23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Roy A. Combs, SBN 123507 rcombs@fagenfriedman.com Joshua A. Stevens, SBN 228239 jstevens@fagenfriedman.com 3 FAGEN FRIEDMAN & FULFROST, LLP 70 Washington Street, Suite 205 Oakland, California 94607 Phone: 510-550-8200 Fax: 510-550-8211 5 Attorneys for Defendants PITTSBURG UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, BARBARA 7 WILSON AND PERCY MCGEE 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 10 TIM GALLI, CASE NO. 3:09-cv-03775-JSW 11 JOINT STIPULATION ON DISCOVERY, 12 Plaintiff, CASE SCHEDULING AND THE 13 SERVICE OF PLEADINGS; [PROPOSED] VS. ORDER PITTSBURG UNIFIED SCHOOL 14 DISTRICT, BARBARA WILSON and 15 PERCY MCGEE, Defendants. 16 17 18 SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THIS COURT, THE PARTIES HEREBY 19 STIPULATE TO THE FOLLOWING: 20 1. Plaintiff Tim Galli filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") in the above-21 referenced matter on or about September 4, 2009, with service made effective on Pittsburg Unified 22 School District ("District"), Barbara Wilson and Percy McGee (collectively "Defendants") on

September 14, 2009.

2. On or about September 10, 2009, Plaintiff sent via electronic mail, by and through his counsel of record, David Scher, of the Employment Law Group, his first set of interrogatories, first request for admission, first request for production of documents and ten (10) separate notices of deposition (hereinafter collectively referred to as "discovery") to the Defendants counsel, Roy

A. Combs and Joshua A. Stevens, of Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost, LLP.

3:09-cv-03775-JSW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3.	Defendants have not accepted service of any of the foregoing discovery. Plaintiff
has acknowled	lged that the foregoing discovery has not yet been served as it predates the
conference ma	andated by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 26(f) and is, thus, premature.

- 4. Defendants informed Plaintiff of their intention to file a Rule 12(b)(6) motion and any other appropriate pleading to dismiss some or all of Plaintiff's FAC on or before October 5, 2009. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss and motion to strike on October 5, 2009. In consideration of the Court's calendar and availability to hear Defendants' motions, the parties agree that the hearing date on the motions will be noticed for Friday, December 11, 2009.
- 5. Given the mutual recognition of the potential impact of the Court's ruling on the Rule 26 conference and related matters, the parties further agree to a continuance of the case schedule as follows, if the case remains pending in Federal Court:
- December 11, 2009 last day to: (i) meet and confer regarding initial a. disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan; (ii) file ADR Certification signed by parties and counsel; and (iii) file either Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference;
- b. December 23, 2009 – last day to: (i) file Rule 26(f) Report; (ii) complete initial disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) Report; and (iii) file Case Management Statement; and
- January 8, 2010 Initial Case Management Conference. Subject to this c. Court's approval, the parties agree that one or both parties may appear telephonically at the Initial Case Management Conference.
- 6. In the event that this Court has not issued its ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Strike on or before December 11, 2009, the parties agree to seek the Court's guidance on a further continuance of the above-referenced schedule at the time of the hearing on December 11, 2009.
- 7. The parties further agree to serve all court filed documents (e.g., pleadings and motions) in this matter through the Court's electronic filing system.
 - 8. The parties additionally agree to serve discovery in this matter via electronic mail

1	with a copy sent to the following recipients on behalf of Plaintiff and Defendants:		
2	On behalf of Plaintiff		
3	David Scher (dscher@employmentlawgroup.net)		
4	R. Scott Oswald (SOswald@employmentlawgroup.com) Liviya M. Piccione-Sand (lpiccione-sand@employmentlawgroup.com		
5	On behalf of Defendants		
6	Roy A. Combs (<u>rcombs@fagenfriedman.com</u>)		
7	Joshua A. Stevens (jstevens@fagenfriedman.com) Lisa Howell (lhowel@fagenfriedman.com) Jane Forrette (jforrette@fagenfriedman.com)		
8			
9			
10	SO STIPULATED.		
11	DATED: October 9, 2009	Respectfully submitted,	
12		FAGEN FRIEDMAN & FULFROST, LLP	
13			
14		By: /s/ Roy A. Combs Roy A. Combs	
15		Attorneys for Defendandts PITTSBURG UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, BARBARA	
16		WILSON AND PERCY MCGEE	
17	DATED: October 9, 2009	Respectfully submitted,	
18		THE EMPLOYMENT LAW GROUP, P.C.	
19			
20		By: /s/ David Scher	
21		David Scher Attorneys for Plaintiff TIM GALLI	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	JOINT STIPULATION ON DISCOV	3:09-cv-03775-JSV VERY, CASE SCHEDULING AND THE SERVICE OF PLEADINGS; _[PROPOSED] ORDER	
I	I	_[PROPOSED] ORDER	

3:09-cv-03775-JSW

[PROPOSED] ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, pursuant to the above Stipulation of the parties, that the case schedule be amended as outlined above; that all court filed documents will be served through the Court's electronic filing system; and that discovery in this matter will be served via electronic mail with a copy sent to the recipients listed in the above Stipulation.

DATED: October 13, 2009

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

00254.00140/159512.1

3:09-cv-03775-JSW