Roy A. Combs, SBN 123507 rcombs@fagenfriedman.com Joshua A. Stevens, SBN 228239 jstevens@fagenfriedman.com FAGEN FRIEDMAN & FULFROST, LLP 70 Washington Street, Suite 205 Oakland, California 94607 4 Phone: 510-550-8200 Fax: 510-550-8211 5 Attorneys for Defendants PITTSBURG UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, BARBARA 7 WILSON AND PERCY MCGEE 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 10 11 CASE NO. 3:09-cv-03775-JSW TIM GALLI, 12 Plaintiff. JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] 13 ORDER TO GRANT DEFENDANTS THE RIGHT TO AMEND THEIR ANSWER 14 VS. Trial Date: None Set 15 PITTSBURG UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, BARBARA WILSON AND 16 PERCY MCGEE, Defendants. 17 18 SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THIS COURT, THE PARTIES HEREBY 19 STIPULATE TO THE FOLLOWING: 20 21 1. On December 10, 2009, Defendants submitted their answer to Plaintiff's First 22 Amended Complaint in the above-referenced matter. On December 11, 2009, all parties, through their respective counsel of record, 23 2. 24 engaged in the Rule 26 conference telephonically to discuss those items required by Rule 26(f) including initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and a discovery plan for the 25 above-referenced matter. 26 27 3. On December 18, 2009, all parties, through their respective counsel of record, engaged in a follow-up teleconference to further discuss a discovery plan for the above-referenced 28

> JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO GRANT DEFENDANTS THE RIGHT TO AMEND THEIR ANSWER

3:09-cv-03775-JSW

matter.

4.	As part of the outcome of those two teleconferences, and subject to the Court's
approval, Pla	aintiff has agreed to dismiss any and all state law claims remaining in the above-
referenced m	natter with prejudice in this Court only solely for convenience of the parties and
without any	prejudice to filing of said claims in any other court. Plaintiff has informed
Defendants of	of his intention to re-file all remaining state law claims in state court along with his
other state la	w claims that this Court previously dismissed based on Eleventh Amendment
immunity.	

5. The parties have agreed that in light of Plaintiff's dismissal of all remaining state law claims, Defendants shall be afforded the right to amend their answer within ten (10) days following the Court's approval of this Stipulation.

SO STIPULATED.

l	DATED: December 29, 2009	Respectivity submitted,
l		FAGEN FRIEDMAN & FULFROST, LLP

By:	/s/ Roy A. Combs
	Roy A. Combs
	Attorneys for Defendants PITTSBURG UNIFIED
	SCHOOL DISTRICT, BARBARA WILSON
	AND DEDCY MCCEE

DATED: December 29, 2009	Respectfully submitted,
	THE EMPLOYMENT LAW GROUP, P.C.

By:	/s/ David Scher
	David Scher
	Attorneys for Plaintiff TIM GALLI

IPROPOSED ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, pursuant to the above Stipulation of the parties, that Plaintiff's
remaining state law claims have been dismissed by Plaintiff with prejudice and that Defendants
shall be afforded the right to amend their answer within ten (10) days following the issuance of
this Order.

DATED: ______

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

00254.00140/172922.1

3:09-cv-03775-JSW