

1	Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a), the parties jointly submit this Stipulation.
2	WHEREAS, on July 9, 2009, Plaintiff California Public Employees' Retirement System
3	("CalPERS") filed its complaint entitled California Public Employees' Retirement System v.
4	Moody's Corp. et al. in the Superior Court for the State of California, San Francisco County.
5	This case was assigned in state court the index number of CGC-09-490241;
6	WHEREAS, on August 7, 2009, Defendants Moody's Corp., Moody's Investors Service,
7	Inc. (together, "Moody's"), The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. ("McGraw-Hill") and Fitch, Inc.,
8	Fitch Group, Inc., and Fitch Ratings, Ltd. (together, "Fitch", and collectively with Moody's and
9	McGraw-Hill, the "Defendants") filed a Notice of Removal based on diversity jurisdiction, which
10	was assigned Case No. 09-03628-SI;
11	WHEREAS, on August 7, 2009, Fitch separately filed a Notice of Removal based on
12	diversity jurisdiction and the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, which was assigned Case No.
13	09-03629-JCS;
14	WHEREAS, Defendants have filed an Administrative Motion to Relate the two removed,
15	identical actions;
16	WHEREAS, CalPERS intends to file a Motion to Remand the two actions as currently
17	designated Case No. 09-03628-SI and Case No. 09-03629-JCS, or any consolidated action, to the
18	Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Francisco; and
19	WHEREAS, Defendants intend to oppose CalPERS' Motion to Remand.
20	It is hereby STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the undersigned counsel for
21	the parties as follows:
22	(1) Defendants' time to respond to CalPERS' Complaint in the two actions as currently
23	designated Case No. 09-03628-SI and Case No. 09-03629-JCS, or any consolidated action, shall
24	be extended to forty-five (45) days following the final determination by this Court of CalPERS'
25	Motion to Remand.
26	
27	
28	

## Case3:09-cv-03628-SI Document9 Filed08/13/09 Page3 of 4

1	August 13, 2009
2	Respectfully Submitted,
3	
4	/s/ David T. Biderman (SBN 101577)
5	Farschad Farzan (SBN 215194) PERKINS COIE LLP
6	Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94111
7	Telephone: (415) 344-7000 Facsimile: (415) 344-7050
8	Floyd Abrams (pro hac vice application to be submitted)
9	Dean Ringel ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be submitted) Adam Zurofsky ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be submitted)
10	CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL LLP  80 Pine Street Now York 10005
11	New York, New York 10005 Telephone: (212) 701-3000 Facsimile: (212) 269-5420
12	
13	Attorneys for The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
14	/s/ Keith E. Eggleton (SBN 159842)
15	David A. McCarthy (SBN 226415)  WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
16	Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road
17	Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
18	Facsimile: (650) 565-5100
19	Joshua M. Rubins ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be submitted) James J. Coster ( <i>pro hac vice</i> application to be submitted)
20	Glenn C. Edwards (pro hac vice application to be submitted)  SATTERLEE STEPHENS BURKE & BURKE LLP
21	230 Park Avenue, 11th Floor New York, New York 10169
22	Telephone: (212) 818-9200 Facsimile: (212) 818-9606
23	Attorneys for Moody's Corp. and Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
24	recome, so who by some who by some some some some some some some some
25	
26	·
27	
28	2
	JOINT STIPLILATION REGARDING EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

## 1 Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 58452) Jayesh Hines-Shah (SBN 214256) 2 Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346) 3 TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP One Ferry Building, Suite 355 San Francisco, California 94111 4 Telephone: (415) 788-8200 5 Facsimile: (415) 788-8208 6 Attorneys for Defendants Fitch, Inc., Fitch Group, Inc., and Fitch Ratings, Ltd. 7 8 9 Joseph J. Tabacco (SBN 75484) 10 James Magid (SBN 233043) **BERMAN DEVALERIO** 11 425 California Street, Suite 2100 San Francisco, California 94104 12 Telephone: (415) 433-3200 Facsimile: (415) 433-6382 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff California Public Employees' Retirement 14 System 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Case No. 09-03628-SI

Case3:09-cv-03628-SI Document9 Filed08/13/09 Page4 of 4