1	Douglas A. Marshall (SBN 91227)	
2	Kay & Merkle, LLP	
3	100 The Embarcadero, Penthouse San Francisco, CA 94105-1217	
4	Telephone: (415) 357-1200 Facsimile: (415) 512-9277	
5	dmarshall@kmlaw100.com	
6	Attorneys for Defendants SIMI MANAGEMENT	
7	CORP., and STEVEN A SIMI	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	(SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)	
11	(61211221020)	
12	AUTOMOTIVE INDUSTRIES PENSION) Case No.: C 09-02550 JSW
13	TRUST FUND, JAMES H. BENO, Trustee,)
14	BILL BRUNELLI, Trustee, STEPHEN J. MACK, Trustee, CHRIS CHRISTOPHERSEN,))
15	Trustee, DON CROSATTO, Trustee, MARK HOLLIBUSH, Trustee, GEORGE HALL, JR.,) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE) INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT
16	Trustee, CHARLES J. DI BARI, Trustee, RON) CONFERENCE AND RELATED
17	NELSON, Trustee, and JAMES V. CANTERBURY, Trustee,) <u>DATES AND [PROPOSED] ORDER</u>)
18	Plaintiffs,))
19	vs.)
20)
21	SIMI MANAGEMENT CORP., a California corporation, doing business as CONNELL))
22	AUTO CENTER, and STEVEN A SIMI also known as ANSELMO SIMI, an Individual,)
23))
24	Defendants.) /
25		
26	Pursuant to Local Rule 6.1(b), by this Stipulation and Proposed Order, the parties request	
27	that the Initial Case Management Conference in the above captioned matter be continued for two	
28	(2) weeks, to 1:30 pm on October 9, 2009. There is good cause for the requested continuance	
KAY & MERKLE, LLP 100 THE EMBARCADERO PENTHOUSE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 (415)-357-1200		

-1-

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

1

9

7

12 13

14 15

17

16

18 19

2021

2223

2425

26 27

28

KAY & MERKLE, LLP 100 THE EMBARCADERO PENTHOUSE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 (415)-357-1200 which is to avoid a calendar conflict involving Defendants' counsel's schedule and to minimize transaction costs while the parties explore settlement.

GOOD CAUSE FOR THE REQUESTED CONTINUANCE

At the time the Complaint was filed in this action (and well before any appearance by Defendants' counsel) the Court issued its June 8, 2009, Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines. That Order scheduled the Initial Case Management Conference for 1:30 pm on September 25, 2009.

Defendants' counsel, Douglas A. Marshall, has preexisting arrangements to attend his thirty (30) year law school reunion in San Diego the weekend of September 25, 2009. Reunion events are scheduled to begin the evening of September 25, 2009, in San Diego, and Mr. Marshall is planning to drive to San Diego, departing from the San Francisco Bay Area early that morning. The 1:30 pm Initial Case Management Conference in this matter conflicts with Mr. Marshall's preexisting plans, and Mr. Marshall would be unable to attend a 1:30 pm Case Management Conference in San Francisco, and drive to San Diego to timely arrive for reunion activities.

The parties, though their counsel, have already met informally and discussed settlement of the action. Settlement discussions are continuing and documents are being informally exchanged and information provided with respect to settlement.

In light of the calendar conflict and to permit more time to explore settlement prior to the expenditure of fees and costs preparing for the Initial Case Management Conference, and the exchanges required by Rules, Counsel for Plaintiff is amenable to a two (2) week extension of the Initial Case Management Conference, and the parties respectfully request that the following pre-Initial Case Management dates be continued the same two week period:

To September 18, 2009, the last day to:

- * meet and confer re: initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan
 - * file ADR Certification signed by Parties and Counsel
 - * file either Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference

To October 2, 2009: the last day to file Rule 26(f) Report, complete initial disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) Report and file Case Management Statement.

To October 9, 2009, at 1:30 pm, Initial Case Management Conference.

No previous requests for extension have been made and counsel for all parties have 1 confirmed their availability for the Initial Case Management Conference on the requested date. 2 The requested continuance of the other dates conforms those dates with the timeframe for the 3 events as set forth in the June 8, 2009 Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR 4 Deadlines. 5 Douglas A. Marshall 6 Kay & Merkle, LLP 7 8 Dated: August 26, 2009 By: 9 Douglas A. Marshall Attorneys for Defendants SIMI 10 MANAGEMENT CORP., and STEVEN A **SIMI** 11 12 Philip M. Miller Kristen McCulloch 13 Michelle L. Sicula Saltzman & Johnson 14 15 By: Dated: August 26, 2009 16 Michelle L. Sicula 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff Automotive Industries Pension Trust, et al. 18 **COURT'S ORDER** 19 The Court, having considered the foregoing stipulation and good cause shown, the 20 foregoing Stipulation is APPROVED. The following dates shall apply in this action:: 21 September 18, 2009, the last day to: 22 * meet and confer re: initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and 23 discovery plan 24 * file ADR Certification signed by Parties and Counsel 25 * file either Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference 26 October 2, 2009, is the last day to file Rule 26(f) Report, complete initial disclosures or 27 state objection in Rule 26(f) Report and file Case Management Statement. 28 October 9, 2009, at 1:30 pm, Initial Case Management Conference.

KAY & MERKLE, LLP 100 THE EMBARCADERO PENTHOUSE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 (415)-357-1200

Case3:09-cv-02550-JSW Document11 Filed08/26/09 Page4 of 4

SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 27, 2009

Honorable Jeffrey S. White

KAY & MERKLE, LLP 100 THE EMBARCADERO PENTHOUSE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 (415)-357-1200