

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION
in its capacity as Receiver for
INDYMAC BANK Plaintiff(s),

CASE NO. CV 09-3732

v.
JAMES BERT COKER; CAROL ZHANG;
HARVEY HOCHAUSER;
MARCIE HOCHAUSER Defendant(s).

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

- Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:


Private ADR (please identify process and provider) ADR Services

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)

other requested deadline _____

Dated: 10/29/09



Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: 10/23/09




Attorney for Defendant

CAROL ZHANG,
In Pro Per

It is so ordered.

Dated: October 30, 2009

Hon. William Alsup



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEFENDANT: CHINESE INSURANCE CORPORATION
Plaintiff(s) or Defendant(s) as Recipient for
DEFINING BANK Plaintiff(s).

CASE NO. CV 09-3748

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Plaintiff(s): CAILI, ZHANG;
Defendant(s): Plaintiff(s).
Defining Bank:

Defendant(s) report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

- Non-Binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

Plaintiff(s) believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, unless otherwise ordered by the court. Plaintiff(s) may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Request for Early Settlement Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5.

Private Processes:

Private ADR (please identify process and provider) ADR Sett

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)

Other requested deadline _____

Date: 10/29/09

Attorney for Plaintiff

Date: 10/29/09

Attorney for Defendant

CAROL ZHANG,
In Pro Per

HARVEY HATFIELD
MARCUS HOGGINS