

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KRISTIN M PERRY, SANDRA B STIER,  
PAUL T KATAMI and JEFFREY J  
ZARRILLO,

Plaintiffs,

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

v

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his  
official capacity as governor of  
California; EDMUND G BROWN JR, in  
his official capacity as attorney  
general of California; MARK B  
HORTON, in his official capacity  
as director of the California  
Department of Public Health and  
state registrar of vital  
statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her  
official capacity as deputy  
director of health information &  
strategic planning for the  
California Department of Public  
Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his  
official capacity as clerk-  
recorder of the County of  
Alameda; and DEAN C LOGAN, in his  
official capacity as registrar-  
recorder/county clerk for the  
County of Los Angeles,

Defendants,

DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J  
KNIGHT, MARTIN F GUTIERREZ,  
HAKSHING WILLIAM TAM, MARK A  
JANSSON and PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM -  
YES ON 8, A PROJECT OF  
CALIOFORNIA RENEWAL, as official  
proponents of Proposition 8,

Defendant-Intervenors.

No C 09-2292 VRW  
ORDER

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1           On March 22, 2010, the court ordered proponents not later  
2 than April 12, 2010 to "make the appropriate motion or submission"  
3 to supplement the trial record with documents obtained through  
4 third party production. Doc #623 at 24. The Ninth Circuit stayed  
5 the court's order "pending appeal." Doc #6 in 10-15649 (9th Cir  
6 March 26, 2010). The appeal was dismissed on April 12, 2010 at 5  
7 PM PDT. Id Doc #14.

8           Proponents have made no submission or motion to  
9 supplement the trial record. Accordingly, proponents are ORDERED  
10 to SHOW CAUSE in writing not later than April 16, 2010 at 5 PM PDT  
11 why the evidentiary record should not now be closed. Failure to  
12 respond to this order shall be deemed grounds to close the  
13 evidentiary record.

14  
15           IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 

17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 VAUGHN R WALKER  
19 United States District Chief Judge  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28