1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 KRISTIN M PERRY, SANDRA B STIER, 5 PAUL T KATAMI and JEFFREY J ZARRILLO, 6 Plaintiffs, 7 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, 8 Plaintiff-Intervenor, 9 10 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official capacity as governor of California; EDMUND G BROWN JR, in his official capacity as attorney general of California; MARK B No C 09-2292 VRW HORTON, in his official capacity as director of the California ORDER Department of Public Health and state registrar of vital 15 statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her official capacity as deputy director of health information & strategic planning for the California Department of Public Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his official capacity as clerkrecorder of the County of 19 Alameda; and DEAN C LOGAN, in his official capacity as registrar-20 recorder/county clerk for the County of Los Angeles, 21 Defendants, 22 DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, GAIL J KNIGHT, MARTIN F GUTIERREZ, HAKSHING WILLIAM TAM, MARK A JANSSON and PROTECTMARRIAGE.COM -YES ON 8, A PROJECT OF 25 CALIOFORNIA RENEWAL, as official proponents of Proposition 8, 26 Defendant-Intervenors. 27 28

5 entered documents of documents of documents of their section of their se

Defendant-intervenors, the official proponents of Proposition 8 ("proponents"), have filed an administrative motion for a sealing order, Doc #673, with respect to certain of the documents of which the court takes judicial notice in its order entered on June 11, 2010, Doc #683. The judicially noticed documents include some documents which are redacted in part and other documents which are unredacted. Proponents' motion to seal would seal unredacted copies of the redacted documents. The redactions are premised on claims from the non-party No on 8 groups that the redacted portions contain privileged information.

The court has reviewed the redacted documents and the unredacted counterparts and does not agree that each redaction is necessary to protect the nonparties' privileged information.

Nevertheless, in light of the procedural posture from which the motion arises, the motion to seal, Doc #673, is GRANTED.

Viule

VAUGHN R WALKER

United States District Chief Judge

IT IS SO ORDERED.