

E-Filed 5/26/11

1 Daniel S. Mount, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 77517)
 Kathryn G. Spelman, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 154512)
 2 Daniel H. Fingerman, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 229683)
 Kevin M. Pasquinelli, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 246985)
 3 On Lu, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 242693)
 4 Jing H. Cherng, Esq. (Cal. Bar. No. 265017)
 Mount, Spelman & Fingerman, P.C.
 5 RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650
 333 West San Carlos Street
 6 San Jose CA 95110-2740
 Phone: (408) 279-7000
 7 Fax: (408) 998-1473
 8 Email: dmount@mount.com, kspelman@mount.com,
 dfingerman@mount.com, kpasquinelli@mount.com,
 9 olu@mount.com, gcherng@mount.com

10 Counsel for Defendants Antec Inc., Enermax Technology Corp.,
 11 Enermax USA Corp., FSP Group USA Corp., Mushkin Inc., Sea Sonic
 Electronics Co. Ltd., Seasonic Electronics Inc., SPI Electronic Co. Ltd.,
 12 Tagan Technology Co., Topower Computer Industrial Co. Ltd.,
 Topower Computer (USA) Inc.

13 United States District Court
 14 Northern District of California, San Francisco Division

15 Streak Products Inc.,
 16 Plaintiff,
 17 vs.
 18 Antec Inc., Channel Well Technologies
 Co. Ltd., Enermax Technology Corp.,
 19 Enermax USA Corp., FSP Group USA
 Corp., Magnell Associate Inc. d/b/a ABS
 20 Computer Technologies Inc., Mushkin
 Inc., Newegg Inc., Sea Sonic Electronics
 21 Co. Ltd., Seasonic Electronics Inc., SPI
 Electronic Co. Ltd. d/b/a FSP Group Inc.,
 22 Tagan Technology Co., Thermaltake
 Technology Co. Ltd., Thermaltake Inc.,
 23 Topower Computer Industrial Co. Ltd.,
 Topower Computer (USA) Inc. d/b/a E-
 24 Power Technology/PCMCIS
 25 Defendants

Case No. 3:09-cv-04255-RS-HRL

**[Proposed] Order Concerning Physical Evidence
 Submitted in Support of Motions for Summary
 Judgment**

MOUNT, SPELMAN & FINGERMAN, P.C.
 RIVERPARK TOWER, SUITE 1650
 333 WEST SAN CARLOS STREET
 SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95110-2740
 TELEPHONE (408) 279-7000

26
27
28

1 Defendants brought several computers as exhibits to the Motion for Summary Judgment
2 hearing, held on August 11, 2011. During the hearing, counsel for Defendants submitted the
3 following exhibits in support of their arguments and requested that counsel retain custody of those
4 exhibits. For good cause, the request is GRANTED. The following exhibits, labeled by exhibit
5 number, are deemed submitted and counsel for Defendants is ordered to retain custody of the
6 exhibits, identified below as labeled in the hearing, in the instant case until further notice:

7 Exhibit 1. HP i2000 computer (S/N: SG21920584), depicted in Appendix A to Motion
8 for Summary Judgment against Claim 1, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

9 Exhibit 2. Intel BS 460 computer, depicted in Appendix B to Motion for Summary
10 Judgment against Claim 1, attached hereto as Exhibit B.

11 Exhibit 3. Intel BS 460 computer, depicted in Appendix C to Motion for Summary
12 Judgment against Claim 1, attached hereto as Exhibit C.


13 Exhibit 4. IBM Personal System/2 Model 60 computer (S/N: 72-8184178), depicted in
14 Appendix A to Motion for Summary Judgment against Claim 4, attached hereto as
15 Exhibit D.

16 Exhibit 5. Apple Macintosh Quadra 950 computer (S/N: XB51909H677), depicted in
17 Appendix B to Motion for Summary Judgment against Claim 4, attached hereto as
18 Exhibit E.

19 Exhibit 8. Ultra Power Bar product and Ultra m998 Computer Case depicted in the
20 Declaration of Daniel H. Fingerman in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment for
21 False Marking, attached hereto as Exhibit F.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23
24 Date: 5/26/11

25 
26 Hon. Richard Seeborg
27 United States District Judge
28