

1 LYNN H. PASAHOW (CSB NO. 054283)  
[lpasahow@fenwick.com](mailto:lpasahow@fenwick.com)  
 2 CAROLYN CHANG (CSB NO. 217933)  
[cchang@fenwick.com](mailto:cchang@fenwick.com)  
 3 LESLIE KRAMER (CSB NO. 253313)  
[lkramer@fenwick.com](mailto:lkramer@fenwick.com)  
 4 LAUREN WHITTEMORE (CSB NO. 255432)  
[lwhittemore@fenwick.com](mailto:lwhittemore@fenwick.com)  
 5 FENWICK & WEST LLP  
 555 California Street, Suite 1200  
 6 San Francisco, CA 94104  
 Telephone: (415) 875-2300  
 7 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350

8 Attorneys for Third-Party Equality California  
 9 (Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page)

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

FENWICK & WEST LLP  
 ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
 SAN FRANCISCO

14 KRISTIN M. PERRY, *et al.*,  
 15 Plaintiffs,  
 16 and  
 17 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,  
 Plaintiff-Intervenor,  
 18 v.  
 19 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, *et al.*,  
 20 Defendants,  
 21 and  
 22 PROPOSITION 8 OFFICIAL PROPONENTS  
 DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, *et al.*,  
 23 Defendant-Intervenors.

Case No. 09-CV-2292 VRW

**STIPULATED REQUEST FOR  
 ORDER SHORTENING TIME**

Trial: January 11, 2010  
 Judge: Chief Judge Vaughn R. Walker  
 Location: Courtroom 6, 17th Floor

1 On March 5, 2010, Magistrate Judge Joseph Spero granted, to the extent specified in a  
2 written opinion (Doc # 610), the motion of Defendants-Intervenors (“Proponents”) to compel  
3 Equality California and No on Proposition 8, Campaign for Marriage Equality, A Project of the  
4 American Civil Liberties Union (“ACLU”) (collectively “Objectors”) to produce certain  
5 documents on a rolling basis with production to be completed by March 31st. Proponents did not  
6 agree to Objectors’ request for a stay of the Order, but Proponents and Objectors have agreed to  
7 an expedited schedule for the filing and briefing of objections which both sides intend to file to  
8 that Order. *See* Declaration of Lauren Whittemore in Support of Stipulated Request to Shorten  
9 Time (“Whittemore Dec.”).

10 Pursuant to that agreed-upon schedule, Objectors have today filed their objections.  
11 Proponents have agreed to file their opposition to those objections as well as their own objections  
12 to Magistrate Judge Spero’s Order on March 15 and Objectors will file any opposition to  
13 Proponents’ objections on March 17. Whittemore Dec. at 2, 3.

14 In the event the Court grants Objectors’ Motion for Administrative Relief and sets a  
15 hearing date of March 16, Objectors conditionally waive the right to oppose Proponents’  
16 objections to the Order. Objectors retain the right to file an opposition to Proponents’ objections  
17 at a later time. Proponents have agreed to Objectors’ request for a hearing date of March 16 or  
18 March 23. Whittemore Dec. at 8.

19 //  
20 //  
21 //  
22 //  
23 //  
24 //  
25 //  
26 //  
27 //  
28 //

FENWICK & WEST LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
SAN FRANCISCO

1 Wherefore, pursuant to Local Civil Rule 6-2, Objectors request that the Court approve the  
2 schedule proposed above.

3  
4 Dated: March 11, 2010

FENWICK & WEST LLP

5  
6 By: /s/ Lauren Whittemore  
7 Lauren Whittemore

8 Attorneys for Third Party, Equality California

9 Dated: March 11, 2010

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

10  
11 By: /s/ Stephen Bomse  
12 Stephen Bomse

13 STEPHEN V. BOMSE  
14 JUSTIN M. ARAGON  
15 Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

16 ALAN L. SCHLOSSER  
17 ELIZABETH O. GILL  
18 ACLU Foundation Of Northern California

19 Attorneys for No on Proposition 8, Campaign  
20 for Marriage Equality: A Project of the  
21 American Civil Liberties Union of Northern  
22 California

23  
24 Dated: March 11, 2010

COOPER AND KIRK, PLLC

25 By: /s/ Jesse Panuccio  
26 Jesse Panuccio

27 ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS-  
28 INTERVENORS DENNIS  
HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J. KNIGHT,  
MARTIN F. GUTIERREZ, MARK A.  
JANSSON, AND  
PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM – YES ON 8, A  
PROJECT OF CALIFORNIA RENEWAL

FENWICK & WEST LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
SAN FRANCISCO

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
VAUGHN R. WALKER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

**Attestation Pursuant to General Order 45**

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X.B., I, Lauren Whittemore, hereby attest that I have obtained concurrence in the filing of this document from each of the other signatories to this document.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 11, 2010 at San Francisco, California.

Dated: March 11, 2010

FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ Lauren Whittemore  
Lauren Whittemore

Attorneys for Third-Party, Equality California

FENWICK & WEST LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
SAN FRANCISCO