

1 **BRIAN A.E. SMITH (CSB No. 188147)**  
[baesmith@dl.com](mailto:baesmith@dl.com)  
2 **ALDEN K. LEE (CSB No. 257973)**  
[alee@dl.com](mailto:alee@dl.com)  
3 **DEWEY & LEOEUF LLP**  
**Post Montgomery Center**  
4 **One Montgomery Street, Suite 3500**  
**San Francisco, California 94104-5535**  
5 **Telephone: (415) 951-1100**  
**Facsimile: (415) 951-1180**

6 **ASEEM S. GUPTA (CSB No. 252858)**  
[agupta@sidley.com](mailto:agupta@sidley.com)  
7 **SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP**  
8 **555 California Street, Suite 2000**  
**San Francisco, California 94104**  
9 **Telephone: (415) 772-1200**  
**Facsimile: (415) 772-7400**

10 **Attorneys for Plaintiff**  
11 **ROMEO V. APOSTOL**

**PETER P. EDRINGTON (CSB No. 074335)**  
[pedrington@esmlawfirm.com](mailto:pedrington@esmlawfirm.com)  
**NANCY A. McPHERSON (CSB No. 129464)**  
[nmcperson@esmlawfirm.com](mailto:nmcperson@esmlawfirm.com)  
**EDRINGTON, SCHIRMER & MURPHY LLP**  
**2300 Contra Costa Boulevard, Suite 450**  
**Pleasant Hill, California 94523**  
**Telephone: (925) 827-3300**  
**Facsimile: (925) 827-3320**

**Attorneys for Defendants CASTRO VALLEY  
UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, SHERRI  
BEETZ, CHARLOTTE IRWIN AND TIM  
DEFOREST**

12 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
13 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
14 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15 **ROMEO V. APOSTOL,**

16 **Plaintiff,**

17 **vs.**

18 **CASTRO VALLEY UNIFIED SCHOOL**  
19 **DISTRICT, et al.,**

20 **Defendants.**

) **Case No. 3:09-cv-02676-JSW**

) **STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR**  
) **DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

) **Fed. R. Civ. P. 41**

) **Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White**

21 Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 41, Plaintiff Romeo V. Apostol (“Mr.  
22 Apostol”) and Defendants Castro Valley Unified School District, Sherri Beetz, Charlotte Irwin, and  
23 Timothy DeForest (collectively, “Defendants”), stipulate, and the Court hereby orders as follows:

- 24 1. The dispute between Mr. Apostol and the Defendants has been fully resolved pursuant to  
25 a settlement agreement, the terms of which settlement agreement were put on the record  
26 before Magistrate Judge Donna M. Ryu on November 21, 2011. Further pursuant to the  
27 parties’ agreement, the record regarding the parties’ terms of settlement has been sealed  
28 for reasons of confidentiality.

