UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DUANE WATERS, et al.

Plaintiff(s),

U.

AT&T SERVICES, INC., (formerly)
SBC Services, Inc., et al.,

Defendant(s).

This matter came before the Court on February 9, 2010, for final approval of the Settlement. The parties have previously submitted their Joint Stipulation of Class Settlement and Release Between Plaintiffs and Defendant ("Settlement Agreement") evidencing their proposed settlement ("Settlement"), which this Court preliminarily approved as set forth in its September 13, 2010 Order for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Conditional Class Certification, Approval of Class Notice, and Setting of a Final Fairness Hearing ("Preliminary Approval Order"). In accordance with

the Preliminary Approval Order, Class Members have been given notice of the terms of the Settlement and the opportunity to object to it or to exclude themselves from its provisions.

Having received and considered the Settlement, the supporting papers filed by the parties, and the evidence received by the Court in connection with the preliminary approval motion and the final approval hearing on February 9, 2010, the Court grants final approval to the Settlement, and HEREBY ORDERS and DETERMINES as follows:

All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement.

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and due process, the Court hereby finally approves the Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, subject to the changes ordered by the Court in its September 13, 2009 Order, and finds that such Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate to the Class and to each Class Member and that the Class Members who have not opted out shall by bound by the Settlement, that the Settlement is ordered finally approved, and that all terms and provisions of the Settlement should be and hereby are ordered to be consummated. The Court further finds that the Settlement set forth therein was entered into in good faith following arms-length negotiations and is non-collusive, and that the Class as defined in the Settlement Agreement be certified for settlement purposes only.

The Court finds that the Notice provided to Class Members was the best notice practicable under the circumstances of

these proceedings and of matters set forth herein, and that the Notice fully satisfies the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, due process and any other applicable laws.

There have been no objections to the Settlement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Excluded from the Settlement and Judgment are eight (8) persons who submitted valid and timely requests for exclusion, whose names are listed in Attachment A. Every person in the Class who did not submit a timely Exclusion Form is a Class Member.

The Settlement is not an admission by any of the Released Parties, nor is this Judgment a finding of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by any of the Released Parties. Neither this Judgment, the Settlement Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against the Released Parties of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Settlement Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by the Released Parties and shall not be offered in evidence in any action or proceeding against the Released Parties in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of this Judgment, the Settlement Agreement, or any related agreement or release.

Notwithstanding these restrictions, any of the Released

Parties may file in the Action or in any other proceeding the

Judgment, Stipulation, or any other papers and records on file
in the Action as evidence of the Settlement to support a

defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or
other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense
as to the Released Claims.

Pursuant to the Settlement and Judgment, all Class
Members (except for those who filed Exclusion Forms) are
permanently barred from prosecuting against Defendant and the
Released Parties any claims that were released as set forth in
the Settlement, excluding claims under the federal Fair Labor
Standards Act ("FLSA") only, by those Class Members who did
not submit claim forms pursuant to the Settlement.

The parties are hereby ordered to comply with the terms of the Settlement, except as they have been modified in this Order Awarding Attorneys' Fees and Costs and the Order Awarding Additional Payments to Class Representative.

Defendant is directed to transfer the necessary funds to the Claims Administrator, CPT Group, Inc., so as to comply with the terms of the Settlement. The Court directs CPT Group, Inc. within twenty (20) days of the Settlement Effective Date (as defined in the Settlement Agreement, previously filed with the Court), to disburse to the funds to Class Members, Class Counsel, Class Representatives and the California Labor Workforce and Development Agency as set forth in the Settlement and in the separate Order Awarding Attorneys' Fees and Costs, Order Awarding Claims Administration Expenses,

and Order Awarding Incentive Payments.

This action and the claims alleged in the Complaint filed in the Action are hereby ordered dismissed with prejudice, each side to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees except as provided by the Settlement.

Any court order regarding the application for Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and Plaintiffs' enhancement awards shall in no way disturb or affect this Judgment and Order of Dismissal and shall be considered separate from this Judgment and Order.

Without affecting the finality of this Judgment and Order in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the Settlement and the payments to be made under the Settlement.

Dated: February 17, 2011

Bernar Zimmerman

United States Magistrate Judge

 $\verb|g:bzall-bzcases| Water v.AT&T \\ \verb|Waters Proposed Orders| Waters-Proposed Judgment and Order of Dismissal with Attachment A.BZ Version.wpd|$

	Attachment A to Judgment and Order of Dismissal		
2.	Carlos Banas Irina Malkin Jason Rojas Le-Ai Cu Michael Conroy Nasreen Habib Shuchiao Chen Suneethamala Gangavaph		
	2. 3.		