Hernandez V. City of Napa et al Doc. 141

Case3:09-cv-02782-EDL Document140 Filed12/07/10 Page1 of 3

Michael W. Barrett, City Attorney, State Bar No. 155968 1 David C. Jones, Deputy City Attorney, State Bar No. 129881 2 NAPA CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE CITY OF NAPA 3 P.O. Box 660 955 School Street 4 Napa, CA 94559 Telephone: (707) 257-9516 5 Fax: (707) 257-9274 6 Gregory M. Fox, State Bar No. 070876 BERTRAND, FOX & ELLIOT 7 The Waterfront Building 2749 Hyde Street 8 San Francisco, California 94109 Telephone: (415) 353-0999 9 (415) 353-0990 Facsimile: 10 Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF NAPA, POLICE CHIEF RICHARD MELTON And OFFICER GARTH BENDER 11 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 Case No.: C09-2782 EDL LUZ HERNANDEZ, 15 Plaintiff, STIPULATION BY PLAINTIFF AND 16 VS. DEFENDANTS CITY OF NAPA, POLICE **CHIEF MELTON AND OFFICER** 17 CITY OF NAPA, et al., BENDER'S RE: APPLICATION FOR AN 18 ORDER ALLOWING IN CAMERA REVIEW Defendants. OF TWO IA FILES TO DETERINE 19 RELEVANCE AND POSSIBLE DISCSLOSURE UNDER THE PROTECTIVE 20 ORDER IN THIS CASE 21 22 Plaintiff, acting by and through her attorney Tim Port, and defendants CITY OF NAPA, 23 POLICE CHIEF RICHARD MELTON And OFFICER GARTH BENDER, acting by and through 24 their attorney Greg Fox respectfully hereby stipulate for an Order for an in camera inspection of the 25 following two Internal Affairs files which were filed pursuant to the parties Administrative Motion to 26 File under Seal: Unredacted Copies of City of Napa Police Department's Citizens Complaints Nos. 27 93-13 and 09-02. 28 The parties have met and conferred and agreed that defendants should search for any citizen

Case3:09-cv-02782-EDL Document140 Filed12/07/10 Page2 of 3

1	complaint involving any officers including the defendant officer and all witness officers who were
2	present at plaintiff's residence either on the date of the April incident that forms the basis for her
3	lawsuit and/or during another incident in January of the same year preceding the date of the incident.
4	Following a search of department records the above cited two citizens complaints and related IA
5	investigations are produced for an in camera inspection by the Court to determine if any or all of the
6	subject confidential documents should be produced under the protective order that is in effect in this
7	case. The documents contain a detailed account of investigations by the Napa Police Department's
8	and give the names of the various police officers, complainants and witnesses involved in these
9	investigations.
10	The parties respectfully request that the Court conduct an in camera review and decide what if
11	any documents should be produced under the terms of the protective order based on plaintiff's claims
12	she was falsely arrested because of a conspiracy between defendants.
13	Respectfully submitted.
14	Dated: December 7, 2010 LAW OFFICES OF TIM A. PORI
15	
16	By:
17	TIM A. PORI Attorney for Plaintiff
18	Dated: December 7, 2010 BERTRAND, FOX & ELLIOT
19	BERTRAND, FOX & ELLIOT
20	
21	By: Gregory M. Fox
22	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF NAPA, POLICE CHIEF RICHARD
23	MELTON and OFFICER GARTH BENDER
24	ATTORNEY ATTESTATION
25	I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a
26	conformed signature ("/s/") within this E-filed document.
27	
28	Dated: _December 7, 2010/s/ Gregory M. Fox
	2
	11

Case3:09-cv-02782-EDL Document140 Filed12/07/10 Page3 of 3

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	Good Cause Appearing the Stipulation is So Ordered.
3	
4	
5	Dated: December 8, 2010 Elizab D. Legott UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	