I	Case3:09-cv-02888-JCS Document4	Filed07/17/09 Page1 of 4		
1	GREGORY A. ROUGEAU (194437)			
2	MANASIAN & ROUGEAU LLP 400 Montgomery Street, Suite 1000			
3	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 291-8425			
4	Facsimile: (415) 291-8426			
4 5	Attorneys for Defendants MORTEN JENSEN and JRDV ARCHITECTS, INC.			
6	RAYMOND E. LOUGHREY (194363)			
7	The Law Offices of Raymond E. Loughrey 101 California St., Suite 2450			
8	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 821-4400 Facsimile: (415) 366-3333			
9 10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs ALONSO RUIZ DE VELASCO and TALLER UNICO DE ARQUITECTOS, S. de R.L. de C.V.			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13		Case No. C 09-02888 JCS		
14 15	ALONSO RUIZ DE VELASCO, an individual; and TALLER UNICO DE ARQUITECTOS, S. de R.L. de C.V., a	Case No. C 09-02888 JCS		
16	Mexican corporation,			
17	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION FOR REMAND OF CASE TO SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
18	V.			
19	MORTEN JENSEN, JRDV ARCHITECTS,			
20	INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,			
21	Defendants.			
22				
23		_		
24	Plaintiffs ALONSO RUIZ DE VELAS	SCO and TALLER UNICO DE ARQUITECTOS,		
25	S. de R.L. de C.V. (collectively, the "Plaintiffs"), and Defendants MORTEN JENSEN and JRDV			
26	ARCHITECTS, INC. (collectively, the "Defendants") hereby stipulate as follows:			
27				
28				
l		1		

1		<b>RECITALS</b>	
	٨		
2		On or about May 29, 2009, Plaintiffs commenced the above-captioned removed	
3	action in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda. The case is styled		
4	Alonso Ruiz de Velasco et al v. Morten Jensen et al., and assigned case number RG 09-455042		
5	in the Alameda County Superior Court.		
6	В.	Defendants removed the action to this Court on or about June 26, 2009, on the	
7	basis that the c	ase is a civil action between citizens of different states and the matter in	
8	controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.		
9	C.	Section 1441(b) provides, however, that a civil action may be removable on the	
10	basis of diversity jurisdiction only if <i>none</i> of the parties in interest properly joined and served as		
11	defendants is a citizen of the State in which such action is brought.		
12	D.	Both Defendants are citizens of California.	
13		<b>STIPULATION</b>	
14	WHER	EFORE, the parties agree as follows:	
15	1.	Because there are no federal claims alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint in the	
16	removed action, and because Defendants are citizens of California, Plaintiffs have asserted, and		
17	Defendants agree, that the case should be ordered remanded back to the Alameda County		
18	Superior Court	t, without the necessity of Plaintiffs having to move this Court for such relief.	
19	2.	It is requested that the Court enter an Order remanding the case to the Alameda	
20	County Superi	or Court, so that the case may be tried on its merits in that forum.	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
ļ		2	

	Case3:09-cv-02888-JCS	Document4	Filed07/17/09	Page3 of 4
1	DATED 11 12 2000	Ň		
1	DATED: July 13, 2009	M	ANASIAN & RO	UGEAU LLP
2 3				
3 4		Bv	/s/ Gregory A. R	ougeau
+ 5		- 5	Gregory A. Roug Attorneys for De	ougeau geau fendants MORTEN JENSEN
6			and JRDV ARCI	HITECTS, INC.
7	DATED: July 13, 2009	LA	W OFFICES OF	RAYMOND E. LOUGHREY
8				
9				
10		By	/ <i>s/ Raymond E. I</i> Raymond E. Lou	Loughrey Ighrey ntiffs ALONSO RUIZ DE IALLER UNICO DE
11			Attorney for Plai VELASCO and	ntiffs ALONSO RUIZ DE FALLER UNICO DE
12			ARQUITECTOS	S, S. de R.L. de C.V.
13				
14				
15				
16				
17 18				
10 19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
I			3	

	Case3:09-cv-02888-JCS Document4 Filed07/17/09 Page4 of 4				
1	ORDER				
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	<text><text><text><text><list-item></list-item></text></text></text></text>				
28	4				