Ring	gcentral	, Inc. v	One Number Corporation et al	Di
		1		
		2		
		3		
		4		
United States District Court		5		
		6		
		7		
		8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
		9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
		10		
	ornia	11	RINGCENTRAL, INC.,	No. C-09-3415 MMC
	Northern District of California	12	Plaintiff,	ORDER SCHEDULING HEARING ON
		13	V.	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS
		14	ONE NUMBER CORPORATION, et al.,	
		15	Defendants.	1
	orthe	16		<u> </u>
	For the N	17	On September 9, 2009, defendants	filed a motion to dismiss for lack of personal
		18	jurisdiction, improper venue, and failure to state a claim, or, in the alternative, to transfer	
		19	the above-titled action to the Southern District of Indiana. Defendants, however, have not	
		20	noticed the motion for hearing. See Civ. L.R. 7-2(a) (providing "all motions must be filed,	
		21	served and noticed in writing on the motion calendar of the assigned Judge for hearing not	
		22	less than 35 days after service of the moti	on").
		23	Accordingly, a hearing on the motion is hereby SCHEDULED for October 23, 2009.	
		24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
		25	Dated: September 11, 2009	Maline M. Chelmy
		26		United States District Judge
		27		
		28	¹ To the extent defendants "are not 1:27-28), the Court advises defendants th Court determines no hearing is necessary	requesting a hearing" on the motion (<u>see</u> Mot. at at if, after briefing on the matter is complete, the , the Court will vacate the hearing.

Doc. 18