

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MIRIAM SLATER, *et al.*,

No. C-09-3697 EMC

Plaintiffs,

v.

**ORDER RE SUPPLEMENTAL
EVIDENCE**

TAGGED, INC., *et al.*,

(Docket No. 44)

Defendants.

Plaintiffs have filed a motion for attorney’s fees and expenses, which is set for hearing on April 21, 2010. Having reviewed Plaintiffs’ opening brief, the Court hereby orders that Plaintiffs provide supplemental evidence in support of their motion. More specifically, the Court orders that Plaintiffs provide:

(1) The billing records for the attorneys and/or legal assistants who worked on the instant case. The Court notes that, based on the Kamber declaration, it appears that there were at least six different timekeepers from three different law firms. The billing records may be redacted to protect any attorney-client or work product privilege.

(2) Declarations from each of the three law firms who worked on the instant case, supporting the claimed hourly rates requested. The Court acknowledges that Mr. Kamber has provided a declaration attached to which is a resume for the Kamber law firm; however, that resume

///

///


///

1 does not reflect the years of experience of Mr. Stampley. The other two law firms have not
2 submitted any declarations.

3 The supplemental evidence shall be filed and served by March 31, 2010.

4
5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6
7 Dated: March 18, 2010



8
9 EDWARD M. CHEN
United States Magistrate Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28