1	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Theodore B. Olson, SBN 38137		
2	Theodore B. Olson, SBN 38137 tolson@gibsondunn.com		
3	Matthew D. McGill, <i>pro hac vice</i> 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036 Telephone: (202) 955-8668, Facsimile: (202) 467-0539		
4	Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr., SBN 132009		
5	tboutrous@gibsondunn.com Christopher D. Dusseault, SBN 177557		
	Ethan D. Dettmer, SBN 196046 333 S. Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 900	71	
6	Telephone: (213) 229-7804, Facsimile: (213) 229-7	7520	
7	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP David Boies, <i>pro hac vice</i>		
8	dboies@bsfllp.com 333 Main Street, Armonk, New York 10504		
9	Telephone: (914) 749-8200, Facsimile: (914) 749-8	8300	
10	Jeremy M. Goldman, SBN 218888 jgoldman@bsfllp.com		
	1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900, Oakland, California 94612		
11	Telephone: (510) 874-1000, Facsimile: (510) 874-	1460	
12	KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO		
13	Dennis J. Herrera, SBN 139669 Therese M. Stewart, SBN 104930		
14	Danny Chou, SBN 180240		
15	One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4682		
16	Talanhona: (115) 554 1708 Facsimila (115) 554 1600		
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
20	KRISTIN M. PERRY, et al.,	CASE NO. 09-CV-2292 VRW	
	Plaintiffs,		
21	and	DECLARATION OF ENRIQUE A. MONAGAS IN SUPPORT OF	
22	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	PLAINTIFFS' AND PLAINTIFF- INTERVENOR'S MOTION TO	
23	Plaintiff-Intervenor,	ENLARGE TIME	
24	V.		
25	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,		
	Defendants,		
26	and		
27	PROPOSITION 8 OFFICIAL PROPONENTS DENNIS HOLLINGSWORTH, et al.,		
28	Defendant-Intervenors.		

I, Enrique A. Monagas, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California and in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. I am an associate at the law firm of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, counsel of record for Plaintiffs Kristin M. Perry, Sandra B. Stier, Paul T. Katami, and Jeffrey J. Zarrillo ("Plaintiffs"). I make this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' and Plaintiff-Intervenor's motion to enlarge time. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify hereto.
- 2. This Court entered its findings of fact and conclusions of law on August 4, Doc #708, and entered a permanent injunction on August 12, Doc #728. Since that time, the parties have been expeditiously briefing Defendant-Intervenors' motion to stay this Court's decision pending appeal.
- 3. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d)(2)(B)(i) provides prevailing parties with 14 days after the entry of judgment in which to file a motion for attorney's fees and related expenses. In a case such as this one, however, where the parties expended significant resources on pre-trial motions, discovery, a three-week trial, and post-trial briefing and argument, the preparation of a motion for attorney's fees and related expenses—and any opposition thereto—is likely to be unusually time-consuming.
- 4. On August 16, 2010, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ordered that Proponents' appeal from this Court's decision be expedited pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 2 and entered the following briefing schedule: the opening brief is due September 17, 2010; the answering brief is due October 18, 2010; and the reply brief is due November 1, 2010. Under the current schedule, any motion for attorney's fees and related expenses must be prepared and submitted at the same time that the expedited appeal on the merits is proceeding.
- 5. Enlarging the time to file a motion for attorney's fees and related expenses would enable the parties to commit their full litigation resources to the appeal at this time and would allow any motion for fees and related expenses to proceed only at such time as the prevailing party has been finally determined. In short, enlarging the time to file a motion for attorney's fees and related expenses will not prejudice any party and will indeed avoid unnecessary burden to the parties and the Court while the parties address the appeal on the merits and promote judicial economy.

1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8
unr LP	1 &

6. Plaintiffs' counsel contacted all parties involved in an attempt to obtain a stipulation to		
enlarge time. Defendants Arnold Schwarzenegger, Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Mark B. Horton, Linette		
Scott, Patrick O'Connell, and Dean C. Logan have all agreed to enlarging the time. Counsel for Hak		
Shing William Tam did not respond to Plaintiffs' counsel's inquiry. Only Defendant-Intervenors		
Proposition 8 Official Proponents Dennis Hollingsworth, Gail J. Knight, Martin F. Gutierrez, and		
Mark A. Jansson; and ProtectMarriage.com – Yes on 8, A Project of California Renewal		
("Defendant-Intervenors") have not agreed to the extension.		

- 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of an e-mail from Andrew W. Stroud, counsel for Defendants Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger, Mark B. Horton, and Linette Scott, agreeing to a proposed stipulation extending the time to file a motion for attorney's fees and related expenses.
- 8. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit B</u> is a true and correct copy of an e-mail from Tamar Pachter, counsel for Defendant Attorney General Edmund G. Brown, Jr., agreeing to a proposed stipulation extending the time to file a motion for attorney's fees and related expenses.
- 9. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit C</u> is a true and correct copy of an e-mail from Claude Kolm, counsel for Defendant Patrick O'Connell, agreeing to a proposed stipulation extending the time to file a motion for attorney's fees and related expenses.
- 10. Attached hereto as <u>Exhibit D</u> is a true and correct copy of an e-mail from Judy Whitehurst, counsel for Defendant Dean C. Logan, agreeing to a proposed stipulation extending the time to file a motion for attorney's fees and related expenses.
- 11. Attached hereto as  $\underline{\text{Exhibit E}}$  is a true and correct copy of an e-mail from David Thompson, counsel for Defendant-Intervenors, advising that "The proponents do not agree to the stipulation outlined below, nor do we agree to an extension of time for the filing of a motion for attorneys' fees."
- 12. I am aware of four previous time modifications in this case, two by Court order, *see* Doc #170, Doc #710, and two by stipulation, *see* Doc #266, Doc #615.
  - 13. The requested time modification would not affect the schedule of this case.

///

1	I declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States, that these facts are tru	
2	and correct and that this Declaration is executed this 17th day of August 2010 at San Francisco,	
3	California.	
4	/s/ Enrique A. Monagas	
5	Enrique A. Monagas	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	4	