UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 CORBIS CORP.,

12 Plaintiff(s),

13 V.

14 TIVOLI ASSOCIATES, INC, et al.,

15 Defendant(s).

16 Defendant(s).

Tivoli's motion for leave to amend its answer to add the affirmative defenses of invalidity and unclean hands is DENIED¹, for the following reasons:

- 1. The last day to amend pleadings was March 5, 2010.

 Doc. No. 37 p. 2. Tivoli admits it was aware of these defenses by no later than January 15, 2010 and has provided no good cause why it did not file this motion earlier.
- 2. As Tivoli admits, these defenses "are subsets of the overarching affirmative defense of standing" which it has pled and has vigorously litigated. In fact, the Court has just

Corbis's motion to file a surreply is **DENIED** as moot.

ruled on a discovery motion which was directed almost exclusively towards the invalidity issue. The Court agrees that the issue of invalidity is before it either as part of the standing defense or because Tivoli in its answer denied allegations such as that the images in dispute are subject to copyright protection. To the extent that the unclean hands defense raises the same issue as the invalidity defense, it too is before the Court. Accordingly, there is no need to amend the pleadings to permit Tivoli to fully defend this case.

3. The bulk of the argument in both sides' papers, as it did in the discovery motions, focuses on the validity of the copyrights. As I noted in my discovery order, this appears to be an issue of law. The parties would be better served if one or the other would file an appropriate summary judgment motion, than by this sniping over collateral issues.

See F.R. Civ. P. 1.

Dated: May 4, 2010

Bernarð Zimmerman

United States Magistrate Judge

G:\BZALL\-BZCASES\CORBIS v. TIVOLI\ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND.FINAL RULING.wpd