

ORIGINAL

1 MARC J. FAGEL (Cal. Bar No. 154425)  
2 ROBERT TASHJIAN (Cal. Bar No. 191007)  
3 tashjianr@sec.gov  
4 THOMAS J. EME (Illinois Bar. No. 6224870)  
5 emet@sec.gov

RECEIVED  
JUN -9 AM 9:30  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
7 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
8 44 Montgomery Street, 26th Floor  
9 San Francisco, California 94104  
10 Telephone: (415) 705-2500  
11 Facsimile: (415) 705-2501

E-filing

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

CV 09

2554  
MMC

Case No.

16 Plaintiff,

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER TO SHOW  
CAUSE

17 v.


18 PETER C. SON, JIN K. CHUNG,  
19 SNC ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC., and  
20 SNC INVESTMENTS, INC.,

21 Defendants.

1 This matter came before the Court on the *ex parte* application of plaintiff Securities and  
2 Exchange Commission ("Commission") for, among other things, an order to show cause why the  
3 temporary restraining order issued by the Court should not be extended during the pendency of  
4 this litigation. The Court has received and considered the Commission's complaint, application,  
5 memorandum of points and authorities and the declarations of Commission attorneys Thomas J.  
6 Eme and Robert L. Tashjian, the declarations of investors Dale Baek, David Pak, and Seunghee  
7 Yatsko, all exhibits attached to those declarations, including excerpts of transcripts of the sworn  
8 testimony of Jee Choi, Young Choi, and Phil Ha, and all other submissions, written or oral, at or  
9 before the hearing. Good cause appearing therefrom,

10 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that at three o'clock p.m. PDT on  
11 June 22, 2009, or as soon thereafter as the parties can be heard, defendants  
12 Peter C. Son, Jin K. Chung, SNC Asset Management, Inc., and SNC Investments, Inc., and each  
13 of them, shall appear before the Honorable Maxine M. Cheney, Judge of the United  
14 States District Court, to show cause, if there be any, why a preliminary injunction should not be  
15 granted extending the temporary restraining order pending the determination of this action. Any  
16 declarations, affidavits, points and authorities, or other submissions in support of, or in  
17 opposition to, the issuance of such an Order shall be filed with the Court and delivered to the  
18 Commission's counsel and the offices of each of the defendants or their attorneys no later than  
19 two o'clock p.m. PDT on June 17, 2009. Any reply papers shall be filed with  
20 the Court and delivered to opposing counsel no later than three o'clock p.m. PDT on  
21 June 19, 2009.

22  
23 Dated this 10th day of June, 2009

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE