

1 JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL LLP
 BENJAMIN M. REZNIK (Bar No. 72364)
 2 *bmr@jmbm.com*
 MATTHEW D. HINKS (Bar No. 200750)
 3 *mhinks@jmbm.com*
 AMY LERNER HILL (Bar No. 216288)
 4 *akl@jmbm.com*
 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Seventh Floor
 5 Los Angeles, California 90067-4308
 Telephone: (310) 203-8080
 6 Facsimile: (310) 203-0567

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff CONTEST PROMOTIONS, LLC

8

9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12

13

14 CONTEST PROMOTIONS, LLC, a
 California limited liability company,

CASE NO. CV 09-4434 SI

15 Plaintiff,

**STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE
 OF PRETRIAL AND TRIAL DATES
 AND [PROPOSED] ORDER**

16 v.

17 CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a
 municipal corporation; COUNTY OF
 18 SAN FRANCISCO, a subdivision of the
 State of California; CITY AND
 19 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a
 chartered California city and county; and
 DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

20 Defendants.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JMBM
 Jeffer Mangels
 Butler & Mitchell LLP

CURRENT DATES:

1
2 Non-Expert Discovery Cut-Off: January 19, 2011
3 Designation of Experts: January 21, 2011
4 Designation of Rebuttal Experts: February 4, 2011
5 Expert Discovery Cut-Off: February 18, 2011
6 Dispositive Motions, Filed: March 4, 2011
7 Dispositive Motions, Oppositions: March 18, 2011
8 Dispositive Motions, Reply: March 25, 2011
9 Dispositive Motion Hearing Deadline: April 8, 2011 at 3:30 p.m.
10 Pretrial Conference: May 24, 2011 at 3:30 p.m.
11 Jury Trial: June 6, 2011 at 8:30 a.m.

PROPOSED NEW DATES:

12
13
14 Non-Expert Discovery Cut-Off: March 4, 2011
15 Designation of Experts: March 18, 2011
16 Designation of Rebuttal Experts: April 1, 2011
17 Expert Discovery Cut-Off: April 15, 2011
18 Dispositive Motions, Filed: May 20, 2011
19 Dispositive Motions, Oppositions: June 3, 2011
20 Dispositive Motions, Replies: June 10, 2011
21 Dispositive Motion Hearing Deadline: June 24, 2011 at 9:00 a.m.
22 Pretrial Conference: July 26, 2011 at 3:30 p.m.
23 Jury Trial: August ~~7~~⁸, 2011 at 8:30 a.m.

24
25 8. There is good cause to continue the pretrial and trial dates
26 because it will allow the parties to complete discovery in an orderly fashion in
27 advance of their deadline to file dispositive motions. In addition, there is good cause
28 to continue the pretrial and trial dates because it will allow the parties more time to

1 work on their outstanding discovery and attempt to informally resolve their discovery
2 disputes without seeking the Court's intervention.

3 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

4
5 DATED: December 28, 2010

JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL
LLP
BENJAMIN M. REZNIK
MATTHEW D. HINKS
AMY LERNER HILL

6
7
8
9 By: /s/ Matthew D. Hinks
MATTHEW D. HINKS
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff CONTEST
PROMOTIONS, LLC

11 DATED: December 28, 2010

DENNIS J. HERRERA
KRISTEN A. JENS
THOMAS S. LAKRITZ
VICTORIA WONG

12
13
14
15 By: /s/ Thomas S. Lakritz
THOMAS S. LAKRITZ
16 Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY
OF SAN FRANCISCO
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Jeffer Mangels
Butler & Mitchell LLP
JMBM

[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

The parties' Stipulation re Continuance of Pretrial and Trial Dates is hereby adopted by the Court as the Case Management Order for the case and the parties are ordered to comply with this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: _____

By:  _____
HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Court Judge

JMBM
Jeffer Mangels
Butler & Mitchell LLP

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

