	9	characteristics of the candidate, correct?
<ul> <li>gay officials, correct?</li> <li>A. To the best of my knowledge, that number is correct.</li> <li>Q. And that's out of 120, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but</li> <li>if you represent that, I will take that on</li> <li>Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.</li> <li>Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	10	A. That's correct.
<ul> <li>A. To the best of my knowledge, that number is correct.</li> <li>Q. And that's out of 120, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, that's correct.</li> <li>Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but</li> <li>if you represent that, I will take that on</li> <li>Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.</li> <li>Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	11	Q. And in the California legislature there are four openly
14 Q. And that's out of 120, correct? 15 A. Yes, that's correct. 16 Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right? 17 A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but 18 if you represent that, I will take that on Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me. 20 Now, let's talk about political power in California. 21 And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading 22 gay rights groups in the State of California? A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you 24 mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of	12	gay officials, correct?
A. Yes, that's correct. Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right? A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but if you represent that, I will take that on Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me. Now, let's talk about political power in California. And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading gay rights groups in the State of California? A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of	13	A. To the best of my knowledge, that number is correct.
<ul> <li>Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but</li> <li>if you represent that, I will take that on</li> <li>Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.</li> <li>Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	14	Q. And that's out of 120, correct?
<ul> <li>17 A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but</li> <li>18 if you represent that, I will take that on</li> <li>19 Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.</li> <li>20 Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>21 And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>22 gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>23 A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>24 mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	15	A. Yes, that's correct.
<ul> <li>18 if you represent that, I will take that on</li> <li>Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.</li> <li>20 Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>21 And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>22 gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>23 A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>24 mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	16	Q. And so that's 3.3 percent, if I'm doing my math right?
<ul> <li>19 Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.</li> <li>20 Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>21 And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>22 gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>23 A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>24 mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	17	A. I'm sorry. I can't do the math that quick in my head, but
<ul> <li>Now, let's talk about political power in California.</li> <li>And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading</li> <li>gay rights groups in the State of California?</li> <li>A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	18	if you represent that, I will take that on
21 And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading 22 gay rights groups in the State of California? 23 A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you 24 mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of	19	Q. On redirect Mr. Boutrous can correct me.
22 gay rights groups in the State of California? 23 A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you 24 mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of	20	Now, let's talk about political power in California.
<ul> <li>A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you</li> <li>mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of</li> </ul>	21	And is it true that Equality California is one of the leading
24 mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of	22	gay rights groups in the State of California?
	23	A. I, actually, can't really answer that because what do you
25 groups active.	24	mean by "leading gay rights groups"? There are a number of
	25	groups active.

1I would assume that Equality California is among the2largest, if not the largest; but I don't have the basis I3don't know the budget of every group in the state.4Q. Equality California raised more money for the No On 85campaign than any other group, is that right?6A. That sounds correct, yes.7Q. Okay. Now, let's turn to tab 35, which is the 20098legislative scorecard for Equality California.9And directing your attention to the first page of10text it says:11"Despite a tough legislative session and the12worst budget crisis in California history,13this year has been one of Equality14California's best yet in Sacramento. We15passed a record 11 pieces of Equality16California-sponsored legislation that will17improve the lives of LGET Californians."18Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of19legislation passed that were sought by the LGET community?20A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in21fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the22legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.23Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGET24community, correct?25A. I think that's a different question. So what constitutes	T	
<ul> <li>don't know the budget of every group in the state.</li> <li>Q. Equality California raised more money for the No On 8</li> <li>campaign than any other group, is that right?</li> <li>A. That sounds correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, let's turn to tab 35, which is the 2009</li> <li>legislative scorecard for Equality California.</li> <li>And directing your attention to the first page of</li> <li>text it says: <ul> <li>"Despite a tough legislative session and the</li> <li>worst budget crisis in California history,</li> <li>this year has been one of Equality</li> <li>California's best yet in Sacramento. We</li> <li>passed a record 11 pieces of Equality</li> <li>California-sponsored legislation that will</li> <li>improve the lives of LGBT Californians."</li> <li>Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li>legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> <li>A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li>fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li>legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li>Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	1	I would assume that Equality California is among the
<ul> <li>Q. Equality California raised more money for the No On 8</li> <li>campaign than any other group, is that right?</li> <li>A. That sounds correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, let's turn to tab 35, which is the 2009</li> <li>legislative scorecard for Equality California.</li> <li>And directing your attention to the first page of</li> <li>text it says: <ul> <li>"Despite a tough legislative session and the</li> <li>worst budget crisis in California history,</li> <li>this year has been one of Equality</li> <li>California's best yet in Sacramento. We</li> <li>passed a record ll pieces of Equality</li> <li>California-sponsored legislation that will</li> <li>improve the lives of LGBT Californians."</li> <li>Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li>legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> <li>A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li>fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li>legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li>Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2	largest, if not the largest; but I don't have the basis I
<ul> <li>campaign than any other group, is that right?</li> <li>A. That sounds correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, let's turn to tab 35, which is the 2009</li> <li>legislative scorecard for Equality California. <ul> <li>And directing your attention to the first page of</li> <li>text it says:</li> <li>"Despite a tough legislative session and the</li> <li>worst budget crisis in California history,</li> <li>this year has been one of Equality</li> <li>California's best yet in Sacramento. We</li> <li>passed a record 11 pieces of Equality</li> <li>California-sponsored legislation that will</li> <li>improve the lives of LGBT Californians."</li> <li>Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li>legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> <li>A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li>fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li>legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li>Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3	don't know the budget of every group in the state.
<ul> <li>A. That sounds correct, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, let's turn to tab 35, which is the 2009</li> <li>legislative scorecard for Equality California.</li> <li>And directing your attention to the first page of</li> <li>text it says:</li> <li>"Despite a tough legislative session and the</li> <li>worst budget crisis in California history,</li> <li>this year has been one of Equality</li> <li>California's best yet in Sacramento. We</li> <li>passed a record 11 pieces of Equality</li> <li>California-sponsored legislation that will</li> <li>improve the lives of LGBT Californians."</li> <li>Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li>legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> <li>A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li>fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li>legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li>Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> </ul>	4	Q. Equality California raised more money for the No On 8
<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Now, let's turn to tab 35, which is the 2009</li> <li>legislative scorecard for Equality California.</li> <li>And directing your attention to the first page of</li> <li>text it says: <ul> <li>"Despite a tough legislative session and the</li> <li>worst budget crisis in California history,</li> <li>this year has been one of Equality</li> <li>California's best yet in Sacramento. We</li> <li>passed a record 11 pieces of Equality</li> <li>California-sponsored legislation that will</li> <li>improve the lives of LGBT Californians."</li> <li>Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li>legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li>fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li>legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li>Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> </ul>	5	campaign than any other group, is that right?
<ul> <li>8 legislative scorecard for Equality California.</li> <li>9 And directing your attention to the first page of</li> <li>10 text it says:</li> <li>11 "Despite a tough legislative session and the</li> <li>12 worst budget crisis in California history,</li> <li>13 this year has been one of Equality</li> <li>14 California's best yet in Sacramento. We</li> <li>15 passed a record 11 pieces of Equality</li> <li>16 California-sponsored legislation that will</li> <li>17 improve the lives of LGBT Californians."</li> <li>18 Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li>19 legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> <li>20 A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li>21 fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li>22 legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li>23 Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> <li>24 community, correct?</li> </ul>	б	A. That sounds correct, yes.
<ul> <li>And directing your attention to the first page of</li> <li>text it says:</li> <li>"Despite a tough legislative session and the</li> <li>worst budget crisis in California history,</li> <li>this year has been one of Equality</li> <li>California's best yet in Sacramento. We</li> <li>passed a record 11 pieces of Equality</li> <li>California-sponsored legislation that will</li> <li>improve the lives of LGBT Californians."</li> <li>Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li>legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> <li>A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li>fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li>legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li>Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> <li>community, correct?</li> </ul>	7	Q. Okay. Now, let's turn to tab 35, which is the 2009
10 text it says: 11 "Despite a tough legislative session and the 12 worst budget crisis in California history, 13 this year has been one of Equality 14 California's best yet in Sacramento. We 15 passed a record 11 pieces of Equality 16 California-sponsored legislation that will 17 improve the lives of LGBT Californians." 18 Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of 19 legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community? 20 A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in 21 fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the 22 legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number. 23 Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT 24 community, correct?	8	legislative scorecard for Equality California.
<ul> <li><sup>11</sup> "Despite a tough legislative session and the</li> <li><sup>12</sup> worst budget crisis in California history,</li> <li><sup>13</sup> this year has been one of Equality</li> <li><sup>14</sup> California's best yet in Sacramento. We</li> <li><sup>15</sup> passed a record 11 pieces of Equality</li> <li><sup>16</sup> California-sponsored legislation that will</li> <li><sup>17</sup> improve the lives of LGBT Californians."</li> <li><sup>18</sup> Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li><sup>19</sup> legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> <li><sup>20</sup> A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li><sup>21</sup> fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li><sup>22</sup> legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li><sup>23</sup> Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> <li><sup>24</sup> community, correct?</li> </ul>	9	And directing your attention to the first page of
<ul> <li>12 worst budget crisis in California history,</li> <li>13 this year has been one of Equality</li> <li>14 California's best yet in Sacramento. We</li> <li>15 passed a record 11 pieces of Equality</li> <li>16 California-sponsored legislation that will</li> <li>17 improve the lives of LGBT Californians."</li> <li>18 Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li>19 legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> <li>20 A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li>21 fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li>22 legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li>23 Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> <li>24 community, correct?</li> </ul>	10	text it says:
<ul> <li>this year has been one of Equality</li> <li>California's best yet in Sacramento. We</li> <li>passed a record 11 pieces of Equality</li> <li>California-sponsored legislation that will</li> <li>improve the lives of LGBT Californians."</li> <li>Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li>legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> <li><b>A.</b> With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li>fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li>legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li><b>Q.</b> And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> <li>community, correct?</li> </ul>	11	"Despite a tough legislative session and the
<ul> <li>14 California's best yet in Sacramento. We</li> <li>15 passed a record 11 pieces of Equality</li> <li>16 California-sponsored legislation that will</li> <li>17 improve the lives of LGBT Californians."</li> <li>18 Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li>19 legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> <li>20 A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li>21 fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li>22 legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li>23 Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> <li>24 community, correct?</li> </ul>	12	worst budget crisis in California history,
<ul> <li>passed a record 11 pieces of Equality</li> <li>California-sponsored legislation that will</li> <li>improve the lives of LGBT Californians."</li> <li>Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li>legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> <li><b>A.</b> With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li>fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li>legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li><b>Q.</b> And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> <li>community, correct?</li> </ul>	13	this year has been one of Equality
<ul> <li>16 California-sponsored legislation that will</li> <li>17 improve the lives of LGBT Californians."</li> <li>18 Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li>19 legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> <li>20 A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li>21 fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li>22 legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li>23 Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> <li>24 community, correct?</li> </ul>	14	California's best yet in Sacramento. We
<ul> <li>improve the lives of LGBT Californians."</li> <li>Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li>legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> <li>A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li>fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li>legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li>Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> <li>community, correct?</li> </ul>	15	passed a record 11 pieces of Equality
<ul> <li>Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of</li> <li>legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?</li> <li>A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in</li> <li>fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the</li> <li>legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.</li> <li>Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT</li> <li>community, correct?</li> </ul>	16	California-sponsored legislation that will
19 legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community? 20 A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in 21 fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the 22 legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number. 23 Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT 24 community, correct?	17	improve the lives of LGBT Californians."
20 A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in 21 fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the 22 legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number. 23 Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT 24 community, correct?	18	Is it true that last year there were 11 pieces of
<pre>21 fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the 22 legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number. 23 Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT 24 community, correct?</pre>	19	legislation passed that were sought by the LGBT community?
22 legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number. 23 Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT 24 community, correct?	20	A. With the caveat that some of that legislation was, in
Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT community, correct?	21	fact, non-binding resolutions that were senses of the
24 community, correct?	22	legislature, then I have no reason to dispute their number.
	23	Q. And so this was a good legislative session for the LGBT
25 <b>A.</b> I think that's a different question. So what constitutes	24	community, correct?
	25	A. I think that's a different question. So what constitutes

T	
1	as good legislative session is whether or not high priority
2	items were, in fact, acted upon in a positive sense and were
3	ultimately signed into law.
4	So, again, I would have to know what the rate of bill
5	passage was in all other years, what the number of bills passed
б	in all other years was.
7	I take on on faith that their report, that they
8	feel pretty good about it; that they are happy with it.
9	${f Q}$ . All right. Now, let's look at their scorecard that they
10	give to different legislators.
11	If we look at the Senate scorecard and you just
12	flip the page it has ratings of 100 percent. And I'll
13	represent to you that 21 out of 40, a majority of the
14	California Senate, has a 100 percent approval rating from
15	Equality California.
16	Is it fair to assume that any state senator who
17	receives a 100 percent rating from Equality California is an
18	ally of the LGBT community?
19	A. That would depend on which items were used to score.
20	So, for example, we might find in a year where there
21	were several sort of procedural issues that came up, you could
22	get 100 percent from a particular representative; and in a
23	subsequent year, in which maybe there was a much more
24	contentious issue that came up, you would get less than
25	100 percent.

n
So it's important to always keep in mind that the
scorecard is relative to what the agenda was in the legislature
that year. Some agendas are more vexing than others.
Q. Can you point to any of these legislators who received
100 percent rating and provide evidence that any of them are
not allies of the LGBT community?
<b>A.</b> Again, I don't have the legislature and all of their
activities committed to memory. I couldn't possibly.
Q. Well, I'm just asking for one. Can you point to one piece
of evidence that one of these individuals with a 100 percent
rating is not an ally of the LGBT community?
<b>A.</b> I cannot. I can point to the fact that there is an awful
lot of zeros on the page as well; that the minority party,
should they gain control of the Senate, would reverse many of
the items that you've just identified.
Q. All right. Now, let's turn to the assembly scorecard.
And, again, I will represent to you that 41 out of the 80
again a majority have a perfect 100 percent score.
Can you point to any evidence that any of these
individuals who received a 100 percent rating were not allies
of the LGBT community?
A. Once again, no. I do not have an exhaustive command of
the behavior of each legislator.
${\tt Q}$ . Okay. Now, let's look at some other officials in the
State of California. It's reasonable to assume that Barbara

Boxer was a candidate-of-choice for gays and lesbians, correct A. In a general election sense, I think the answer to that yes. I don't remember the last time she had a meaningful	
	t is
3 yes. I don't remember the last time she had a meaningful	
4 primary challenge; maybe not since '92, when she was first	
5 elected.	
6 <b>Q.</b> Right. But in her recent elections, she has been a	
7 candidate-of-choice for the gay and lesbian community, corre	ect?
8 A. Yes, yes.	
9 Q. And Senator Dianne Feinstein's recent elections for U.	5.
10 Senate, she has been a candidate-of-choice for the gay and	
11 lesbian community, correct?	
12 <b>A.</b> Given the choices that they have been provided, yes.	
13 <b>Q.</b> Right. I understand.	
14 And Attorney General Brown is the candidate-of-ch	oice
15 to be the next governor of California among gays and lesbia	ns,
16 correct?	
17 <b>A.</b> Well, as we previously discussed, approximately 77 percent	cent
18 of self-identified gays and lesbians identify as Democrats.	
19 And the last time I checked, he's the only Democrat in the	
20 field.	
21 So I would assume that approximately 77 percent has	ave
22 a predisposition to support him.	
23 <b>Q.</b> Can you identify any general election for state-wide	
24 office in California in the last 10 years where the LGBT	
25 community supported the Republican candidate rather than the	9

1	Demo	cratic candidate?
2	А.	I cannot.
3	Q.	And can you identify any Democrat running for state-wide
4	offi	ce in California in the last 10 years who won the
5	Demo	cratic primary over the opposition of the LGBT community?
6	Α.	That I'm going to I'm afraid I have to plead ignorance
7	on.	I lived external to the state from 2001 until 2008, so I
8	wasn	't privy to primary politics here.
9	Q.	Okay. So Senator Boxer is an ally of the gay and lesbian
10	comm	unity, correct?
11	А.	I think that's a fair assessment.
12	Q.	And let's talk about labor unions. Labor unions were part
13	of tl	ne coalition, the Democratic coalition in the State of
14	Cali	fornia, correct?
15	А.	That's correct.
16	Q.	And you would agree that there certainly have been a
17	numbe	er of moments where labor unions supported the gay and
18	lesb	ian political position on matters of concern to the
19	comm	unity, correct?
20	А.	I believe a distinction I would want to make is that labor
21	unio	n leaders have supported a variety of issues of concern to
22	the o	community.
23	Q.	You can't think of any instance in the last decade where
24	labo	r unions have opposed the gay or lesbian community,
25	corre	ect?

1	A. I cannot think of an instance where labor union leaders
2	have opposed the gay and lesbian community.
3	The reason I'm differentiating these things is
4	there's a difference between a coalition built at the elite
5	level and the mass behavior of voters. So while you could
6	probably show me an endorsement of No On 8 from a particular
7	union, I don't actually know how the rank and file of that
8	union voted in November of 2008.
9	Q. And I would like to direct your attention to tab 41. This
10	is a press release produced to us by Equality California. It's
11	dated October 6, 2008. It's DIX-2502.
12	And it says in the first paragraph:
13	"The Unite Here International Union on
14	Saturday made a \$100,000" presumably
15	contribution "to Equality California's No
16	on Proposition 8 campaign committee and
17	announced its opposition to Prop 8, which
18	eliminates the right of same-sex couples to
19	marry in California."
20	And then turning to the third paragraph it
21	says:
22	"'Endorsing the No On 8 campaign is
23	consistent with the long-held positions of
24	this union,' said Cleve Jones."
25	Do you have any reason to doubt that Mr. Jones's

	а
1	statement that supporting the rights of the gay and lesbian
2	community was consistent with Unite Here, the international
3	union?
4	A. I don't. And I'm actually not surprised to see Unite Here
5	endorsing the No On 8 campaign.
6	Unite Here represents both needle trades and hotel
7	and restaurant employees. And gays and lesbians are a somewhat
8	larger percentage of the work force, particularly in the hotel
9	and restaurant employees union, than they are in many other
10	trade unions. So this is not surprising.
11	<b>Q.</b> Okay. And then if we turn to the last paragraph, there's
12	a statement by Geoff Kors, and he is the executive director of
13	Equality California, is that right?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. And he states in the second to last sentence of this press
16	release:
17	"This contribution reflects the longstanding
18	relationship the LGBT community has had with
19	our union partners."
20	And that's a fair statement, isn't it?
21	A. I presume it's a fair statement of his belief.
22	Q. Well it's an accurate statement, is it not?
23	A. Once again, I don't know that that's true. I think that
24	it's fair to say that union leadership has been supportive of
25	gay and lesbian causes in the state in recent decades.

1And Mr. Kors is commenting on that, but you are2asking me to say whether or not there is a longstanding	
2 asking me to say whether or not there is a longstanding	
3 relationship between the community and the union's members	ship,
4 I don't I don't really know that.	
5 MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, we would move the	
6 admission of DIX-2502.	
7 <b>THE COURT:</b> Very well wait a minute, DIX	
8 MR. THOMPSON: 2502, your Honor.	
9 THE COURT: Very well. DIX-2502?	
10 MR. THOMPSON: Yes, your Honor.	
11 (Defendants' Exhibit 2501 received in evidence.)	
12 BY MR. THOMPSON:	
13 Q. Now, turning your attention to the next tab, Professo	or
14 Segura, this is a printout of the union contributions that	we
15 pulled off of the California Secretary of State's website.	
16 <b>A.</b> Uh-huh.	
17 Q. If we did our math right, there was \$1.7 million wort	h of
18 contributions by unions to the No On 8 campaign.	
19 Do you know of a single union that contributed m	loney
20 to the Yes On 8 campaign?	
21 A. I do not.	
22 Q. And does it surprise you that all of the unions that	gave
23 were giving to the No On 8 campaign?	
24 A. When you didn't ask me. I don't know if any unior	n gave
25 to the Yes On 8 campaign. I haven't come across that. So	) it's

1	possible that one has.
2	Q. All right.
3	<b>A.</b> If I take as a given that all the union contributions went
4	to No On 8, it does not surprise me, given the longstanding
5	relationship between union leadership on the one hand and the
б	gay and lesbian movement on the other.
7	Q. All right. Well, now, let's turn your attention to the
8	next tab in your binder. This is a story dated October 26,
9	2008 from the Sacramento Bee.
10	And if we look at the last line on the page, the last
11	sentence talks about influential Latinos, including the Los
12	Angeles mayor. And can you help me with the pronunciation,
13	Professor, Antonio?
14	A. Villaraigosa.
15	Q. That's what I meant to say.
16	"and leaders of the United
17	Farmworkers Union are spreading the word
18	that Proposition 8 is anti-civil rights."
19	Isn't it true that unions did not confine their
20	support to giving money, but their leadership was also out
21	there actively working to defeat Proposition 8?
22	A. That's a blanket statement. I don't know of the actual
23	activities of all the union leaders involved in the State of
24	California. I couldn't speak to that.
25	Were there some union leaders who were active? Yes.

1 **Q.** Active in opposing Proposition 8?

2 **A.** That's correct.

3 Q. And you can't identify any union leaders who were actively 4 supporting Proposition 8?

5 **A.** No, I cannot.

6 Q. If we look at tech companies in California, you would 7 agree that many of the Silicon Valley technology companies 8 express pro-gay positions, correct?

9 A. I think it's fair to say that the Silicon Valley industry 10 has intended to be more pro-civil rights on many dimensions, 11 and this being one of them. I think that's a fair statement. 12 Q. All right. And large corporations in California have a 13 meaningful degree of political power, correct?

14 **A.** They do, with respect to the issues that concern them.

So, for example, we might find that oil companies are particularly influential on environmental regulation, energy, pricing or some form of emissions control and things such as that.

19 Oil companies are not particularly influential on 20 things like kindergarten policy or classroom size, for example. 21 So we don't identify a group as being powerful and 22 then they are powerful in all circumstances across all issues. 23 They are powerful with respect to the issues that discern them. 24 I think it would be fair to say that Silicon Valley 25 firms and large corporations are powerful here, as they are

everywhere in the United States, in terms of having lots of
lobbyists and making lots of campaign contributions and things
such as that.
Q. And you were not aware of circumstances in which Silicon
Valley technology companies have worked against the interests
of gays and lesbians, correct?
A. Again, I don't have an exhaustive list of their political
behavior over time. I don't have an example to suggest that
they haven't been.
Q. Okay. Now, let's I would like to direct your attention
to tab 44, which is the 2006 annual report of the Human Rights
Campaign, and the
A. I'm beginning to think you are on their mailing list,
Mr. Thompson.
Q. My new favorite website.
(Laughter.)
Q. It's DIX-1328, and it's page 13. I would like to direct
your attention to the last bullet point.
And tell me when you are there sir.
A. I'm there.
Q. It says:
"Big businesses, like Microsoft, backed state
legislation that would protect GLBT workers.
Plus, more and more businesses are supporting
fair-minded legislators working to pass

1	important bills for same-sex couples, as Nike
2	did when it backed a civil union's bill in
3	the Oregon legislature in 2006."
4	Do you have any reason to doubt these statements,
5	that Microsoft and Nike and other large corporations actively
6	are working for the rights of the LGBT community?
7	A. I would clarify the statement. So there would be a number
8	of objections I would offer.
9	The first is that, again, this is the advocacy
10	organization speaking on how wonderfully effective they are,
11	which is, of course, in their interests in order to maintain
12	their membership and their contribution base.
13	The second is that the statement itself sort of
14	illustrates its internal problem. By saying more and more
15	businesses are supporting fair-minded legislators, what it's
16	suggesting is that there are many businesses that do not.
17	Otherwise, we couldn't have any change. If they are suggesting
18	change, we couldn't have a uniform level of support.
19	The third is that it's not clear to me that each of
20	these organizations are contributing to those legislators
21	because they support same-sex interests. We would want to
22	investigate that.
23	So those would be the things that I would sort of
24	throw in as a caveat.
25	As a general rule, I would not object to the

statement that the trend in major businesses has moved from 1 2 opposition to neutrality to support in a number of instances. I don't have an estimation of what the distribution of that is. 3 4 MR. THOMPSON: Your Honor, we have come to a 5 convenient stopping point. I hate to be the one who looks like 6 a slacker here, always suggesting that we take breaks, but --7 THE COURT: I don't think anyone would make that suggestion. 8 9 (Laughter.) MR. THOMPSON: But if it's --10 11 THE COURT: Let me ask one question and then you can follow-up, if you like, and then Mr. Boutrous, also. One 12 13 question or, perhaps, one or two follow-on. Does losing elections or failing to obtain 14 15 legislation denote political powerlessness to require extraordinary protection against majoritarian political 16 17 processes? THE WITNESS: Losing an individual election, in my 18 view, would not because in the Democratic process someone 19 losses all contests. 20 The initiative process, however, is a little bit 21 different because it is the only circumstance where we put 22 23 individual rights up to a popular vote. So we have 150 or more instances in a decade and a 24 25 half where anti-discrimination protections are voted on by the

population and overturned, even though the legislature or its
 city council or county board had granted them.

We have uniform passage of constitutional amendments to exclude one group of citizens from a civil institution. And that's extraordinary, in my view.

Now, does -- would each individual act by itself be determinative of whether or not there should be judicial intervention? I would say, just as I said to Mr. Thompson, that an outcome by itself is a piece of information, but we would want to know the context in which it passed.

So if we look at the passage of a particular bill in the Assembly, if we have the passage of a bill where the majority party votes for it and the minority party votes against it, then we might reasonably expect that should that majority change, we could see a reversal on that. We could contrast that with an outcome which is bipartisan, for example.

We can see examples where a legislature passes a bill and the public then files an initiative to overturn it.

19 So I would want to look at the range of events rather 20 than a single event. And in my view when you look at the range 21 of events that have occurred in terms of the, you know, public 22 voting directly on questions of gay and lesbian rights, that 23 their loss rates suggests that longstanding prejudice against 24 gays and lesbians is shaping what their political opportunities 25 are.

1	THE COURT: Follow-up, Mr. Thompson?
2	MR. THOMPSON: No follow-up, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: All right. Very well. Then why don't we
4	break today at this point?
5	How much longer do you have with Professor Segura?
6	MR. THOMPSON: I think maybe, you know, an hour and a
7	half more. So it depends on the length of the answers, of
8	course, to some extent.
9	THE COURT: Well, perhaps you can do as you have done
10	before and spend some time this evening and hone those
11	questions.
12	MR. THOMPSON: I will endeavor to do so, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: So we can move along.
14	And with that, who do we expect as our witnesses
15	tomorrow?
16	Mr. Boies?
17	MR. BOIES: Your Honor, we are working on that.
18	After this witness completes, we basically, for the completion
19	of our case, have probably two witnesses; Professor Hewitt and
20	Mr. Tam.
21	Professor Hewitt, who was planning to be our next
22	witness, has been ill today and so if he can make it tomorrow,
23	we are going to put him on. Otherwise, we are going to go
24	with I may be mispronouncing, it's Herek.
25	THE COURT: Herek, yes.

1	MR. BOIES: Herek. I'm pronouncing his name wrong.				
2	Professor Herek is the one that is ill. If he is available, we				
3	would put him on following this witness. Other than that, we				
4	would put Mr. Tam on.				
5	But those are the two witnesses that we have, and				
6	then we have a number of documents to offer before we rest.				
7	THE COURT: Okay. And after those two witnesses				
8	testify, do you plan to present any other live witnesses?				
9	MR. BOIES: We do not. Those are our two live				
10	witnesses that we plan at the present time.				
11	There is a possibility that we still may need to call				
12	Mr. Prentice to authenticate some materials, or one of the				
13	other people to authenticate materials.				
14	But the only other live witnesses we would be calling				
15	would be just for the purposes of authentication of documents,				
16	which we hope we are going to work out without the necessity of				
17	calling them.				
18	THE COURT: I understand that the magistrate judge				
19	has, I believe, resolved the matter that was discussed before				
20	the break this morning involving some of the documents.				
21	Can counsel inform me what that situation is?				
22	MR. BOIES: I cannot, your Honor, but somebody				
23	THE COURT: Mr. McGill, I think you were involved in				
24	that proceeding, were you, Mr. McGill?				
25	MR. MCGILL: Yes, your Honor, I was.				

1 The motion to amend the core group order was -- as your Honor indicated, was granted in part and denied in part. 2 3 And the defendant-intervenors have produced, and we are 4 currently reviewing all of the documents that they were 5 withholding pursuant to that motion to amend that they had 6 made. 7 THE COURT: Is it the plaintiffs' intention to introduce some of those documents in their case in chief? 8 9 MR. BOIES: Obviously, your Honor, we are reviewing the documents to see, but it is our intention to introduce some 10 11 of those, if we can resolve the authentication issues before we 12 rest. 13 THE COURT: All right. Well, then, it's -- is it unduly optimistic to think that the plaintiff may rest 14 15 tomorrow? MR. BOIES: Your Honor, I think it would be 16 optimistic. I think it is possible, but I think it would be 17 optimistic. 18 I think it is certain we will rest before the end of 19 the day on Friday, but I think that with -- with the documents 20 21 that we're still getting and sort of working on parallel tracks, I think it will be challenging to finish tomorrow, but 22 23 we still think that's a possibility. 24 THE COURT: All right. Well, then, that means that 25 under a very optimistic scenario, the defendants may be called

1	upon to begin their case tomorrow; and if not, in all
2	probability on Friday?
3	MR. THOMPSON: Yes, your Honor. We'll have Professor
4	Ken Miller ready to go, your Honor. We would expect Friday
5	morning, so.
6	THE COURT: Very well. All right.
7	Any housekeeping matters we need to attend to?
8	MR. BOUTROUS: Your Honor, just one issue to update
9	the Court on.
10	The magistrate did deny the motion to quash deny
11	the motion to quash that was filed by Pastor Garlow and Pastor
12	McPherson. So that was the other issue that he was addressing.
13	THE COURT: All right. Well, also, unless that's
14	brought here, that should be the end of that matter.
15	MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Very well, counsel. I will see you
17	bright and early tomorrow at 8:30.
18	(Whereupon at 4:34 p.m. further proceedings
19	in the above-entitled cause was adjourned
20	until Thursday, January 21, 2010 at 8:30 a.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## I N D E X

PLAINTIFFS' WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.
NATHANSON, PAUL Videotaped Deposition Excerpt	1500	7
<b>YOUNG, KATHERINE</b> Videotaped Deposition Excerpt	1502	7
<b>KENDALL, RYAN</b> (SWORN) Direct Examination by Mr. Flynn Cross Examination by Mr. Campbell Redirect Examination by Mr. Flynn	1504 1504 1516 1521	7 7
<b>SEGURA, GARY</b> (SWORN) Direct Examination by Mr. Boutrous Direct Examination Resumed by Mr. Boutrous Cross Examination by Mr. Thompson	1523 1524 1603 1657	7

17	41	
----	----	--

	EXHIBI	TS			
PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBI	TS	IDEN	VOL.	EVID	VOL.
489 - 494 831 - 844 1550 2310 2314 2330 2334 2335 2544 2545 2552 2554 2555 2561 2562 2582 2598				$1531 \\ 1531 \\ 1587 \\ 1590 \\ 1592 \\ 1531 \\ 1501 \\ 1502 \\ 1502 \\ 1502 \\ 1610 \\ 1628 \\ 1633 \\ 1642 \\ 1643 \\ 1531 \\ 1644 \\ $	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
DEFENDANTS' EXHIBI	<u> </u>	<u>IDEN</u>	<u>VOL.</u>	EVID 1702 1680 1706 1704 1678 1670 1693 1729 1673 1701	VOL. 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS

We, KATHERINE POWELL SULLIVAN and DEBRA L. PAS, Official Reporters for the United States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings in C 09-2292 VRW, Kristin M. Perry, et al. vs. Arnold Schwarzenegger, in his official capacity as Governor of California, et al., were reported by us, certified shorthand reporters, and were thereafter transcribed under our direction into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete and true record of said proceedings at the time of filing.

/s/ Katherine Powell Sullivan

Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR #5812, RPR, CRR U.S. Court Reporter

/s/ Debra L. Pas

Debra L. Pas, CSR #11916, RMR CRR U.S. Court Reporter

Wednesday, January 20, 2010