

14 On February 17, 2012, the Court held a hearing on various post-trial motions, as well as 15 defendants' motion to stay execution of the judgment pending resolution of the post-trial motions.

16 Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62(b), a court may, in its discretion, stay execution of a money judgment pending resolution of post-trial motions. Plaintiff does not oppose defendants' motion 17 18 to stay. However, plaintiff contends that defendants should supply a bond for the security of the 19 judgment in the amount of \$528,000 because Alameda County has not shown that it is fully solvent and 20 able to immediately satisfy the full judgment amount. However, defendants have submitted the 21 Declaration of Janette Brook, a Senior Risk and Insurance Analyst for the County of Alameda. Ms. 22 Brook states, *inter alia*, that "the County, by and through a risk sharing pool, has the resources to satisfy 23 the judgment, if, at the end of the case, there is any judgment owed by defendant Ayala." Brook Decl. 24 ¶ 2.

The Court has discretion to stay execution of judgment pending appeal without requiring a bond. *See Federal Prescription Serv. v. American Pharmaceutical Ass'n*, 636 F.2d 755, 759-61 (D.C. Cir.
1980). Based upon the declaration of Ms. Brook, the Court is satisfied that the County has the resources
to pay the judgment. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS defendants' motion to stay enforcement of the

judgment pending resolution of the post-trial motions. Docket No. 200. IT IS SO ORDERED. Siran Delaton Dated: February 22, 2012 SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge