1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	GANDIGH GODDOD ATTOM	N. G. 00. 00 505 WWW.
9	SANDISK CORPORATION,	No. C 09-02737 WHA
10	Plaintiff,	
11	v.	REQUEST TO POTENTIAL RULE 706 EXPERTS
12	LSI CORPORATION,	
13	Defendant.	
14	LSI CORPORATION AND AGERE	
15	SYSTEMS, INC.,	
16	Counterclaimants,	
17	V.	
18	SANDISK CORPORATION,	
19	Counterdefendants.	
20		
21	In addition to the fee proposals requested at yesterday's hearing, the two Rule 706 ex	
22	candidates should advise the Court (in the same letter) whether they have written any previo	
23	expert reports or provided expert testimony that, in their view, would unduly restrict their	

In addition to the fee proposals requested at yesterday's hearing, the two Rule 706 expert candidates should advise the Court (in the same letter) whether they have written any previous expert reports or provided expert testimony that, in their view, would unduly restrict their freedom of movement in arriving at an opinion in this case. The candidates should also advise the Court if they have any pending expert arguments in other matters that would do likewise. The parties shall ensure that the two expert candidates receive this request in due time.

28 Dated: March 4, 2010.

24

25

26

27

WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE