Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document64 Filed06/26/09 Page1 of 3

	Case3:09-cv-02292-VRVV Document64 F	-11edU6/26/	09 Page1 of 3	
1	JAMES J. BROSNAHAN (CA SBN 34555)			
2	JBrosnahan@mofo.com STUART C. PLUNKETT (CA SBN 187971)			
3	SPlunkett@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			
4	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482			
5	Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522			
6	TOBIAS BARRINGTON WOLFF (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Application Pending) UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA SCHOOL OF LAW 3400 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104 Telephone: 215.898.7400 Facsimile: 215.898.9606			
7				
8				
9				
10	Attorneys for <i>Amicus Curiae</i> EQUALITY CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
15	WAYGERYA (PERRY) . 1		00 GV 2202 V DVV	
16	KRISTIN M. PERRY et al.,		o. 09-CV-2292 VRW	
17	Plaintiffs,	BRIEF	ON FOR LEAVE TO FILE OF AMICUS CURIAE	
18	v. ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER et al.,	_	LITY CALIFORNIA	
19	Defendants.	Date: Time: Place:	July 2, 2009 10:00 a.m. Ctrm. 6, 17th Floor	
20 21	Defendants.	r lace.	Cuiii. 0, 17ui 1 100i	
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF OF <i>AMICUS CURIAE</i> EQUALITY CALIFORNIA Case No. 09-CV-2292 VRW sf-2704046			

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Equality California requests the Court's leave to file, as *amicus curiae*, a brief addressing Plaintiffs' likelihood of success on the merits of their equal protection claim. Equality California has conferred with counsel for all parties and Proposed Intervenor, and all counsel have consented to this motion.

I. STANDARD

The Court has broad discretion to permit a non-party to participate in an action as *amicus curiae*. *See, e.g., Gerritson v. de la Madrid Hurtado*, 819 F.2d 1511, 1514 n.3 (9th Cir. 1987); *Nat. Res. Def. Council v. Evans*, 243 F. Supp. 2d 1046, 1047 (N.D. Cal. 2003) (amici "may file briefs and may possibly participate in oral argument" in district court actions). As this Court has noted, "[d]istrict courts frequently welcome amicus briefs from non-parties concerning legal issues that have potential ramifications beyond the parties directly involved or if the amicus has 'unique information or perspective that can help the court beyond the help that the lawyers for the parties are able to provide." *Sonoma Falls Dev., LLC v. Nevada Gold & Casinos, Inc.*, 272 F. Supp. 2d 919, 925 (N.D. Cal. 2003) (quoting *Cobell v. Norton*, 246 F Supp 2d 59, 62 (D.D.C. 2003) (citation omitted); *see also In re Nat'l Sec. Agency Tele. Records Litig.*, No. 06-1791 VRW, 2009 WL 1561818, at *9-10 (N.D. Cal. June 3, 2009) (relying on submissions of *amici curiae* regarding proper interpretation of statute).

II. IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE

Equality California is a state-wide advocacy group protecting the needs and interests of same-sex couples and their children in California. It is also California's largest lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender civil rights organization, with tens of thousands of members. Equality California's members include registered voters in every county in the State of California. The issues raised by Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction will directly affect Equality California's members and supporters. Equality California thus has a substantial interest in participating in these proceedings.

Equality California has also developed extensive expertise regarding the legal and factual issues raised by Plaintiffs' motion. Equality California has participated in other judicial MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF OF *AMICUS CURIAE* EQUALITY CALIFORNIA Case No. 09-CV-2292 VRW sf-2704046

Case3:09-cv-02292-VRW Document64 Filed06/26/09 Page3 of 3

1	proceedings concerning marriage equality	y. For example, Equality California was a petitioner in		
2	Strauss v. Horton, 207 P.3d 48, 68 (Cal. 2009). Equality California also spearheaded the "No" or			
3	Proposition 8 campaign, and was one of the leading fund-raising organizations for the campaign.			
4	Geoffrey Kors, the Executive Director of Equality California, was a co-chair of "No-On-8." As a			
5	result of its involvement in marriage equality advocacy, Equality California has developed			
6	significant expertise in the gay rights movement, the marriage equality movement, the legal issues			
7	surrounding same-sex marriage rights in the states and at the federal level, and state and federal			
8	constitutional issues specific to Proposition 8.			
9	For these reasons, the Court should grant Equality California leave to file the			
10	accompanying amicus curiae brief and to participate in the proceedings.			
11 12	Dated: June 26, 2009	JAMES J. BROSNAHAN STUART C. PLUNKETT MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP		
13		TOBIAS BARRINGTON WOLFF		
14		UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA SCHOOL OF LAW		
15				
16		By: <u>/s/ James J. Brosnahan</u>		
17		JAMES J. BROSNAHAN Attorneys for Amicus Curiae		
18		EQUALITY CALIFORNIA		
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF OF $AMICUS\ CURIAE$ EQUALITY CALIFORNIA Case No. 09-CV-2292 VRW sf-2704046