1 2 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 PATRICIA A. MCCOLM, No. C 09-04132 SI 7 Plaintiff, **ORDER DENYING EMERGENCY EX** PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER AS 8 UNNECESSARY v. 9 FOREMOST INSURANCE COMPANY, 10 Defendant. 11 Plaintiff previously requested assurances that cashing a check issued by defendant Foremost 12 13 Insurance Company in the amount of \$1318.55 will not be treated as a waiver of any claims in this litigation. Counsel for defendant Foremost wrote a letter to plaintiff confirming that plaintiff's cashing 14 the check issued by Foremost will not be asserted as any waiver of any right plaintiff has to proceed in 15 16 this lawsuit. This letter is adequate to protect plaintiff's claims in this litigation and plaintiff may cash the check without waiving any claim in this litigation. Consequently, there is no need for further Court 17 intervention and plaintiff's emergency ex parte application for order is denied as unnecessary. [Docket 18 No. 39] 19 20 21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** 22 23 Dated: August 10, 2010 SUSAN ILLSTON 24 United States District Judge 25 26 27 28

Dockets.Justia.com