siana Pacific C	Corporation v. Money Market 1 Institutional Investmer	nt Dealer et al	Doc. 1			
	Case3:09-cv-03529-JSW Document1	42 Filed06/17/11 Page1 of 4				
1	Robert C. O'Brien (State Bar No. 154372)					
2	Steven E. Bledsoe (State Bar No. 157811) Antoinette Waller (State Bar No. 152895)					
3	ARENT FOX LLP 555 West Fifth Street, 48th Floor					
4	Los Angeles, CA 90013-1065 Telephone: 213.629.7400/Facsimile: 213.629.7401					
5	Email: <u>obrien.robert@arentfox.com</u> bledsoe.steven@arentfox.com					
6	waller.antoinette@arentfox.com					
7	Attorneys for Defendant Money Market 1 Institutional Investment Dealer					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
-						
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
11	LOUISIANA DACIFIC CODDODATION	Core No. CV00 02520 ISW				
12	LOUISIANA PACIFIC CORPORATION,	Case No. CV09-03529-JSW				
13	Plaintiff,	[Before The Honorable Jeffrey S. White – Courtroom 11]				
14	V.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]				
15	MONEY MARKET 1 INSTITUTIONAL INVESTMENT DEALER; MERRILL	ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANT MONEY MARKET 1 INSTITUTIONAL				
16	LYNCH & CO., INC.; MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH	INVESTMENT DEALER'S TIME TO ANSWER THE SECOND AMENDED				
17	INCORPORATED; AND DEUTSCHE BANK SECURITIES, INC.,	COMPLAINT				
18	Defendants.	[Local Rule 7-12]				
19	Defendants.					
20						
21						
22	Plaintiff Louisiana Pacific Corporation ("Plaintiff") and defendant Money Market 1					
23	Institutional Investment Dealer ("MM1"), acting through their respective counsel, enter into the					
24	below stipulation:					
25	WHEREAS, following the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation's order transferring a					
26	portion of this case to the Southern District of New York ("SDNY"), Plaintiff filed a First					
	Amended Complaint ("FAC") on March 8, 2010 in this Court and concurrently filed the same					
	FAC in the SDNY alleging its claims against MM1 in both forums;					
28 Arent Fox LLP	CV09-03259-ISW	- 1 - STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTEND DEFENDANT MMI'S TIME TO ANSWER PLAINTI				
ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES		SECOND AMENDED COMPLA				
FORNEYS AT LAW	FAC in the SDNY alleging its claims against CV09-03259-JSW LA/470059.1	- 1 - STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTEND	FF'S			

I

.

1	WHEREAS, on April 21, 2010, defendant Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. ("DBSI") filed a						
2	Motion to Dismiss the FAC in this Court;						
3	WHEREAS, on April 23, 2010, MM1 filed a Motion to Dismiss the FAC in this Court;						
4	WHEREAS, by Order dated March 28, 2011, the Court denied MM1's Motion to Dismiss						
5	as pending in the Northern District of California, granted DBSI's Motion to Dismiss, and granted						
6	leave for Plaintiff to file a Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") in this Court by April 29, 2011;						
7	WHEREAS, the Court subsequently entered an order extending Plaintiff's time to file its						
8	SAC in this Court through and until May 20, 2011;						
9	WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed its SAC in this Court on May 20, 2011;						
10	WHEREAS, the SAC alleges over the course of 265 paragraphs and 92 pages that MM1						
11	engaged in a comprehensive scheme to defraud Plaintiff in violation of Section 10(b) of the						
12	Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5, that MM1 violated Sections 25500, 25501 and						
13	25504.1 of the California Corporate Securities Law of 1968, and that MM1 committed common						
14	law fraud, common law negligent misrepresentation and common law breach of fiduciary duty in						
15	connection with at least fourteen different series of auction-rate securities.						
16	WHEREAS, Plaintiff and defendant DBSI previously stipulated and the Court ordered						
17	that DBSI shall answer or otherwise respond to the SAC on or before June 27, 2011 and entered a						
18	briefing schedule for an anticipated motion to dismiss by DBSI;						
19	WHEREAS, the Court has set a hearing on DBSI's anticipated motion to dismiss the SAC						
20	for September 2, 2011 at 9:00 a.m.;						
21	WHEREAS, the parties stipulated and the Court ordered that MM1 would have until						
22	twenty-one (21) days after the filing of the SAC to file an Answer to the SAC in this Court;						
23	WHEREAS, the parties further agreed that MM1's answer to the SAC would be due on						
24	June 17, 2011;						
25	WHEREAS, MM1 has requested and Plaintiff has agreed to additional time for MM1 to						
26	prepare its answer to the SAC through and until July 8, 2011;						
27	///						
28	///						
ARENT FOX LLP Attorneys At Law Los Angeles	CV09-03259-JSW - 2 - STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANT MMI'S TIME TO ANSWER PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT						
	LA/470059.1						

	Case3:09-cv-03529-JSW	Document142	Filed06/17/11	Page3 of 4			
1	IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiff and MM1,						
2	acting through their respective counsel, subject to this Court's approval, that MM1 shall file an						
3	answer to the SAC in this Court on or before July 8, 2011.						
4	Dated: June 17, 2011		Respectfully sub	mitted,			
5			KELLOGG, HUI EVANS, & FIGE	BER, HANSEN, TODD,			
6			EVAINS, & FIUI	5L, F.L.L.C.			
7			By: /s/ Andrew	C Shen			
8			Andrew C. S Attorneys for	hen			
9			Louisiana Pa	cific Corporation			
10	Dated: June 17, 2011		Respectfully sub	mitted			
11	Dated. Julie 17, 2011		ARENT FOX LI				
12							
13			By: /s/ Antoinet	te Waller			
14			Antoinette W Attorneys for	Valler			
15			Money Mark Dealer	et 1 Institutional Investment			
16			Douiçi				
17							
18							
19	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.						
20	17						
21	Dated: June $\frac{17}{2}$, 2011		\wedge				
22 23			(kg	Huy Swhits			
23			Hon. Verfree United States	S White District Judge			
24			Onited States	, District studge			
26							
20							
27							
ARENT FOX LLP Attorneys At Law	CV09-03259-JSW	- 3	- STIPULA DEFEND	TION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING DANT MMI'S TIME TO ANSWER PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLADIT			
Los Angeles	LA/470059.1			SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT			

.

.

.