

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1	1995) (stating that, "[e]ven if the defendant has filed a motion to dismiss, the plaintiff may terminate
2	his action voluntarily by filing a notice of dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1)").
3	Accordingly, the Court hereby construes Plaintiffs' motion for dismissal as a notice of
4	dismissal under Rule $41(a)(1)$ and this action is deemed dismissed without prejudice. See Randle v.
5	Sarfan, Nos. C 93-1927 VRW, C 93-1928 VRW, 1994 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 803, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Jan.
6	31, 1994) (construing plaintiff's motion to dismiss as a notice of dismissal).
7	The hearing on Plaintiffs' motion is VACATED, and the Clerk of the Court is instructed to
8	close the file in this case.
9	This order disposes of Docket No. 34.
10	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	
13	Dated: January 22, 2010
14	EDWARD M. CHEN
15	United States Magistrate Judge
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2

United States District Court For the Northern District of California