

1 Tharan Gregory Lanier (State Bar No. 138784)
 2 tglanier@jonesday.com
 3 Gregory L. Lippetz (State Bar No. 154228)
 4 glippetz@jonesday.com
 5 Daniel H. Mao (State Bar No. 221539)
 6 dhmao@jonesday.com
 7 Joe C. Liu (State Bar No. 237356)
 8 jcliu@jonesday.com
 9 Peter D. Nestor (State Bar No. 262253)
 10 pnestor@jonesday.com
 11 JONES DAY
 12 1755 Embarcadero Road
 13 Palo Alto, CA 94303
 14 Telephone: 650-739-3939
 15 Facsimile: 650-739-3900

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendant
 17 SANDISK CORPORATION

Bruce S. Sostek (pro hac vice)
 (bruce.sostek@tklaw.com)
 Jane Politz Brandt (pro hac vice)
 (jane.brandt@tklaw.com)
 Herbert J. Hammond (pro hac vice)
 (herbert.hammond@tklaw.com)
 Max Ciccarelli (pro hac vice)
 max.ciccarelli@tklaw.com
 Richard L. Wynne, Jr. (pro hac vice)
 richard.wynne@tklaw.com
 THOMPSON & KNIGHT LLP
 1722 Routh St., Suite 1500
 Dallas, Texas 75201
 Telephone: (214) 969-1700
 Facsimile: (214) 959-1751

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant
 LSI CORPORATION and Counterclaimant
 AGERE SYSTEMS INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

18 SANDISK CORPORATION,
 19
 20 Plaintiff,
 21
 22 v.
 23 LSI CORPORATION,
 24
 25 Defendant.

26 LSI CORPORATION AND AGERE
 27 SYSTEMS INC.,
 28
 29 Counterclaimants,
 30
 31 v.
 32 SANDISK CORPORATION,
 33
 34 Counterdefendant.

Case No. C09-02737 WHA

**STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXTEND
 DEADLINE FOR SUBMITTING RULE
 706 EXPERTS PURSUANT TO COURT'S
 DECEMBER 1 AND JANUARY 25
 ORDERS AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

Judge: Hon. William Alsup
 Courtroom 9, 19th Floor

Date Filed: June 19, 2009
 Trial Date: November 8, 2010

1 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2 and Civil L.R. 7-12, Plaintiff-Counterdefendant SanDisk
2 Corporation (“SanDisk”) and Defendant-Counterplaintiff LSI Corporation and Counterplaintiff
3 Agere Systems Inc. (collectively “LSI”), through their respective counsel, hereby make the
4 following Joint Stipulated Request for an Order to extend the deadline for the parties to submit
5 proposed Rule 706 candidates pursuant to the Court’s December 1, 2009 and January 24, 2010
6 Orders.

7 On December 1, 2009 the Court issued the “Order Regarding Rule 706 Expert” (Dkt. No.
8 65) requiring the parties to submit two proposed Rule 706 candidates by January 22, 2010. On
9 January 22, 2010 the parties submitted two proposed candidates. On January 25, 2010 the Court
10 issued the “Supplemental Order Regarding Rule 706 Expert” (Dkt. No. 75), in which the Court
11 clarified the type of candidates that it was seeking.

12 Although the parties have been working diligently to identify qualified Rule 706 experts
13 from this district, they have been unable to complete their search for and vetting of appropriate
14 candidates. The parties have faced a multitude of obstacles, including the limited number of
15 qualified experts in this district, the limited availability of some candidates, and the fact that some
16 candidates have been less responsive than the parties had hoped.

17 Accordingly, the parties are seeking seven (7) additional business days to Friday,
18 February 12, 2010, within which to identify, vet, and submit two qualified candidates.

19 This Stipulation will not affect other dates in the case schedule, including the Tutorial
20 scheduled for March 3, 2010 or the Claim Construction hearing that is scheduled for March 11,
21 2010.

22 **THE PARTIES HEREBY JOINTLY STIPULATE AND PROPOSE THAT:**

23 The deadline for submitting proposed Rule 706 experts be extended to February 12, 2010.
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: February 2, 2010

JONES DAY

By: /s/ Joe C. Liu
Joe C. Liu

Attorneys for Plaintiff
SANDISK CORPORATION

In accordance with General Order No. 45, Section X(B), the above signatory attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below.

Dated: February 2, 2010

THOMPSON & KNIGHT LLP

By: /s/ Max Ciccarelli
Max Ciccarelli

Attorneys for LSI CORPORATION AND
AGERE SYSTEMS INC.


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the Court hereby extends the deadline for the parties to submit the names of two qualified Rule 706 experts to ~~5:00 p.m.~~ **noon** on February 12, 2010.

PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 3, 2010.

By 
THE HONORABLE JUDGE WILLIAM ALSUP
United States District Judge
Northern District of California

SVI-77323v1