22-2010 16:58 From:US ATTORNEY	4154366748	To:6508715063	P.2/		
ARNOLD M. WOODS, S.B. #183	638				
 456 San Mateo Avenue, Suite 2 ² San Bruno, CA 94066 					
Telephone: (650) 871-5037 Facsimile: (650) 871-5063					
4 Attorney for Defendants					
	WILLIAM DENKERS & GLORY DENKERS				
6					
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
TOK THE NO	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
10					
11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	, Case No.:	C-09-3403-WHA			
12 Plaintiff,		STIPULATION AND ORDER RE:			
13 vs.	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT HEARING DATE AND MEDIATION				
 WILLIAM B. DENKERS and GLO DENKERS, 15 	DEADLINE DATE DRY M.				
Defendants.					
17 Plaintiff's motion for summ	nary judgment was set fo	r hearing on March 18, 201	0. Per		
Local Rule 7-3, Defendants' oppos	sition to the motion for s	ummary judgment is therefo	ore due on		
or before February 25, 2010.					
20	nsive work involved in r	reparing an opposition to th	e motion		
21 for summary judgment and due to 1			1		
22 for lymphatic cancer, Defendants a					
 for summary judgment within the comparison of the summary sudgment within the comparison of the summary subgment within the summary subgment					
24					
25 hearing on the motion for summary 25 the state of t		• • •			
thereby extending the time frame to		summary judgment by two	weeks to		
	1				
Stipulation and Order re: Motion for S Case No. C-09-3403-WHA	ummary Judgment Hearing	; Date			

-

Dockets.Justia.com

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Thursday, March 11, 2010, will be sufficient to allow Defendants to adequately prepare the 2 opposition to the motion for summary judgment.

3 Furthermore, pursuant to a prior request by Plaintiff, the mediation deadline was 4 extended to February 26, 2010 by this Court. The purpose of the extension was so that Plaintiff 5 could obtain a ruling on its summary judgment motion before engaging in the mediation as 6 Plaintiff did not believe a mediation would be productive until summary judgment was ruled 7 upon. Because of the extension sought by Defendants on the hearing date for the motion for 8 summary judgment, Plaintiff requests an extension of time for the parties to conduct a mediation 9 until sometime after the date of the hearing on the motion for summary judgment.

For the foregoing reasons, the parties hereby stipulate to a continuance of the hearing date on the motion for summary judgment to Thursday, April 1, 2010, which will thereby extend the time for them to submit an opposition to the motion for summary judgment to Thursday. March 11, 2010. The parties further stipulate to a continuance of the mediation deadline to a date after the date of the hearing on the motion for summary judgment.

Dated this 22 day of February 2010.

Blog

ARNOLD M. WOODS, S.B. #183638 Attorney for DEFENDANTS

Dated this 2^{2} day of February 2010.

BLAKE D. STAMM, CTBN 301887 Attorney for Plaintiff

2

Stipulation and Order re: Motion for Summary Judgment Hearing Date Case No. C-09-3403-WHA

Į				
1	ORDER			
2	Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered			
3	that the hearing on the motion for summary judgment is hereby continued to Thursday, April 1,			
4	2010 at 8:00 a.m. Defendants' opposition to the motion for summary judgment is thereby due on			
5	or before Thursday, March 11, 2010. Further, it is hereby ordered the deadline for the parties to			
6	complete mediation is extended to April 15, 2010.			
7	No additional continuances or deadline extensions will be granted. The			
8	extensions granted above will have no effect on any other deadlines set forth in the case			
9	management order.			
10	STATES DISTRICT CO.			
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
12	Dated: February 23, 2010.			
13	Dated: February 23, 2010.			
14	UNITEA			
15	Judge William Alsup			
16				
17	THERN DISTRICT OF CAN			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	3			
	Stipulation and Order re: Motion for Summary Judgment Hearing Date Case No. C-09-3403-WHA			