1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
11	Plaintiff, No. C 09-03403 WHA
12	v. ORDER REGARDING
13 14	WILLIAM B. DENKERS and TAX ASSESSMENTS GLORY M. DENKERS,
14 15	Defendants.
15 16	/
10	Summary judgment having been granted in plaintiff's favor, all that remains in this action
18	is for judgment to be entered. In this connection, plaintiff was ordered on August 13, 2010, to
19	provide a detailed breakdown of tax assessments for the tax years in dispute by August 16.
20	Defendants were then ordered to verify by August 19 that the government's tax assessments were
21	consistent with the Court's March 29 order, reserving all objections as to substance. In particular,
22	defendants were ordered to verify that a \$10,000 offer-in-compromise payment previously paid to
23	the government had been credited against their tax liabilities.
24	Due (allegedly) to insufficient and conflicting information in the government's August 16
25	filing, defendants were unable to verify that the \$10,000 payment had been property credited.
26	Given this dispute, both sides are ordered to meet and confer on this issue and file a joint
27	
28	

breakdown of tax assessments for the tax years in dispute and a proposed form of judgment BY NOON ON TUESDAY, AUGUST 31. IT IS SO ORDERED. Im Ahme Dated: August 23, 2010. WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE