	- 11		
1	KRISTIN NEWMAN DE LA VEGA. Esq. State Bar No. 232628		
2			
3			
4			
5	Email: anne@hiaringlaw.com		
6	Attorney for Defendant SUNWARE COMPUTER INC.		
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
10		CO DIVISION	
11	SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation,	Case No. C 09-03564 MHP	
12) Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND <u>[PROPOSED]</u> ORDER EXTENDING SUNWARE	
13	vs. (COMPUTER INC.'S TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND EXTENDING	
14	SUNWARE COMPUTER, INC.,)	INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES	
15	a California corporation,	[Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)]	
16	Defendant.		
17)	,	
18			
19	Plaintiff Sun Microsystems, Inc. filed its	Complaint for Damages and Injunctive Relief	
20	on August 4, 2009 against Sunware Computer, Inc.		
21	Plaintiff served Sunware Computer Inc. o	on August 6, 2009. The proof of service has	
22	not been filed yet. Accordingly, Defendant Sunv	vare Computer, Inc. has until August 26, 2009,	
23	to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint.		
24			
25		•	
	STIDIII ATION AND (DDODOCUDI OBDOD EVA	PAINTAG DUREND ANTHORNY OF TO	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANT'S TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND EXTENDING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES CASE NO. C 09-03564 MHP		

On August 14, 2009 Defendant Sunware Computer, Inc. retained The Law Office of Anne Hiaring to represent it in this matter.

On August 14, 2009, counsel for Defendant discussed this litigation with counsel for Plaintiff, and discussed the deadline for Defendant Sunware Computer, Inc. to file its answer to Plaintiff's complaint and agreed to a 30-day extension.

I. <u>DEFENDANT'S TIME TO ANSWER</u>

The parties stipulate and respectfully request that the time within which Defendant may respond to the Complaint filed by Plaintiff will be extended by this Court from August 26, 2009 to September 25, 2009 or such date as this Court may order.

The parties believe good cause exists for the Court to grant an extension of time for Sunware Computer, Inc. to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint because of discussions regarding resolution of the action.

II. CASE MANAGEMENT AND ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION DEADLINES

The parties further stipulate and respectfully request that this Court also extend by thirty (30) days, or as soon thereafter as the Court deems convenient, the initial case management and alternative dispute resolution deadlines as set forth in this Court's Order dated August 4, 2009 as follows:

1	DA'TED: By:
2	HON. MARILYN HALL PATEL United States District Court Judge
3	, and a second discount diago
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	•
10	
11	•
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING DEFENDANT'S TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND EXTENDING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES CASE NO. C 09-03564 MHP - 4 -

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF MARIN

I am employed in the County of Marin, State of California; my business address is 711 Grand Avenue, Suite 260, San Rafael, California 94901; I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. On this date I served the following documents:

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING SUNWARE COMPUTER INC.'S TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND EXTENDING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND ADR DEADLINES

on the parties shown below:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Jedediah Wakefield, Esq. Fenwick & West LLP 555 California Street, 12th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104

Eric Ball, Esq. Fenwick & West LLP Silicon Valley Center 801 California Street Mountain View, CA 94041

Attorneys for Plaintiff Sun Microsystems, Inc.

	transmission; on this date the above-referenced documents were transmitted, the transmission was reported as complete and without error and the report was properly issued.
<u>X</u>	(BY MAIL) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for the processing of mail; on this date, the above-referenced documents were placed for collection and delivery by the U.S. Postal Service following ordinary business practices.
	(BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for the processing of documents for delivery services; on this date, the above- referenced documents were placed for collection and delivery following ordinary business practices.
, <u>.</u>	(BY ELECTRONIC FILING) On this date I provided the documents(s) listed above electronically through the Court's electronic filing service provider pursuant to the instructions on that website.
	(BY E-MAIL) On this date, the above-referenced documents were converted to Adobe files and e-mailed to the addresses shown.
	(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused the above documents to be delivered by hand pursuant to CCP § 1011.
<u>X</u>	Federal: I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

(BY FAX) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of facsimile

1	
2	State: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
3	
4	Executed this (day of August, 2009 at San Rafael, California.
5	
6	Meghan Auerswald
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

PROOF OF SERVICE Case No. C 09-03564