

1 ROBERT LAWRENCE (SBN 207099)  
 JOHN ERICKSON (SBN 52356)  
 2 COLLETTE ERICKSON FARMER & O'NEILL LLP  
 235 Pine Street, Suite 1300  
 3 San Francisco, CA 94104  
 Telephone: (415) 788-4646  
 4 Facsimile: (415) 788-6929

5 Attorneys for Defendants  
 OPTICAL COATING LABORATORY, INC.  
 6  
 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

<p>10 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA WATCH, a          non-profit Corporation,          11          Plaintiffs,          12 vs.          13 WEST COAST METALS, INC., RICHARD          14 L. BRADLEY, WEST COAST SCRAP          PROCEDURES, INC., OPTICAL COATING          15 LABORATORY, INC., DOES 1 through 10,          16 inclusive,          17 Defendants.</p>	<p>Case No. 3:09-cv-02601-MMC          18  <b>STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR          DEFENDANT OPTICAL COATING          LABORATORY, INC. TO ANSWER          PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT</b>          19          AND ORDER THEREON          20          21          22          23          24          25          26          27          28</p>
---	---

1 Plaintiff Northern California Watch ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Optical Coating  
2 Laboratory, Inc. ("Defendant" or "OCLI") hereby stipulate and respectfully request that the Court  
3 extend the time for Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint to and including  
4 October 1, 2009. In support of this Stipulation, the parties state as follows:

5 WHEREAS, Plaintiff originally filed this action on June 11, 2009;

6 WHEREAS, Optical Coating Laboratory, Inc. was served with the Summons and  
7 Complaint by Waiver in this action in July 8, 2009 and returned the same on August 8, 2009;


8 WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant are working on a consent order and have not come to  
9 a final agreement of said terms;

10 WHEREAS, OCLI's counsel has been involved in a jury trial since the end of April and  
11 are currently still in trial;

12 WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendant seek an extension of time for OCLI to answer or  
13 otherwise respond to the Complaint so that they may continue to focus their efforts on the consent  
14 order unnecessarily utilizing judicial resources or incurring additional fees and costs related to the  
15 filing of a responsive pleading;


16 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED**, by and between Plaintiff and  
17 Defendant, by and through their respective undersigned attorneys, that Defendant OCLI shall have  
18 an up to and including October 1, 2009, to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint.

19 DATED: September 4, 2009 COLLETTE ERICKSON FARMER & O'NEILL LLP

20 By:   
21 ROBERT LAWRENCE

22 Attorneys for Defendant  
23 OPTICAL COATING LABORATORY, INC.

24 DATED: September 4, 2009 LAW OFFICES OF JACK SILVER

25 By:   
26 JACK SILVER

27 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
28 NORHTERN CALIFORNIA WATCH

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 9, 2009

  
Honorable MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
Judge of the District Court, Northern District of California