1	BLUM   COLLINS LLP		
2	Steven A. Blum (Bar No. 133208) blum@blumcollins.com		
3	Craig M. Collins (Bar No. 151582)		
4	collins@blumcollins.com Douglas L. Thorpe (Bar No. 43749)		
	dthorpe@thorpelink.com		
5	707 Wilshire Blvd., 48th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017		
6	Telephone: 213.572.0400 Facsimile: 213.572.0401		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
10			
11	KENDRICK PATTERSON, ADAM BERGMAN, MICHAEL ATTIANESE,	Case No. 09-cv-03031 SC	
12	ANDREA LEVY, DARYL YEAKLE, and RAYMOND R. PLANTE, each	(Related Case No. 08-cv-05322 SC)	
13	individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated and the general public,	STIPULATION TO ALLOW PLAINTIFFS TO FILE A SINGLE, COMBINED	
14	Plaintiffs,	OPPOSITION BRIEF TO FIVE MOTIONS TO DISMISS	
15	V.		
16	STEPHEN V. O'NEAL, individually and		
17	on behalf of those similarly situated; THOMAS HILL, individually and on		
18	behalf of those similarly situated; ELLEN		
19	L. BASTIER, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated; MARK WEITZEL, individually and on behalf of	HEARING ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS:	
20	those similarly situated; JULIAN MILLSTEIN, individually and on behalf of	Date: November 20, 2009 Time: 10:00 a.m.	
21	those similarly situated; JEFFREY STEINER, individually and on behalf of	Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti Place: United States Courthouse	
22	those similarly situated; and DAVID P.	Dept. 1, 17th Floor 450 Golden Gate Avenue	
23	GRAYBEAL, individually and on behalf		
	of those similarly situated; ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP, a	San Francisco, California	
24	of those similarly situated; ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP, a limited liability partnership, DLA PIPER		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	of those similarly situated; ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP, a		
	of those similarly situated; ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP, a limited liability partnership, DLA PIPER LLP, a limited liability partnership, NIXON PEABODY LLP, a limited liability partnership, HOWREY LLP, a limited liability partnership, and		
25	of those similarly situated; ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP, a limited liability partnership, DLA PIPER LLP, a limited liability partnership, NIXON PEABODY LLP, a limited liability partnership, HOWREY LLP, a		
25 26	of those similarly situated; ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP, a limited liability partnership, DLA PIPER LLP, a limited liability partnership, NIXON PEABODY LLP, a limited liability partnership, HOWREY LLP, a limited liability partnership, and MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP, a limited liability partnership,		

1	WHEREAS, Defendants DLA Piper LLP (US), Nixon Peabody LLP, Howrey LLP,		
2	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, and Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP (collectively, the "Fi		
3	Law Firm Defendants") have filed motions to dismiss (the "Motions to Dismiss") the First		
4	Amended Complaint filed by Plaintiffs Kendrick Patterson, Adam Bergman, Michael Attianes		
5	Andrea Levy, Daryl Yeakle, and Raymond R. Plante (collectively, "Plaintiffs") pursuant to Ru		
6	12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;		
7	WHEREAS, the five Motions to Dismiss are set for hearing on November 20, 2009 at		
8	10:00 a.m. (per Docket No. 41),		
9	WHEREAS, the Court has ordered that any Opposition briefs must be filed no later than		
10	October 23, 2009; and		
11	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs believe it would serve the interest of judicial economy for the		
12	Plaintiffs to file a single combined opposition brief to all five Motions to Dismiss, rather than		
13	separate opposition briefs;		
14	THEREFORE, the Plaintiffs and the Five Law Firm Defendants stipulate as follows:		
15	1. Plaintiffs may file a single omnibus memorandum of points and authorities in		
16	opposition to all five motions. The memorandum may be up to 35 pages of text.		
17	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
18			
19	Dated: October 19, 2009 FOLGER LEVIN & KAHN LLP		
20			
21	By: /s/ Jiyun Cameron Lee Attorneys for Defendant		
22	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP		
23	Dated: October 19, 2009 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP		
24	Dec. /-/ West dec I. Theren.		
25	By: /s/ Wendy J. Thurm Attorneys for Defendant		
26	DLA PIPER LLP (US)		
27			
28			

1	Dated: October 19, 2009	HOWREY LLP
2		Dry /a/ Diahand I Dyudaa In
3		By: <u>/s/ Richard J. Burdge, Jr.</u> Attorneys for Defendant HOWREY LLP
4		HOWKET LLF
5	Dated: October 19, 2009	NIXON PEABODY LLP
6		By: /s/ Andrew Neilson
7		By: <u>/s/ Andrew Neilson</u> Attorneys for Defendant NIXON PEABODY LLP
8		
9	Dated: October 19, 2009	HOWARD RICE
10		
11		By: /s/ Jonathan William Hughes
12		Attorneys for Defendant ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
13		ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
14 15		
16		
17		
18	Dated: October 19, 2009	BLUM COLLINS LLP
19	Dailed: 00:0001 19, 2009	
20		By: /s/ Craig Michael Collins Attorneys for Plaintiffs
21		•
22	Pursuant to General Order 45(X), I hereby attest that the signatories above have concurred in the	
23	filing of this document.	
24		/s/ Craig M. Collins
25		
26		
27		
28		
		STIPULATION TO ALLOW PLAINTIFFS TO FILE A

## 1 2

\_ -

## **ORDER**

Pursuant to stipulation, the Court hereby orders that in response to five pending motions to dismiss filed by Defendants DLA Piper LLP (US), Nixon Peabody LLP, Howrey LLP, Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, and Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, Plaintiffs may file a single omnibus memorandum of points and authorities in opposition to all of up to 35 pages in length.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October <u>22</u>, 2009

