

1 ALISON M. TUCHER (CA SBN 171363)  
 ATucher@mofo.com  
 2 NATHAN B. SABRI (CA SBN 252216)  
 NSabri@mofo.com  
 3 BARBARA BARATH (CA SBN 268146)  
 BBarath@mofo.com  
 4 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
 425 Market Street  
 5 San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
 Telephone: 415.268.7000  
 6 Facsimile: 415.268.7522

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 HIGH SIERRA HIKERS ASSOCIATION  
 8  
 9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
 13

14 HIGH SIERRA HIKERS ASSOCIATION,  
 15 Plaintiff,  
 16 v.  
 17 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE  
 INTERIOR, et al.,  
 18 Defendants.  
 19

Case No. CV-09-4621-RS

**JOINT STATUS REPORT AND  
 STIPULATION TO FURTHER  
 REVISE BRIEFING SCHEDULE;  
 PROPOSED ORDER**

**JUDGE: HONORABLE  
 RICHARD SEEBORG**

Courtroom: 3, 17th Floor

20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28  
 JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATION TO FURTHER REVISE BRIEFING  
 SCHEDULE AND ORDER  
 CASE No. CV-09-04621-RS

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

The Plaintiff High Sierra Hikers Association and the Defendants, U.S. Department of the Interior, et al., submit this joint status report and stipulation to advise the Court as to the status of the ongoing settlement process with respect to the Plaintiff's Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Other Expenses. The settlement process continues to move forward, although somewhat slower than Defendants had anticipated, due in large part to a serious family medical condition that has required Defendants' lead counsel to take extensive leave during January 2013. Both parties now expect that a final settlement can be reached in the near future. As explained below, additional time is needed to secure formal approval from federal officials in Washington, D.C.

WHEREAS, on October 26, 2012, the Plaintiff High Sierra Hikers Association filed a Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Other Expenses Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412,

WHEREAS, the litigation counsel for both parties and their clients have reached agreement on the specific terms and conditions of a settlement agreement, which, if approved, would specify a payment of a sum certain by the National Park Service to the Plaintiff in full and complete settlement of the Plaintiff's claim, resulting in dismissal of the pending motion;

WHEREAS, the parties are optimistic that a final settlement can be approved in the near future that would avoid the need for a hearing;

WHEREAS, the Defendants secured the recommendations from Department of Justice and Department of the Interior officials involved in the litigation to approve the proposed settlement, and those officials have presented the proposed settlement and recommendations to senior officials in the Department of Justice with final authority to approve the settlement;

WHEREAS, the Defendants' counsel has requested a final decision on the proposed settlement at the earliest opportunity and continues to expect that a decision will be forthcoming in the near future;

WHEREAS, at Defendants' request, the Court previously continued the date for the Defendants to file their opposition to the fee motion until February 28, 2013;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and agreed between the Parties that:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1. The date for the Defendants to file their opposition to Plaintiff's Motion shall be continued by an additional 14 days, until **March 14, 2013**, to allow time for a decision on approval of the proposed settlement agreement negotiated by the parties.

2. The parties shall advise the Court of the status of their settlement discussions in a joint status report to be filed on or before **March 7, 2013**.

3. The hearing on Plaintiff's Motion is continued until a date to be set following the joint status report on March 7, 2013. If settlement does not appear imminent at that time, the parties will agree on a date for the Plaintiff's Reply and request a hearing on Plaintiff's Motion at the next available date on the Court's calendar.

**IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

Dated: February 20, 2013

NATHAN B. SABRI  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By: /s/ Nathan B. Sabri  
Nathan B. Sabri, Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: February 20, 2013

CHARLES R. SHOCKEY  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

By: /s/ Charles R. Shockey  
Charles R. Shockey, Attorney for Defendants

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

BY: 

Dated: 2/21/13

HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE