

The Employment Law Group, P.C.
888 17th Street, NW, Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20006
Ph: 202.331.2883 • Fax: 202.261.2835

1 DAVID SCHER, California Bar No. 184562
2 THE EMPLOYMENT LAW GROUP, P.C.
3 888 17th Street, NW, Suite 900
4 Washington, D.C. 20006
5 dscher@employmentlawgroup.net
6 (202) 261-2802
7 (202) 261-2835 (facsimile)
8 *Counsel for Plaintiff Tim Galli*

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

11 TIM GALLI,
12 Plaintiff,
13 vs.
14 PITTSBURG UNIFIED SCHOOL
15 DISTRICT, BARBARA WILSON and
16 PERCY MCGEE,
17 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:09-cv-03775-JSW
**JOINT STIPULATION ON CASE
SCHEDULING
AND ORDER THEREON**

18
19 **SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THIS COURT, THE PARTIES HEREBY**
20 **STIPULATE TO THE FOLLOWING:**

- 21 1. On October 9, 2009, the parties agreed to stipulate to various matters, including a
22 Case Management Conference on January 8, 2009, telephonically, subject to the Court's approval.
23 2. On October 10, 2009, the Court Ordered that a Case Management Conference will
24 be held, in person, on January 8, 2009.
25 3. Plaintiff was unavailable in person on January 8, 2009, the prior date set for the Case
26 Management Conference. The parties agreed, and the Court approved the agreement, to hold the
27 Initial Case Management Conference on January 29, 2010, in person.
28 4. On December 11, 2009, all parties, through their respective counsel of record,

The Employment Law Group, P.C.
888 17th Street, NW, Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20006
Ph: 202.331.2883 • Fax: 202.261.2835

1 engaged in the Rule 26 conference telephonically to discuss those items required by Rule 26(f)
2 including initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and a discovery plan for the
3 above-referenced matter.

4 5. On December 18, 2009, all parties, through their respective counsel of record,
5 engaged in a follow-up teleconference to further discuss a discovery plan for the above-referenced
6 matter.

7 6. As part of the outcome of those two teleconferences and follow up correspondence
8 between the parties' counsel, the parties agreed to submit the instant matter to alternate dispute
9 resolution (specifically Early Neutral Evaluation ("ENE")) to attempt to resolve the matter, if
10 possible, in advance of the Case Management Conference.

11 7. Counsel for Defendants determined, through contact with the Court's ENE program,
12 that, with enough advanced notice, it may be possible to schedule ENE prior to the Case
13 Management Conference.

14 8. Discovery is ongoing, notwithstanding the delay in the Case Management
15 Conference.

16 9. Plaintiff has now determined that he has a scheduling conflict with January 29, 2010,
17 the current date of the Initial Case Management Conference.

18 10. Accordingly, to accommodate Plaintiff's scheduling conflict and to allow for ENE
19 and potential early resolution if possible, the parties request to extend the Case Management
20 Conference to Friday, March 26, 2010.
21

22
23 **SO STIPULATED.**
24
25
26
27
28

