defendants' motion no later than thirty (30) days from the date defendants' motion is filed. Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than fifteen (15) days after plaintiff's opposition is filed.

Also before the Court is plaintiff's Motion [for] Default Judgment, filed June 2, 2011. For the reasons set forth above with respect to defendants' motion for an extension, plaintiff's motion is hereby DENIED. Further, defendants Aung, Ahmed, Kalisher, Trent, and Grewal have now appeared in the action and the record indicates defendant Decker, who, according to defendants' counsel, is no longer working at the Correctional Training Facility, has not been served with the summons and complaint.

Lastly, the instant action was reopened in August 2010; thus, absent a showing of "good cause," plaintiff's complaint against defendant Decker is subject to dismissal without prejudice for lack of timely service. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Although, in cases involving a plaintiff proceeding in forma pauperis, the "officers of the court shall issue and serve all process," 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d), and although the court must appoint the United Sates Marshal to effect service, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(3); see also Walker v. Sumner, 14 F.3d 1415, 1422 (9th Cir. 1994), overruled on other grounds by Sandin v. Conner, 515 U.S. 472, 483-84 (1995), "a plaintiff may not remain silent and do nothing to effectuate such service," see Rochon v. Dawson, 828 F.2d 1107, 1110 (5th Cir. 1987). Rather, "a plaintiff should . . . attempt to remedy any apparent defects of which [he] has knowledge." Id. Where, as here, the Marshal is unable to effectuate service because the plaintiff has not provided sufficient information to locate the defendant, such plaintiff must remedy the situation or face dismissal of the unserved defendant. See Walker, 14 F.3d at 1421-22 (9th Cir. 1994). Consequently, plaintiff must either effectuate service on defendant Decker or provide the Court with his current location such that the Marshal is able to effectuate service.

Accordingly, plaintiff is hereby ORDERED to either file, no later than July 20, 2011, proof of service on defendant Decker, or, by said date, file a declaration setting forth for said

28 //

1	defendant a current address at which the Marshal is able to serve him. Plaintiff's failure to
2	do so will result in dismissal of the claims against defendant Decker pursuant to Rule 4(m).
3	This order terminates Docket Nos. 13 and 14.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	DATED: June 14, 2011
6	Mafine M. Chesner
7	MAXINE M. CHESNEY United States District Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	