26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	VIESTE, LLC, ET AL., No. C-09-04024-DMR
12	Plaintiff, ORDER RE PROCEDURES FOR DISCOVERY DISPUTE REGARDING
13	v. THIRD PARTY SUBPOENA
14	HILL REDWOOD DEVELOPMENT, ET AL.,
15	Defendant.
16	
17	On June 14, 2010, the office of David Patrick Nemecek, Jr., counsel for Defendants in the
18	above-captioned matter, contacted the Court seeking guidance on the proper procedure for
19	addressing a discovery dispute related to a subpoena and deposition noticed for June 18, 2010. In
20	light of the short time remaining before the scheduled deposition, the Court orders as follows:
21	Defendants shall file a letter brief laying out the discovery dispute and attaching as an exhibit
22	the subpoena giving rise to this dispute. Defendants' letter brief shall be no longer than two (2)
23	pages, not including the attached exhibit, and shall be filed no later than 5:00 p.m. on June 14, 2010.
24	Plaintiffs shall respond to Defendants' letter brief with their own letter brief, no longer than
25	two (2) pages. Plaintiffs' letter brief shall be filed no later than 5:00 p.m. on June 15, 2010.

## **United States District Court** For the Northern District of California

Upon reviewing the parties' letter briefs, the Court may order further briefing or oral argument, may deem the matter submitted on the papers, or may contact the parties with further instructions. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: June 14, 2010 DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge