$\overline{ m JMBM}$ Butler & Mitchell LP	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL LLP BENJAMIN M. REZNIK (Bar No. 72364) bmr@jmbn.com MATTHEW D. HINKS (Bar No. 200750) mhinks@jmbn.com AMY LERNER HILL (Bar No. 216288) akt@jmbn.com 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90067-4308 Telephone: (310) 203-8080 Facsimile: (310) 203-8080 Facsimile: (310) 203-8080  Attorneys for Plaintiff CONTEST PROMOTIONS, LLC  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  CONTEST PROMOTIONS, LLC, a California limited liability company, Plaintiff, v.  CASE NO. CV 09-4434 SI THIRD STIPULATION FOR STAY OF LITIGATION PENDING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS AND FOR CONTINUANCE OF PRETIRIAL AND TRIAL DATES; [PROPOSED] OCUUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, a chartered California city and county; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants.
	27	
	28	
		7777908v1 - 1 - Third Stipulation to Continue Dates

### **STIPULATION**

- 1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 16 and the Local Rules of this Court, plaintiff Contest Promotions, LLC ("Contest Promotions") and defendant City and County of San Francisco (the "City") (collectively, the "parties"), through their respective counsel of record, respectfully submit the following stipulation effectuating the parties' agreement to a further 60-day stay of litigation and requesting an extension of the pre-trial and trial dates pending the parties' settlement discussions.
- 2. The purpose of this stipulation is to effectuate an agreement reached between the parties for an additional 60-day temporary stay of the litigation to allow the parties to continue their ongoing settlement discussions. The parties previously agreed a stay of the litigation to explore settlement opportunities. On February 28, 2011, to effectuate that agreement, the parties filed a stipulation continuing the pre-trial and trial dates. (Dkt # 81). The Court approved the stipulation on March 1, 2011 and continued the pre-trial and trial dates. (Dkt # 82). On March 18, 2011, the parties entered a second stipulation to continue the pre-trial and trial dates approximately 30 additional days. (Dkt # 84). The Court approved the stipulation on March 21, 2011 and continued the pre-trial and trial dates as set forth below. (Dkt # 85).
- 3. Since the parties' stay agreement, the parties have made significant efforts to settle this litigation, including attending in-person meetings in San Francisco (Contest Promotions' principals and its counsel are located in Los Angeles), and exchanging pertinent information. As a result of such efforts, the parties have made progress in their settlement discussions. The parties remain committed to making efforts to settle the case.
- 4. The parties intend to continue their settlement efforts, which will likely include at least one more in-person meeting and a further exchange of information. To facilitate those communications, the parties have agreed to an additional 60-day stay of the litigation, until June 3, 2011 (previously, the parties had

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

26

27

28

6.

1

2

3

agree to stay the proceedings until April 4, 2011). An additional 60-day stay is					
necessary because of the complexity of the settlement process. A potential settlement					
would likely affect all aspects of Contest Promotions' business model. In addition,					
the settlement process necessitates the involvement of multiple decision-makers on					
the part of the City. The parties also need time to document any settlement					
agreement.					
5. The parties prefer to spend their efforts during the next 60 days					
on resolving this case, if possible, instead of litigating it. The parties believe that					
there is a reasonable chance the such efforts will result in settlement.					

activities for 60 days, the parties respectfully request that all case management dates be continued by approximately 60 days, as follows:

To effectuate the parties' agreement to stay all litigation

### CURRENT DATES:

CURRENT DATES:
Non-Expert Discovery Cut-Off: May 9, 2011
Designation of Experts: May 23, 2011
Designation of Rebuttal Experts: June 6, 2011
Expert Discovery Cut-Off: June 13, 2011
Dispositive Motions, Filed: July 11, 2011
Dispositive Motions, Oppositions: July 25, 2011
Dispositive Motions, Replies: August 1, 2011
Dispositive Motion Hearing Deadline: August 15, 2011 at 9:00 a.m.
Pretrial Conference: September 20, 2011 at 3:30 p.m.
Jury Trial: October 11, 2011 at 8:30 a.m
PROPOSED NEW DATES:
Non-Expert Discovery Cut-Off: July 8, 2011
Designation of Experts: July 22, 2011
Designation of Rebuttal Experts: August 5, 2011
Expert Discovery Cut-Off: August 12, 2011

	1	Dispositive Motions, Filed: September 12, 2011				
	2	Dispositive Motions, Oppositions: September 26, 2011 Dispositive Motions, Replies: October 3, 2011				
	3					
	4	Dispositive Motion Hearing Deadline: October 17, 2011 at 9:00 a.m.				
	5	Pretrial Conference: November $27$ , 2011 at 3:30 p.m.  Jury Trial: December 12, 2011 at 8:30 a.m.				
	6					
	7	7. There is good cause to continue the pretrial and trial dates				
	8	because it will allow the parties to focus their efforts on settlement and, at the same				
	9	time, avoid potentially unnecessary litigation costs.				
	10	IT IS SO STIPULATED.				
	11					
	12	DATED: May 6, 2011	JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL			
	13		BENJAMIN M. REZNIK MATTHEW D. HINKS			
-	14		AMY LERNER HILL			
	15					
	16		By: /s/ Matthew D. Hinks MATTHEW D. HINKS			
)	17	DATED: May 6, 2011	Attorneys for Plaintiff CONTEST PROMOTIONS, LLC			
	18		DENNIS J. HERRERA			
	19		KRISTEN A. JENS THOMAS S. LAKRITZ			
	20		VICTORIA WONG			
	21					
	22		By: /s/ Thomas S. Lakritz THOMAS S. LAKRITZ			
	23		Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
	24					
	25					
	26					
	27					
	28					

## [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

The parties' Third Stipulation for Stay of Litigation Pending Settlement Discussions and for Continuance of Pretrial and Trial Dates is hereby adopted by the Court as the Case Management Order for the case and the parties are ordered to comply with this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

> Dated: 5/11/11

> > HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Court Judge

7777908v1

# **ATTESTATION CLAUSE**

I, Matthew Hinks, hereby attest in accordance with General Order No. 45.X
that Thomas S. Lakrtiz, Counsel for Defendant City and County of San Francisco, has
provided his concurrence with the electronic filing of the foregoing document entitled
THIRD STIPULATION FOR STAY OF LITIGATION PENDING SETTLEMENT
DISCUSSIONS AND FOR CONTINUANCE OF PRETRIAL AND TRIAL DATES;
[PROPOSED] ORDER.

/s/ Matthew D. Hinks
MATTHEW D. HINKS
Attorneys for Plaintiff
CONTEST PROMOTIONS, LLC. DATED: May 6, 2011 By:\_\_\_\_

7777908v1