1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	DAN HARTMANN,	No. C 09-03227 WHA
11	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND VACATING HEARING
13	CHRISTIAN HANSON, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	/
15		-
16 17	This order comes on the heels of a <i>separate</i> order to show cause filed last week pertai	
17	to plaintiff's absence at a regularly schedul	ed and duly noticed case management conference of

ning on December 10, 2009. In last week's order, plaintiff and his counsel were ordered to appear on 19 January 7, 2010, at 2:00 p.m., and file a declaration by noon on January 4, 2010, to show cause 20 why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution and/or why Attorney Wright should 21 not be required to pay fees and/or sanctions for the unexplained absence. At that same hearing, 22 defendant's motion to dismiss (or, in the alternative, for summary judgment) was to be argued. 23

Since plaintiff failed to file a timely opposition to defendant's motion (due December 17, 2009), the hearing on the motion is hereby VACATED. However, the hearing on the prior order to show cause will **REMAIN AS SCHEDULED** on January 7, 2010, at 2:00 p.m. The undersigned is 26 inclined, in light of the failure by plaintiff to file an opposition brief, to treat defendant's motion to dismiss (or, in the alternative, for summary judgment) as unopposed, and rule on it 28 accordingly.

24

25

27

Plaintiff is hereby ordered to show cause why his opposition brief was not timely filed, and why the undersigned should not treat defendant's motion as unopposed, by **NOON ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 24, 2009**. Plaintiff may *not* file an untimely opposition brief unless leave to file such a brief is expressly granted by the Court. If plaintiff fails to respond to this order, the undersigned will rule on defendant's motion immediately.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 18, 2009.

my Ahme

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE