264067_4.DOC

1 2 3 4 5	CHRISTOPHER A. NEDEAU (SBN 81297) CARL L. BLUMENSTEIN (SBN 124158) NOSSAMAN LLP 50 California Street, 34 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 398-3600 Facsimile: (415) 398-2438 cnedeau@nossaman.com cblumenstein@nossaman.com Attorneys for Defendants	
7	AUO Optronics Corporation and AUO Optronics Corporation America	
8	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN	NIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
10		
11 12	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI MDL No. 1827
13	This Document Relates To:	
14	AT&T Mobility LLC et al v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al., Case No. 09-cv-4997	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING AUO DEPOSITIONS
15 16	Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al., Case No. 10-cv-4572	
17	Costco Wholesale Corporation v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al., Case No. 11-cv-0058	
18	Dell Inc. et al. v. Sharp Corporation, et al.,	
19	Case No. 10-cv-1064	
20	Eastman Kodak Company v. Epson Imaging Devices Corporation, et al., Case No. 10-cv-5452	
21	Electrograph Systems, Inc., et al. v.	
22	Epson Imaging Devices Corp., et al., Case No. 10-cv-0117	
23	Motorola, Inc. v. AU Optronics Corporation,	
24	et al., Case No. 09-cv-5840	
25	Target Corp. et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al., Case No. 10-cv-4945	
26	TracFone Wireless, Inc. v. AU Optronics	
27	Corporation, et al., Case No. 10-cv-3205	
28		•

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING AUO DEPOSITIONS

Case No. 3:07-MD-1827 SI

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

State of Missouri, et al. v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al., Case No. 10-cv-3619

State of Florida v. AU Optronics Corporation, et al., Case No. 10-cv-3517

Direct Action Plaintiffs and State Attorney Generals ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants AUO Optronics Corporation of America (collectively, "AUO") hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS a superseding indictment was returned on June 10, 2010 against AUO, among others, in Case No. CR-09-0110-SI ("the Criminal Case"), and a jury trial is presently scheduled to commence in that action on January 9, 2012;

WHEREAS on July 5, 2011 the Special Master entered an order (Dkt. No. 3025) in the related class actions cases that, among other things, permitted the class plaintiffs to take depositions of certain witnesses after the conclusion of the Criminal Case;

WHEREAS on July 14, 2011 the Court entered an order (Dkt. No. 3110) that, among other things, set a December 8, 2011 cut-off for percipient discovery for certain Direct Action Plaintiff and State Attorney General cases specified therein;

WHEREAS Plaintiffs have advised AUO that they wish to schedule and take the depositions of the following ten individuals: S.I. Jeong, Claire Liu, Rigianna Wen, Morris Wong, David Su, Jason Chien, Frank Hsu, Arthur Chen, Richard Bai and Paul Peng;

WHEREAS Plaintiff Eastman Kodak Company has noticed the Deposition of AUO pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) for December 6, 2011 ("AUO 30(b)(6) Deposition");

WHEREAS AUO has advised Plaintiffs that Morris Wong, Jason Chien, and David Su are no longer employed by AUO and that AUO is unable to require that those individuals appear for deposition;

WHEREAS David Su is currently employed as the president of an AUO subsidiary, and Plaintiffs disagree with AUO's refusal to make him available as a party witness.

WHEREAS, because of the pendency of the Criminal Case, the parties have agreed to schedule depositions to occur after the conclusion of the trial of that action;

28

27 28

1	Dated: November 17, 2011	CROWELL & MORING LLP
2		By: /s/ Jerome Murphy
3		Jerome Murphy
4		Liaison Counsel for Direct Action Plaintiffs
5	Dated: November 17, 2011	STATE OF FLORIDA
6		By: /s/ Lizabeth Brady
7		Lizabeth Brady Office of the Attorney General, State of Florida
8		PL-0 1, The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
9		Counsel for Plaintiff State of Florida
10	Dated: November 17, 2011	STATE OF MISSOURI
11		By: /s/ Anne E. Schneider Anne E. Schneider
12		Assistant Attorney General/Antitrust Counsel Missouri Attorney General Office
13		P. O. Box 899 Jefferson City, MO 65102
14		Counsel for Plaintiff State of Missouri
15	Dated: November 17, 2011	STATE OF ARKANSAS
16	Dated: 1vovember 17, 2011	
17		By: /s/ David A. Curran David A. Curran Assistant Attorney General
18 ·		Arkansas Attorney General Office 323 Center Street, Suite 500
19		Little Rock, AR 72201
20		Counsel for Plaintiff State of Arkansas
21	Dated: November 17, 2011	STATE OF MICHIGAN
22		By: <u>/s/ M. Elizabeth Lippitt</u> M. Elizabeth Lippitt
23		Assistant Attorney General Michigan Attorney General Office
24		Corporate Oversight Division 525 West Ottawa Street, 6th Floor
25		Lansing, MI 48933 Counsel for Plaintiff State of Michigan
26	:	Counsel for Flainly state of Michigan
27		
28		
	264067 A DOC	A

264067_4.DOC

Dated: November 17, 2011	STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA	
	By:/s/ Douglas L. Davis	
	Douglas L. Davis	
	Assistant Attorney General	
	West Virginia Attorney General Office 812 Quarrier Street, First Floor	
	Charleston, WV 25301	
	Counsel for Plaintiff State of West Virginia	
Dated: November 17, 2011	STATE OF WISCONSIN	
Dated: 140 veiiioti 17, 2011	STATE OF WISCONSIN	
	By: /s/ Gwendolyn J. Cooley	
	Gwendolyn J. Cooley Assistant Attorney General	
	Wisconsin Department of Justice	
	PO Box 7857	
· .	Madison, WI 53707	
	Counsel for Plaintiff State of Wisconsin	
	<i>y y</i>	
ATTESTATION: Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that		
	, — , — , — — , — — — — — — — — — — — —	
concurrence in the filing of this do	cument has been obtained from each of the signatories.	
IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.		
II IS SO RECOMMENDED.		
	Man Callery	
Date: // />////		
•	Martin Quinn	
	Special Master	
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Date: 11/22/11	Jusan Selaton	
Date:11/22/11	The Honorable Susan Illston	
	United States District Court Judge	
	Officed States District Court Judge	
•		

264067_4.DOC