



1 Court wrote that while Plaintiff had adequately supported the  
2 reasonableness of the attorneys' billing rates, Plaintiff had  
3 failed to adequately document the number of hours worked by failing  
4 to attach detailed billing records. Id. at 3. The Court wrote  
5 that "Plaintiff's documentation of costs incurred is similarly  
6 inadequate." Id. at 4. The Court directed Plaintiff to re-file  
7 its motion within thirty days with "documentation sufficient for  
8 the Court to determine if the fees and costs claimed are reasonable  
9 and legitimate," such as "detailed attorney billing records; a  
10 table of costs, . . . and/or unredacted documentation of costs for  
11 in camera review." Id. at 4-5.

12 In support of its Second Motion, Plaintiff has provided the  
13 Court with detailed attorney records for in camera review.  
14 Plaintiff has also attached a supplementary declaration of  
15 Christopher Varas ("Varas"), counsel for Plaintiff, in which Varas  
16 attempts to explain why the attorney hours claimed were necessary  
17 and not duplicative or excessive. See Varas Decl.<sup>1</sup> In particular,  
18 Varas cites the time and expense of identifying the Defendants in  
19 this action, given their "extensive efforts to conceal their  
20 identities and locations, including by providing false and  
21 contradictory name and contact information to at least six  
22 different third parties, including financial institutions such as  
23 PayPal and Chevy Chase Bank." Id. ¶ 8. This required Plaintiff to  
24 file motions for leave to subpoena third parties and to hire a  
25 private investigator. Id. ¶¶ 8-9. In addition, Plaintiff has  
26 provided the Court with an itemized list explaining each charge in  
27 its Bill of Costs. ECF No. 56 at 18.

28 

---

<sup>1</sup> ECF No. 54.

1           The Court has reviewed this supplemental documentation, and  
2 finds that Plaintiff has adequately documented the number of  
3 attorney hours worked, the various attorney billing rates, and the  
4 costs incurred by Plaintiff. In light of this evidence, it finds  
5 the amount sought in attorneys' fees and costs to be reasonable.  
6 Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's Second Motion for  
7 Attorneys' Fees and Costs. Defendants Nadir Erkan and Yusef Dincer  
8 are ordered to pay Zynga Game Network., Inc. \$26,131 in attorneys'  
9 fees and \$8,189.63 in costs.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 15, 2010

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE