1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. C 09-3032 CRB JUANITA R. SANDERS, 12 ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO Plaintiff, **DISMISS** 13 v. 14 DAVID GURNETT, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 For the reasons discussed at the hearing on December 18, 2009, and the reasons stated in Defendants' memorandum, Defendants' motion to dismiss is GRANTED. Plaintiff's 18 claims are clearly time barred, and no amendment could remedy such a flaw. Moreover, 19 despite being granted a lengthy extension, Plaintiff failed to oppose Defendants' motion, and 20 21 similarly failed to appear in Court. Therefore, based both upon the legal infirmities in Plaintiff's case and her failure to prosecute her claim as required by the Federal Rules of 22 Civil Procedure, this case is DISMISSED. 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24

25

26

27

28

Dated: June 22, 2010

CHARLES R. BREYER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

G:\CRBALL\2009\3032\Order DISMISSING.wpd