1	JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL LLP BENJAMIN M. REZNIK (Bar No. 72364)		
2	bmr@jmbm.com MATTHEW D. HINKS (Bar No. 200750)		
3	mhinks@jmbm.com AMY LERNER HILL (Bar No. 216288)		
4	akl@jmbm.com 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Seventh Floor		
5	Los Angeles, California 90067-4308 Telephone: (310) 203-8080		
6	Facsimile: (310) 203-8080		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff CONTEST PROMOTIONS, LLC		
8			
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	CONTEST PROMOTIONS, LLC, a California limited liability company,	CASE NO. CV 09-4434 SI	
14	Plaintiff,	SECOND STIPULATION FOR STAY OF LITIGATION PENDING	
15	v.	SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS AND FOR CONTINUANCE OF PRETRIAL	
16	CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a	AND TRIAL DATES; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
17	municipal corporation; COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a subdivision of the		
18	State of California: CITY AND		
19	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a chartered California city and county; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,		
20	Defendants.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

STIPULATION

- 1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 16 and the Local Rules of this Court, plaintiff Contest Promotions, LLC ("Contest Promotions") and defendant City and County of San Francisco (the "City") (collectively, the "parties"), through their respective counsel of record, respectfully submit the following stipulation effectuating the parties' agreement to a further 30-day stay of litigation and requesting an extension of the pre-trial and trial dates pending the parties' settlement discussions.
- 2. The purpose of this stipulation is to effectuate an agreement reached between the parties for an additional 30-day temporary stay of the litigation to allow the parties to continue their ongoing settlement discussions. The parties previously agreed to a two-week stay of the litigation to explore settlement opportunities. On February 28, 2011, to effectuate that agreement, the parties filed a stipulation continuing the pre-trial and trial dates. (Dkt # 81). The Court approved the stipulation on March 1, 2011 and continued the pre-trial and trial dates, as set forth below. (Dkt # 82).
- 3. Since the parties' previous stay agreement, the parties have made significant efforts to settle this litigation, including attending two in-person meetings in San Francisco (Contest Promotions' principals and its counsel are located in Los Angeles), and exchanging pertinent information. As a result of such efforts, the parties have made progress in their settlement discussions.
- 4. The parties now intend to continue their settlement efforts, which will likely include at least one more in-person meeting and a further exchange of information. To facilitate those communications, the parties have agreed to an additional 30-day stay of the litigation, until April 11, 2011. An additional 30-day stay is necessary because of the complexity of the settlement process. A potential settlement would likely affect all aspects of Contest Promotions' business model. In addition, the settlement process necessitates the involvement of multiple decision-makers on the part of the City. The parties also need time to document any

1	settlement agreement.		
2	5. The parties prefer to spend their efforts during the next 30 days		
3	on resolving this case, if possible, instead of litigating it. The parties believe that		
4	there is a reasonable chance the such efforts will result in settlement.		
5	6. To effectuate the parties' agreement to stay all litigation		
6	activities for 30 days, the parties respectfully request that all case management dates		
7	be continued by approximately 30 days, as follows:		
8			
9	CURRENT DATES:		
10	Non-Expert Discovery Cut-Off: April 8, 2011		
11	Designation of Experts: April 22, 2011		
12	Designation of Rebuttal Experts: May 6, 2011		
13	Expert Discovery Cut-Off: May 13, 2011		
14	Dispositive Motions, Filed: June 10, 2011		
15	Dispositive Motions, Oppositions: June 24, 2011		
16	Dispositive Motions, Reply: July 1, 2011		
17	Dispositive Motion Hearing Deadline: July 15, 2011 at 9:00 a.m.		
18	Pretrial Conference: August 23, 2011 at 3:30 p.m.		
19	Jury Trial: August 29, 2011 at 8:30 a.m.		
20			
21	PROPOSED NEW DATES:		
22	Non-Expert Discovery Cut-Off: May 9, 2011		
23	Designation of Experts: May 23, 2011		
24	Designation of Rebuttal Experts: June 6, 2011		
25	Expert Discovery Cut-Off: June 13, 2011		
26	Dispositive Motions, Filed: July 11, 2011		
27	Dispositive Motions, Oppositions: July 25, 2011		
28	Dispositive Motions Replies: August 1 2011		

	1	Dispositive Motion Hearing Deadline: August 15, 2011 at 9:00 a.m.		
	2	Pretrial Conference: September 20, 2011 at 3:30 p.m.		
	3	Jury Trial: October 11, 2011 at 8:30 a.m.		
	4			
	5	7. There is good	cause to continue the pretrial and trial dates	
	6	because it will allow the parties to focus their efforts on settlement and, at the same		
	7	time, avoid potentially unnecessary litigation costs.		
	8	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
	9			
	10	DATED: March 18, 2011	JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL LLP	
à	11		BENJAMIN M. REZNIK MATTHEW D. HINKS	
	12		AMY LERNER HILL	
	13			
T DOIL	14		By: /s/ Matthew D. Hinks MATTHEW D. HINKS	
	15		Attorneys for Plaintiff CONTEST PROMOTIONS, LLC	
	16	DATED: March 18, 2011	DENNIS J. HERRERA	
7	17		KRISTEN A. JENS THOMAS S. LAKRITZ	
	18		VICTORIA WONG	
	19			
	20		By: /s/ Thomas S. Lakritz THOMAS S. LAKRITZ Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
	21		Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
	22			
	23			
	24			
	2526			
	26			
	28			
	20			

[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

The parties' Second Stipulation For Stay of Litigation Pending Settlement Discussions and For Continuance of Pretrial and Trial Dates is hereby adopted by the Court as the Case Management Order for the case and the parties are ordered to comply with this Order.

HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Court Judge

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated:

3/21/11

7651717v2

$JMBM \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{Jeffer Mangels} \\ \text{Butler \& Mitchell } \bot P \end{array} \right|$

ATTESTATION CLAUSE

I, Matthew Hinks, hereby attest in accordance with General Order No. 45.X that Thomas S. Lakrtiz, Counsel for Defendant City and County of San Francisco, has provided his concurrence with the electronic filing of the foregoing document entitled SECOND STIPULATION FOR STAY OF LITIGATION PENDING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS AND FOR CONTINUANCE OF PRETRIAL AND TRIAL DATES; [PROPOSED] ORDER.

DATED: March 18, 2011

By: /s/ Matthew D. Hinks

MATTHEW D. HINKS

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CONTEST PROMOTIONS, LLC.

7651717v2