	Cases.09-cv-04206-JSW Document204	Filed09/14/11 Page1013
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Steven M. Bauer (SBN 135067) (Steven.Bauer@lw.com) Timothy P. Crudo (Bar No. 143835) (Timothy.Crudo@lw.com) Brendan K. Kelleher (Bar No. 275883) (Brendan.Kelleher@lw.com) 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111-6538 Telephone: 415.391.0600 Facsimile: 415.395.8095  Attorneys for Defendant THOMAS S. WU	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANC	ISCO DIVISION
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	KYUNG CHO; REX DECHAKUL; AND DAVID HWANG, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,  Plaintiffs,  v.  UCBH HOLDINGS, INC.; THOMAS S. WU; EBRAHIM SHABUDIN; CRAIG ON; DENNIS WU; ROBERT NAGEL; JOHN M. KERR; DANIEL M. GAUTSCH; DOUGLAS MITCHELL; BURTON D. THOMPSON; JOHN CINDEREY; JOSEPH J. JOU; PIN PIN CHAU; LI-LIN KO; QINGYUAN WAN; GODWIN WONG; DAVID NG; DANIEL P. RILEY; and RICHARD LI-CHUNG WANG, and JOHN DOES 1-10,  Defendants.	CASE NO. CV-09-4208-JSW  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING DEFENDANTS' DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT  CLASS ACTION
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	STIPULATION	
2	WHEREAS, the parties to the above-referenced matter (the "Parties") are	
3	engaged in ongoing mediation before Hon. Daniel Weinstein (Ret.) in an effort to resolve the	
4	disputes at issue in this lawsuit;	
5	WHEREAS, the current deadline for Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs'	
6	Consolidated Second Amended Complaint is September 19, 2011;	
7	WHEREAS, the Parties believe it would promote efficiency and the conservation	
8	of the Court's and the Parties' resources to stay this lawsuit for a period of time in order to allow	
9	the Parties to continue to negotiate the terms and conditions of a final agreement;	
10	THEREFORE, the Parties, through their respective counsel of record, hereby	
11	STIPULATE AND AGREE that:	
12	1. The deadline for Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' Consolidated Second	
13	Amended Complaint is continued ninety days, until December 19, 2011.	
14		
15	Authority for and concurrence in the filing of this stipulated request has been	
16	obtained from each of the signatories, pursuant to General Order 45 (X)(B).	
17	Dated: September 14, 2011 THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.	
18	Dated. September 14, 2011 THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.	
19	By /s/ Phillip Kim	
20	Phillip Kim Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff Kyung Cho and	
21	Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	
22	Dated: September 14, 2011 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP	
23	Dry /o/Timesthry D. Consider	
24	By/s/ Timothy P. Crudo Timothy P. Crudo	
25	Attorneys for Defendant Thomas S. Wu	
26		
27		
28		
	1	

	Case3:09-cv-04208-JSW	Document204 Filed09/14/11 Page3 of 3
1	Dated: September 14, 2011	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
2		By/s/ Anna Erickson White
3		Anna Erickson White Attorneys for Defendants Craig On, Dennis
5		Wu, Li-Lin Ko, Joseph J. Jou, David S. Ng, Daniel P. Riley, Richard Li-Chung Wang, Godwin S. Wong, and Pin Pin Chau
6		
7	Dated: September 14, 2011	FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP
8		By/s/ Anthony P. Schoenberg
9		Anthony P. Schoenberg Attorneys for Defendant John M. Kerr
10		
11	Dated: September 14, 2011	ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY
12		By /s/ James A. Lassert James A. Lassert
13 14		James A. Lassert Attorneys for Defendant Ebrahim Shabudin
15	Dated: September 14, 2011	BERGESON LLP
16	Dated: Septemeer 11, 2011	BERGES OF VEEL
17		By /s/ Daniel J. Bergeson Daniel J. Bergeson
18		Attorneys for Defendant Daniel M. Gautsch, Douglas Mitchell, and Robert Nagel
19	Details Contamber 14 2011	WOL CATECLID
20	Dated: September 14, 2011	K&L GATES LLP
21		By /s/ Jeffrey L. Bornstein
22		Jeffrey L. Bornstein Attorneys for Defendant Burton Thompson
23		
24	PURSUANT TO	STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	Dated: _September 16, 2011	
26		THE HOW RAILE SEFFREY S. WHITE
27		United States District Court Judge
28		
		2