sevier			D			
	Case3:09-cv-03349-JL Document32	Filed04/05/10 Page1 of 4				
1	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP A Limited Liability Partnership					
2	Including Professional Corporations JENNIFER G. REDMOND, Cal. Bar No. 144790					
3	jredmond@sheppardmullin.com MORGAN P. FORSEY, Cal. Bar No. 241207					
4	mforsey@sheppardmullin.com Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor					
5	San Francisco, California 94111-4109 Telephone: 415-434-9100					
6	Facsimile: 415-434-3947					
7	Attorneys for Defendant ELSEVIER INC.					
8		DISTRICT COURT				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
10 11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
11	SANTRANCI	500 DI VISION				
12	MICHELLE RESSLER,	Case No. 3:09-cv-03349 JL				
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR				
15	v.	ORDER CHANGING TIME FOR MEDIATION AND MEDIATION				
16	ELSEVIER INC., a New York corporation,	DEADLINE AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER				
17	and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Courtroom F, 15th Floor				
18	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. James Larson				
19						
20	Pursuant to Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12,	Plaintiff Michelle Ressler ("Ressler") and				
21	Defendant Elsevier Inc. ("Elsevier"), respectfully request that the Court approve this					
22	stipulated request and grant a continuance of the mediation currently scheduled for April					
23		14, 2010 to a date before June 21, 2010 to be mutually agreed upon by the parties, and an				
24	extension of the April 21, 2010 ADR deadline. The parties have stipulated a two-month					
25	extension of the mediation deadline until June 21, 2010.					
26	In compliance with Local Rule 6-2, the Ressler and Elsevier respectfully state:					
27						
28		1- STIDULATED DEQUEST FOR ODDED CHANGE				
	3:09-cv-03349 JL	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGIN TIME FOR MEDIATION AND MEDIATIC DEADLIN Dockets.Jus	)N NE			

1 1. On December 2, 2009, Ressler's counsel notified Elsevier that Ressler was
 2 involved a slip and fall accident, severely injuring her spinal cord and causing her to be
 3 hospitalized. In order to accommodate Ressler's recovery, Elsevier agreed to continue the
 4 deposition of Ressler noticed for December 11, 2009, and all pending discovery response
 5 deadlines.

6 2. On December 3, 2009, Ressler and Elsevier stipulated to attempt resolution
7 of this case via Mediation pursuant to the Northern District's Multi-Option Alternative
8 Dispute Resolution ("ADR") Program.

9 3. On January 21, 2010, the Court entered an Order setting an ADR session
10 deadline of 90 days from the date of the Order, or April 21, 2010.

4. Pursuant to ADR L.R. 6-4, the parties participated in a phone conference
 with court-appointed Mediator, Brad Seligman, Esq. During the phone conference, the
 parties agreed to participate in a mediation on April 14, 2010. This date was agreed upon
 to give Ressler adequate time to respond to Elsevier's written discovery and provide
 Elsevier sufficient time to take Ressler's deposition before the parties' mediation
 statements, which were due to the mediator on April 9, 2010.

5. During the phone conference with the mediator and in subsequent
communications with Ressler's counsel, Elsevier stated its position that the deposition of
Ressler needed to precede the mediation in order for meaningful negotiations to take place.

6. Elsevier re-noticed Ressler's deposition for March 15, 2010, but the
deposition was taken off calendar when Ressler produced over 2,000 documents two
businesses days before her deposition, (Elsevier did not have sufficient time to review the
newly produced documents before the deposition).

7. The parties met and conferred, but were unable to find a mutually agreeable
alternative date for Ressler's deposition before April 9, 2010, the date the mediation briefs
are due.

8. The parties have met and conferred and determined that it is necessary to
continue the mediation and extend the mediation deadline in order to allow Elsevier the

Case3:09-cv-03349-JL	Document32	Filed04/05/10	Page3 of 4
----------------------	------------	---------------	------------

1	opportunity to take Ressler's deposition and give both parties time to analyze her testimony				
2	and prepare for mediation.				
3	9. The parties agree that an extension of the mediation deadline until June 21,				
4	2010, is appropriate.				
5	10. Should mediation prove unsuccessful, the parties agree that continuing the				
6	mediation and extending the mediation deadline will not affect the scheduled trial date.				
7	11. In compliance with Local Rule 6-2, a Declaration of Elsevier's counsel is				
8	attached in support of this Stipulated Request for Order Changing Time.				
9	SO STIPULATED:				
10					
11	Dated: April 5, 2010				
12	KUMIN SOMMERS LLP				
13	By /s/ Stephen A. Sommers				
14	STEPHEN A. SOMMERS				
15	JOSEPH CLAPP RORY QUINTANA				
16					
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHELLE RESSLER				
18					
19	Dated: April 2, 2010				
20	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP				
21	By /s/ Morgan P. Forsey				
22	JENNIFER G. REDMOND				
23	MORGAN P. FORSEY				
24	Attorneys for Defendant				
25	ELSEVIER INC.				
26	ORDER				
27	Upon review of Joint Stipulation for Request of Continuance of the				
28	Mediation and the ADR Deadline, the Court hereby extends the parties deadline to				
_0	-3-				
	W02-WEST:8HMH1\402569253.1STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING TIME FOR MEDIATION AND MEDIATION DEADLINE3:09-cv-03349 JLMEDIATION AND MEDIATION DEADLINE				

	Case3:09-cv-03349-JL Document32 Filed04/05/10 Page4 of 4
1	participate in ADR to June 21, 2010 and continues the April 14, 2010 mediation date to a
2	date before June 21, 2010 to be agreed upon by the parties.
3	<b>A</b>
4	Dated: April 6, 2010
5	HON. JAMES LARSON JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-4-
	W02-WEST:8HMH1\402569253.1STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGING TIME FOR MEDIATION AND MEDIATION DEADLINE3:09-cv-03349 JLMEDIATION AND MEDIATION DEADLINE