Bloom v. J.P. Morga	Securities, Inc. et al		Doc. 52
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	DAVID A. BLOOM, and individual,	Case No. 3:09-CV-03418-WHA	
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER FOLLOWING SEPTEMBER 21, 2010 HEARING ON	
14	VS.	DISCOVERY DISPUTE	_
15	J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES, INC., a		
16	Delaware Corporation, J.P. MORGAN CHASE & CO., a Delaware		
17	Corporation, and DOES 1-20,		
18	Defendants.		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
W ACSTAFFE	Case No. 3:09-CV-03418-WHA	OPCSED] ORDER FOLLOWING SEPTEMBER 21, 20 HEARING ON DISCOVERY DISPUTED DOCKETS. Justine 1985 1985 1985 1985 1985 1985 1985 1985	ГЕ

On September 21, 2010, this matter came before the Court for a hearing on the discovery disputes outlined in Plaintiff David Bloom's ("Plaintiff") September 16, 2010 letter to the Court. During the hearing, counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. and J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. ("Defendants") informed the Court that the parties had resolved every issue but one: whether Defendants have an obligation to produce documents in the possession, custody and/or control of their attorneys. The parties requested that the Court reserve this one issue for a short period of time while the parties continue to work together to resolve their dispute.

Having considered the papers presented, the argument of counsel and the record in this case, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, if the parties fail to resolve their dispute, Plaintiff and Defendants may each file supplemental briefs, of no more than three pages, on or before October 1, 2010, on the following specific issue: whether Defendants have an obligation to produce documents in the possession, custody and/or control of their attorneys.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _September 28, 2010.

Mª Ahr

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE